

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Early Childhood Education Teacher Education Undergraduate Study Program

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE				Cours	se Fan	nily Credit Weight SEMESTER Co			Co Da	mpilat te	ion					
Seminar on I	PAUD Problems		86207021	.17							T=2	P=0	ECT	S=3.18		5	Jur	ne 6, 20	022
AUTHORIZA	TION		SP Devel	oper						Cours	e Clu	ster C	oordir	nator	Stud Coor	y Progr dinator	am		
			Eka Cahy	a Maulio	diyah, N	1.Pd.				Prof. I M.Kes	Dr. Rad	chma	Hasibu	an,	Kart	ika Rina N	ıkit Ad Л.Pd.	he, S.F	۶d.,
Learning model	Project Based	Learning	9							1									
Program	PLO study p	rogram w	vhich is ch	narged	to the	cours	е												
Learning Outcomes	Program Obj	ectives (PO)																
(PLO)	PO - 1	Masterir the abilit	ng the basic ty to formula	cs of wr ate solu	riting pa itions to	pers, r proble	esearc ms in t	h repo he fielo	rts an I of ea	d article arly chile	es in th dhood	ne fiel educa	d of ea ation.	rly child	dhood	educati	on wh	ich refl	ects
	PO - 2	Make s colleagu and lear	ake strategic decisions based on data and information (including the results of input/ideas/ideas lleagues/references) and provide ideas for selecting various alternative solutions in the field of early childhood educa d learning.							rom									
	PO - 3	Responsissues.	Responsible for the task of creating and presenting papers/articles and/or seminar proposals on early childhood educ issues.						educa	tion									
	PO - 4	Utilizing the field	Jtilizing science and technology as a tool to help solve children's learning problems and communicate ideas and findings he field of early childhood education.						js in										
	PO - 5	Respons	sible for org	ganizing	scienti	fic activ	rities, s	eminar	s on e	early ch	ildhoo	d prob	lems.						
	PLO-PO Mate	ʻix																	
			PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5	-															
	PO Matrix at	the end o	of each lea	arning	stage	(Sub-F	PO)												
			P.O							1	Week								
				1	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PO-1	L																
		PO-2	2																
		PO-3	3																
		PO-4	1																
		PO-5	5]
Short Course Description	This course ex references in s lists, and proce lecture proces responsible, h cooperative lea	amines the scientific p edures for s, namely onest, inc arning, flip	ne systema apers, proc holding ser face-to-fac dependent ped learning	tics of p cedures minars o ce learni and ne g, proble	papers, for writ on PAU ing and ever giv em bas	theses ting scie D issue I vi-lear ve up ed lear	and s entific es. as v rning. I attitude ning m	cientifi papers vell as n learr e. The odels a	c artic base its im ing, s lean nd pr	cles, an d on In plemen students ning sti oject ba	alyzes donesi tation. s are e rategie ased le	an sp This encour s use arning	D and elling r course raged t ed are g.	AUD p ules, p utilizes to solve lecture	roblem rocedu scienc probl es, dis	is, proci res for ce and t ems wit cussion	edures writing echno h a co is, as	s for us refere logy in oopera signme	sing ence the tive ents
References	Main :																		

