

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Vocational Studies D4 Public Administration Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN													
Courses		CODE	: c	Course Famil		Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date			
physical	education and fitness	<b>s</b> 99996	340102031			T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	1	July 17, 2024			
AUTHORIZATION		SP De	eveloper		Cours	e Cluster Coo	ordinator		Study Program Co	ordinator			
								Dr. Weni Rosdiana, S.Sos., M.AP.					
Learning model	Case Studies	Case Studies											
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)		PLO study program that is charged to the course											
		Program Objectives (PO)											
	PLO-PO Matrix	PLO-PO Matrix											
		P.O											
	PO Matrix at th	e end of e	ach learning stage (	(Sub-PO)									
		-											
		P.O			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Veek						
			1 2 3	4 5	6	7 8	9 10	11 12	13 14 1	5 16			
Short Course Descript Reference	introduction and various research themselves in ar measurement me methods. As an a ces Main : 1. Dugan, s Aging. C 2. Griera, J obesity.	themselves in an effort to improve and maintain physical fitness. Students have experience in measuring physical fitness levels using various measurement methods. Students have understanding and experience in determining indicators and measuring ideal body shape based on various methods. As an additional competency, students learn about sports management and competition systems.         Main :       1. Dugan, S. A., Gabriel, K. P., Lange-Maia, B. S., & Karvonen-Gutierrez, C. (2018). Physical Activity and Physical Function: Moving and Aging. Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America, 45(4), 723–736. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OGC.2018.07.009         2. Griera, J. L., Manzanares, J. M., Barbany, M., Contreras, J., Amigó, P., & Salas-Salvadó, J. (2007). Physical activity, energy balance and obesity. Public Health Nutrition, 10(10A), 1194-1199.											
<ol> <li>Lopes, V. P., Malina, R. M., Gomez-Campos, R., Cossio-Bolaños, M., Arruda, M. de, &amp; Hobold, E. (2019). Body mass in fitness in Brazilian adolescents. Jornal de Pediatria, 95(3), 358–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPED.2018.04.003</li> <li>Luís Griera, J., María Manzanares, J., Barbany, M., Contreras, J., Amigó, P., &amp; Salas-Salvado, J. (2007). Physica balance and obesity. Public Health Nutrition, 10(10 A), 1194–1199. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980007000705</li> <li>Nurhasan, dkk. 2005. Petunjuk Praktis Pendidikan Jasmani (Bersatu Membangun Manusia yang Sehat Jasmani dan Ro Unesa University Press.</li> <li>Sallis, J. F., McKenzie, T. L., Alcaraz, J. E., Kolody, B., Faucette, N., &amp; Hovell, M. F. (1997). The effects of a 2-year pl program (SPARK) on physical activity and fitness in elementary school students. American Journal of Public Health, 8 https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.87.8.1328</li> <li>SCY, Hartati, dkk. 2013. Permainan Kecil. Malang: Wineka Media.</li> <li>WHO. (2010). Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44399/9789241599979_eng.pdf;jsessionid=E3D59CC040D39FAC2789/ sequence=1</li> <li>World Health Organization. (2010). Global recommendations on physical activity for health. In WHO Press. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44399/9789241599979_eng.pdf;jsessionid=23CAE902DD510DBA1B499 sequence=1</li> </ol>										ctivity, energy Ini) . Surabaya: Sical education 3), 1328–1334. Health. D8EEB9AC4C? Retrieved from			
	Supporters:												
Support lecturer	ing Dr. Aghus Sifaq,	S.Or., M.Pd								-			
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]			Learning materials [ References ]	Assessment Weight (%)				
					ine )			- /					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(	5)		(6)		(7)	(8)			
1										0%			

2				0%
3				0%
4				0%
5				0%
6				0%
7				0%
8				0%
9				0%
10				0%
11				0%
12				0%
13				0%
14				0%
15				0%
16				0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No Evaluation Percentage 0%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
  graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
  obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.