

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Early Childhood Education Teacher Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses	CODE	CODE Course Family							Cre	dit W	eight		SEME	STER		Compilation Date		
Early Childho Strategy	8620703128							T=3	P=0	ECTS	=4.77		4	_	y 17, 20			
AUTHORIZAT	SP Develop	SP Developer				Co	Course Cluster Coordinator					Study	/ Progra	m Co	ordinat			
		Eka Cahya M	1aulidiy	ah, S	6.Pd.,	, M.P	d.	Sr	i Wida	ayati,	S.Pd	, M.Pd.		Kart	ika Rinal M	kit Adh .Pd.	ne, S.Pc	
Learning model	Project Base	ct Based Learning										-						
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course																	
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1																	
l	PO - 2	Students are able to demonstrate independent, quality and measurable performance based on scientific principles, procedures and ethics during lectures on early childhood education learning strategies																
1	PO - 3	Students are able to master pedagogical skills during early childhood learning strategy courses																
l	PO - 4	Students are able to analyze the curriculum in PAUD units which is based on national cultural values in lectures on early childhood education learning strategies																
	PLO-PO Ma	trix																
		P.O																
		PO-1																
		PO-2																
		PO-3																
		PO-4																
			1															
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																	
		P.0									Wee	k						
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 1	.1 1	.2 1	3 14	15	16	
		PO-1																
		PO-2																
		PO-3																
		PO-4			1													
		L				•				. 1						•]	
Short Course Description	utilizes science students are honesty, and	xamines learning ce and technology taught to be able in practice they a ussions, lectures,	in the to solve are taug	lectu e pro ght to	ure p oblem o be	roces is that able t	s, na t aris to ad	imely se wit lapt t	face h an o the	-to-fa attitu PAU	ce lea de of D inst	arning ar respons titutional	nd vi-le ibility,	earning cooper	In the lead	earnin depen	g proce dence a	
References	Main :																	

	 Yamin, Martinis. 2013. Strategi & Metode dalam Model Pembelajaran . Jakarta: GP Press Group. Gunarti, Winda, dkk. 2017. Metode Pengembangan Perilaku Dan Kemampuan Dasar. Tangerang : Universitas Terbuka. Maulidiyah, Eka Cahya. 2017. Pedoman Microteaching PAUD. Tulungagung: IAIN Tulungagung Press. Santrock, John W. 2011.Masa Perkembangan Anak. Jakarta: Salemba Sujiono, Yuliani Nurani. 2009. Konsep Dasar Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini . Jakarta: Indeks Morrison, George S. 2012. Dasar-dasar Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini (PAUD). Indeks: Jakarta Hasibuan, J.J. dan Moedjiono. 2012. Proses Belajar Mengajar . Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. Kemdikbud. 2015. Pedoman Penyusunan Rencana Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. Sukirman, Dadang. 2012. Pembelajaran Micro Teaching. Jakarta: Kementerian Agama. TIM Penyusun. 2011. Panduan Pengajaran Mikro. Yogyakarta: UNY. 								
	Supporters:								
Support lecturer		kit Adhe, S.F Iaulidiyah, S tri Pratiwi, S	Pd., M.Pd. S.Pd., M.Pd. 5.Pd., M.Pd.						
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	h		Learn Studen	p Learning, ing methods, t Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials	Assessment		
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	[References]	Weight (%)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
1	Describe and compare the differences between learning strategies and learning methods	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	2 X 50		Material: Differences in learning strategies and methods References: Yamin, Martinis. 2013. Strategies & Methods in Learning Models. Jakarta: GP Press Group.	2%		
2	Students are able to compare various learning strategies for early childhood education	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	2 X 50		Material: various learning strategies References: Yamin, Martinis. 2013. Strategies & Methods in Learning Models. Jakarta: GP Press Group.	2%		
3	Students are able to compare various learning models	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50		Material: various learning models Reader: Maulidiyah, Eka Cahya. 2017. Early Childhood Education Microteaching Guidelines. Tulungagung: IAIN Tulungagung Press.	2%		

4	Students are able to plan gross motor activities based on learning models and strategies	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	2 X 50	Material: Design of gross motor activities based on learning models and strategies. Reference: Maulidiyah, Eka Cahya. 2017. Early Childhood Education Microteaching Guidelines. Tulungagung: IAIN Tulungagung Press.	5%
5	Students are able to plan fine motor activities based on learning models and strategies	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	2 X 50	Material: Design of fine motor activities based on learning models and strategies References: Santrock, John W. 2011. Child Development Period. Jakarta: Salemba	5%
6	Students are able to design language activities based on learning models and strategies	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	2 X 50	Material: Design of language activities based on learning models and strategies References: <i>Gunarti</i> , <i>Winda, et al.</i> 2017. Basic Behavior and Ability Development Methods. Tangerang: Open University.	5%
7	Students are able to design cognitive activities based on learning models and strategies	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions and peer teaching 2 X 50	Material: Design of cognitive activities based on learning models and strategies References: Sujiono, Yuliani Nurani. 2009. Basic Concepts of Early Childhood Education. Jakarta: Index	5%
8	UTS	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Test	2 X 50	Material: UTS Reference: Morrison, George S. 2012. Basics of Early Childhood Education (PAUD). Index: Jakarta	20%

9	Students are able to design NAM activities based on learning models and strategies	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions and peer teaching (microteaching) 2 X 50	Material: NAM activity design based on learning models and strategies Reference: Ministry of Education and Culture. 2015. Guidelines for Preparing Early Childhood Education Learning Implementation Plans. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture.	5%
10	Students are able to design social activities based on learning models and strategies	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions and peer teaching (microteaching) 2 X 50	Material: Design of social activities based on learning models and strategies Reader: Hasibuan, JJ and Moedjiono. 2012. Teaching and Learning Process. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
11	Students are able to apply plans for gross motor and fine motor activities based on learning models and strategies	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Lectures, discussions and peer teaching (microteaching) 2 X 50	Material: Application of gross motor and fine motor activity plans Reader: Sukirman, Dadang. 2012. Micro Teaching Learning. Jakarta: Ministry of Religion.	2%
12	Students are able to apply language and cognitive activity plans based on learning models and strategies	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Lectures, discussions, inquiries 2 X 50	Material: Application of language and cognitive activity plans References: Drafting Team. 2011. Micro Teaching Guide. Yogyakarta: UNY.	2%
13	Students are able to apply NAM and SOSEM activity designs based on learning models and strategies	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Lectures, discussions, inquiries 2 X 50	Material: Application of NAM and SOSEM activity plans Reference: Drafting Team. 2011. Micro Teaching Guide. Yogyakarta: UNY.	2%

14	Students are able to analyze the application of gross motor, fine motor and language activities	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, assignment 2 X 50	Material: Analysis of the application of gross motor, fine motor and language activities. Reference: Ministry of Education and Culture. 2015. Guidelines for Preparing Early Childhood Education Learning Implementation Plans. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture.	9%
15	Students are able to analyze the application of cognitive activities, NAM and SOSEM	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion and inquiry 2 X 50	Material: analysis of the application of cognitive activities, NAM and SOSEM Reader: Yamin, Martinis. 2013. Strategies & Methods in Learning Models. Jakarta: GP Press Group.	9%
16	UAS	score	Criteria: 0-100 Form of Assessment : Test	exam	Material: UAS Literature: Sujiono, Yuliani Nurani. 2009. Basic Concepts of Early Childhood Education. Jakarta: Index	20%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	3%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	45.5%
3.	Practice / Performance	11.5%
4.	Test	40%
		100%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent

methods.

- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.