Document Code

	Supporters:						
	1. Eka Ca 2. Eka Ca 3. Eka Ca	hya Maulidiyah. 2022. Siste hya Maulidiyah. 2022. Perr hya Maulidiyah. 2022. Refe	ematika Skripsi dan Artikel nasalahan Penelitian. Baha rensi Karya Ilmiah. Bahan	Ilmiah. Power Po an Ajar Dosen Ajar Dosen	pint		
Support ecturer	ting Mallevi Agustin Eka Cahya Mau	Ningrum, S.Pd., M.Pd. Ilidiyah, S.Pd., M.Pd.					
Week-	Final abilities of each learning	Evalu	Evaluation Help Learning, Evaluation Learning methods, Student Assignment [Estimated time]		p Learning, ing methods, t Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials	Assessmen
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (online)]	ineight (70)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand the systematics of writing thesis proposals and scientific articles	1. Explain the systematics of a thesis proposal. 2. Explain the systematics of scientific articles	Criteria: 1.1. Explain the systematics of the thesis proposal according to the guidelines 2.2. Explain the systematics of scientific articles according to the template Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, Group Discussions 2 X 50		Material: Thesis Systematics References: 1. Team. 2012. Guidelines for Writing Thesis at State University of Surabaya. Surabaya: Unessa University Press. Material: systematic thesis and scientific articles Library: Eka Cahya Maulidiyah. 2022. Systematics of Theses and Scientific Articles. power point	2%
2	Analyzing problems in early childhood education	 Formulate themes and problems in education and learning.1. Understanding problems in the scope of early childhood education 2. Exploring problems in the scope of early childhood education through field observations (initial observations) 3. Determine the focus of the problem and alternative solutions to solve the problem 4. Conduct preliminary studies.5. Conduct analysis of preliminary study results 	Criteria: 1 Formulate problems within the scope of early childhood education accompanied by relevant supporting evidence 2 Designing problem solutions 3 Conduct and analyze preliminary studies in systematic reports Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Group Discussion 2 X 50		Material: Research Problems Literature: Eka Cahya Maulidiyah. 2022. Research Problems. Lecturer Teaching Materials	2%
3	Analyzing problems in early childhood education	Formulate themes and problems in education and learning.1. Understanding problems in the scope of early childhood education 2. Exploring problems in the scope of early childhood education through field observations (initial observations) 3. Determine the focus of the problem and alternative solutions to solve the problem 4. Conduct preliminary studies.5. Conduct analysis of preliminary study results	Criteria: 1.1. Formulate problems within the scope of early childhood education accompanied by relevant supporting evidence 2.2. Design a problem solution 3.3. Conduct and analyze preliminary studies in systematic reports Form of Assessment :	1. Tutorial2. Assignment3. Discussion 2 X 50		Material: Research Problems Literature: Eka Cahya Maulidiyah. 2022. Research Problems. Lecturer Teaching Materials	2%

4	Applying and creating relevant literature studies in writing scientific papers	1. Identify print and non-print references2. Determine references according to research focus3. Analyzing the use of references in research 4. Interpreting references in research5. Creative use of references in research writing	Criteria: 1.Students are capable. 2.1. Determine relevant references in research 3.2. Emphasize the use of references in research writing Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Case Study 2 X 50	Material: References to Scientific Works Library: Eka Cahya Maulidiyah. 2022. References for Scientific Works. Lecturer Teaching Materials	8%
5	Applying and creating relevant literature studies in writing scientific papers	1. Identify print and non-print references2. Determine references according to research focus3. Analyzing the use of references in research 4. Interpreting references in research5. Creative use of references in research writing	Criteria: 1.1. Determine relevant research 2.2. Emphasize the use of references in research writing Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	1. Tutorial2. Assignment3. Project base learning 2 X 50	Material: References to Scientific Works Library: Eka Cahya Maulidiyah. 2022. References for Scientific Works. Lecturer Teaching Materials	2%
6	Implement scientific paper writing procedures according to general Indonesian spelling guidelines into research designs	 Include clear sources in citing scientific works. Use good rules for writing direct and indirect quotations. 3. Use correct writing format in creating sentences and paragraphs 	Criteria: 1.1. Include clear sources when citing scientific works 2.2. Use good rules for writing direct and indirect quotations 3.3. Use correct writing format in creating sentences and paragraphs Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	1. Tutorial 2. Assignment 3. Group Discussion 2 X 50	Material: scientific writing bibliography: 2. Lamijan Hadi Susarno. 2009 Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers: Papers, Articles and Research Proposals. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	2%
7	Implement scientific paper writing procedures according to general Indonesian spelling guidelines into research designs	1. Include clear sources in citing scientific works 2. Use good rules for writing direct and indirect quotations 3. Use correct writing format in creating sentences and paragraphs	Criteria: 1.1. Include clear sources when citing scientific works 2.2. Use good rules for writing direct and indirect quotations 3.3. Use correct writing format in creating sentences and paragraphs Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	1. Tutorial 2. Assignment 3. Group Discussion 2 X 50	Material: scientific writing bibliography: 2. Lamijan Hadi Susarno. 2009 Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers. Papers, Articles and Research Proposals. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	2%

8	1. Utilizing science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues	Compile presentation files. 1. Present 2. Respond to the presentation, 3. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 4. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from colleagues as well as supervisors and examining lecturers.	Criteria: Score 4 = Presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media criteria, answer from the questioner was correct, formulated suggestions for improvement Score 3 = Presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize important aspects of the research, assisted ppt media according to media criteria, answer from questioner is generally correct, formulates suggestions for improvementSkoe 2 = Presentation is done, not coherent and/or does not emphasize important aspects of research, assisted by ppt media but does not meet media criteria, answer from questioner is generally no correct, formulate suggestions for improvement Score 1 = Presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, did not have the help of ppt media, the answer from the questioner was incorrect, unable to formulate suggestions for improvement. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Seminar Presentation in groups of 2 X 50		Material: writing scientific papers References: 1. Team. 2012. Guidelines for Writing Thesis at State University of Surabaya. Unesa University Press.	20%
---	---	--	--	---	--	---	-----

	science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues	files. 1. Present. 2. Respond to the presentation. 3. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 4. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from colleagues as well as supervisors and examining lecturers.	Score 4 = Presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media criteria, answer from the questioner was correct, formulated suggestions for improvement Score 3 = Presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize important aspects of the research, assisted ppt media according to media criteria, answer from questioner is generally correct, formulates suggestions for improvementSkoe 2 = Presentation is done, not coherent and/or does not emphasize important aspects of research, assisted by ppt media but does not meet media criteria, answer from questioner is generally no correct, formulate suggestions for improvement Score 1 = Presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, did not have the help of ppt media, the answer from the questioner was incorrect, unable to formulate suggestions for improvement. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Presentation in groups of 2 X 50		seminar Literature: 1. Team. 2012. Guidelines for Writing Thesis at State University of Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	
--	---	---	--	--	--	---	--

	science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues	files. 1. Present. 2. Respond to the presentation. 3. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 4. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from colleagues as well as supervisors and examining lecturers.	Score 4 = Presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media criteria, answer from the questioner was correct, formulated suggestions for improvement Score 3 = Presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize important aspects of the research, assisted ppt media according to media criteria, answer from questioner is generally correct, formulates suggestions for improvementSkoe 2 = Presentation is done, not coherent and/or does not emphasize important aspects of research, assisted by ppt media but does not meet media criteria, answer from questioner is generally no correct, formulate suggestions for improvement Score 1 = Presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, did not have the help of ppt media, the answer from the questioner was incorrect, unable to formulate suggestions for improvement. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Presentation in groups of 2 X 50		References: 2. Lamijan Hadi Susarno. 2009 Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers: Papers, Articles and Research Proposals. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	
--	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

	science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues	files. 1. Present. 2. Respond to the presentation. 3. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 4. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from colleagues as well as supervisors and examining lecturers.	Score 4 = Presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media criteria, answer from the questioner was correct, formulated suggestions for improvement Score 3 = Presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize important aspects of the research, assisted ppt media according to media criteria, answer from questioner is generally correct, formulates suggestions for improvementSkoe 2 = Presentation is done, not coherent and/or does not emphasize important aspects of research, assisted by ppt media but does not meet media criteria, answer from questioner is generally no correct, formulate suggestions for improvement Score 1 = Presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, did not have the help of ppt media, the answer from the questioner was incorrect, unable to formulate suggestions for improvement. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentation in groups of 2 X 50		seminar References: 2. Lamijan Hadi Susarno. 2009 Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers: Papers, Articles and Research Proposals. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	
--	---	---	---	--	--	---	--

	1. Outputs science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues	Complete presentation files. 1. Present 2. Respond to the presentation. 3. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 4. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from colleagues as well as supervisors and examining lecturers.	Criteria: Score 4 = Presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media according to media criteria, answer from the questioner was correct, formulated suggestions for improvement Score 3 = Presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize important aspects of the research, assisted ppt media according to media criteria, answer from questioner is generally correct, formulates suggestions for improvementSkoe 2 = Presentation is done, not coherent and/or does not emphasize important aspects of research, assisted by ppt media but does not meet media criteria, answer from questioner is generally no correct, formulate suggestions for improvement Score 1 = Presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, did not have the help of ppt media, the answer from the questioner was incorrect, unable to formulate suggestions for improvement. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Seminar Presentation in groups of 2 X 50		Material: seminar References: 2. Lamijan Hadi Susarno. 2009 Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers: Papers, Articles and Research Proposals. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	5%
--	---	---	--	---	--	--	----

13	1. Outputs science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues	Complete presentation files. 1. Present 2. Respond to the presentation. 3. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 4. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from colleagues as well as supervisors and examining lecturers.	Criteria: Score 4 = Presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media criteria, answer from the questioner was correct, formulated suggestions for improvement Score 3 = Presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize important aspects of the research, assisted ppt media according to media criteria, answer from questioner is generally correct, formulates suggestions for improvementSkoe 2 = Presentation is done, not coherent and/or does not emphasize important aspects of research, assisted by ppt media but does not meet media criteria, answer from questioner is generally no correct, formulate suggestions for improvement Score 1 = Presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, did not have the help of ppt media, the answer from the questioner was incorrect, unable to formulate suggestions for improvement. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Seminar Presentation in groups of 2 X 50		Material: seminar References: 2. Lamijan Hadi Susarno. 2009 Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers: Papers, Articles and Research Proposals. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	5%
----	---	---	---	---	--	--	----

14	1. Utilizing science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues	Compile presentation files. 1. Present. 2. Respond to the presentation. 3. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 4. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from colleagues as well as supervisors and examining lecturers.	Criteria: Score 4 = Presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media criteria, answer from the questioner was correct, formulated suggestions for improvement Score 3 = Presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize important aspects of the research, assisted ppt media according to media criteria, answer from questioner is generally correct, formulates suggestions for improvementSkoe 2 = Presentation is done, not coherent and/or does not emphasize important aspects of research, assisted by ppt media but does not meet media criteria, answer from questioner is generally no correct, formulate suggestions for improvement Score 1 = Presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, did not have the help of ppt media, the answer from the questioner was incorrect, unable to formulate suggestions for improvement.	Seminar Presentation in groups of 2 X 50	Material: seminar References: 2. Lamijan Hadi Susarno. 2009 Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers: Papers, Articles and Research Proposals. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	5%
15	1. Utilizina	Compile presentation	Participatory Activities	Seminar	Material	10%
	science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues	resentation 3. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 4. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from colleagues as well as supervisors and examining lecturers.	Score 4 = Presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media criteria, answer from the questioner was correct, formulated suggestions for improvement Score 3 = Presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize important aspects of the research, assisted ppt media according to media criteria, answer from questioner is generally correct, formulates suggestions for improvementSkoe 2 = Presentation is done, not coherent and/or does not emphasize important aspects of research, assisted by ppt media but does not meet media criteria, answer from questioner is generally no correct, formulate suggestions for improvement Score 1 = Presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, did not have the help of ppt media, the answer from the questioner was incorrect, unable to formulate suggestions for improvement. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentation in groups of 2 X 50	seminar Literature: 1. Team. 2012. Guidelines for Writing Thesis university of Surabaya. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	1040

16	1. Utilizing science and technology as a tool to communicate ideas for solving problems in education and learning. 2. Practice holding scientific forums in the form of seminars on educational and learning technology issues	Compile presentation files. 1. Present. 2. Respond to the presentation. 3. Record suggestions/input for improvements. 4. Revise papers/articles/research proposals based on suggestions/input from colleagues as well as supervisors and examining lecturers.	Criteria: Score 4 = Presentation was carried out coherently with appropriate intonation and emphasis, assisted by ppt media according to media criteria, answer from the questioner was correct, formulated suggestions for improvement Score 3 = Presentation was carried out coherently with intonation and but did not emphasize important aspects of the research, assisted ppt media according to media criteria, answer from questioner is generally correct, formulates suggestions for improvementSkoe 2 = Presentation is done, not coherent and/or does not emphasize important aspects of research, assisted by ppt media but does not meet media criteria, answer from questioner is generally no correct, formulate suggestions for improvement Score 1 = Presentation was carried out, but was not coherent and/or did not emphasize important aspects of the research, did not have the help of ppt media, the answer from the questioner was incorrect, unable to formulate suggestions for improvement. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Seminar Presentation in groups of 2 X 50		Material: thesis proposal product References: 1. Team. 2012. Guidelines for Writing Thesis at State University of Surabaya. Unesa University Press.	20%
----	---	---	--	---	--	--	-----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	86%
2.	Practice / Performance	14%
		100%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills, and knowledge. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the
- 3. study material or learning materials for that course
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that 5. identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7 Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.