



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Early Childhood Education Teacher Education Undergraduate Study Program

\sim		CTE	D I F	- ^ 🗅	\sim D	LAN
	\mathbf{N}	\sim \sim		- 42	(- -	

			SEM	1ES	STE	ΞR	LE	AF	INS	NC	P	LA	N							
Courses			CODE				Cou	ırse F	amil	/	C	redit	t Weig	ht		SEME	STER	Cor	npilati	on
Inclusive Edu	ıcation		862070208	3				npulso			Т	=2	P=0 I	ECTS=	3.18		2	July	17, 20)24
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develop	per			- Pro (gram	Subje		urse (Clust	er Co	ordina	tor	Study Coord	Progr dinator	am		
		Devina Rahmadiani Kamaruddin Nur, M.Pd.; Diah Ekasari, M.Pd.; Muhammad Nurul Ashar, S.Pd., M.Ed.; Prof. Dr. H. Murtadlo, M.Pd.										Kartika Rinakit Adhe, S.Pd., M.Pd.								
Learning model	Project Based L	earnin	g																	
Program Learning	gram v	am which is charged to the course																		
Outcomes	Program Object	tives ((PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1	Mastering the theoretical concepts of disability and inclusive education																		
PO - 2 Mastering the basics of designing, implementing, evaluating services for GDPK in inclusive services. Skilled in logical thinking to solve problems in the field of inclusive education according to the scientific principles, procedures and ethics in order to produce solutions, ideas and designs.				schoo	s															
PO - 3 Sk			Skilled in logical thinking to solve problems in the field of inclusive education according to their expertise based on scientific principles, procedures and ethics in order to produce solutions, ideas and designs.																	
PO - 4 Skilled in working independently, working together in collaborative teams, being responsible for both individual team tasks, as well as communicating ideas, opinions and arguments orally/written in resolving cases related to the implementation of inclusive education						individ es rela	lual ited													
	PLO-PO Matrix																			
			P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at th	e end	of each lea	rninç	j sta	ge (S	ub-F	PO)												
			P.O									Wee	ek							
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PC)-1																	
		PC)-2																	
		PC)-3																	
		PC																		
			, ,																	
Short Course Description	This course exa education. Lectu learning, project-	res are	carried out u	using	direc	t lear	ning,	case	study	clusiv, sm	ve ed all-gro	ucati oup d	on an liscuss	d the sion, co	praction of the company of the compa	ce of i	mplem arning,	enting proble	inclus em-bas	sive sed
References	Main :																			

- 1. Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.
- Carrington, Suzanne, and Macarthur, Jude (Ed). 2012. Teaching In Inclusive School Communities. Australia: John Wilay & Sons Australia, Ltd.
- 3. Choate. Joyce S. 2004. Pengajaran Inklusif Yang Sukses: Cara Handal untuk mendeteksi dan memperbaiki kebutuhan khusus. Hellen Keller International-Pearson Education Inc.
- 4. Hellen Keller International. Menjadikan Lingkungan Inklusif: Ramah Terhadap Pembelajaran (LIRP).
- Kementrian Pendidikan Nasional. Modul Pelatihan Pendidikan Inklusif. Kerjasama Kementrian Australia- Indonesia. Jakarta: Kemdiknas.
- 6. Departemen Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. 2011. Pedoman Umum Penyelenggaraan Pendidikan Inklusif. Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- 7. Direktorat PK-PLK. 2012. Pedoman Pembudayaan Pendidikan Inklusi di Indonesia . Jakarta: Kemdikbud.
- 8. Salend, Spencer J. 2011. Creating Inclusive Classrooms: Effective and Reflective Practice, Seven Edition. Boston: Pearson Education Inc.
- Lewis, Rena B. and McLoughin, James A. 1986. Assessing Special Students. London: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company.

Supporters:

1. Video Praktik Pembelajaran Inklusif

Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. H. Murtadlo, M.Pd. Diah Ekasari, M.Pd. Devina Rahmadiani Kamaruddin Nur, M.Pd. Muhammad Nurul Ashar, S.Pd., M.Ed.

Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Final abilities of Learning materials **Evaluation** each learning Assessment Weekstage (Sub-PO) References Weight (%) Offline (Criteria & Form Online (online) Indicator (1) (2) (3)(4) (5) (6) (7) (8) Explain the scope and mechanisms 1 Understand the Criteria: Discussion Material: 3% scope and 2 X 50 1.If all questions Concept of mechanisms of **Inclusive** can be lectures Education answered well Reader: then you will Budiyanto. get a perfect 2011. Best score Practices 2. Inclusive Education in Form of Japan, Assessment: Australia, Participatory India, and Activities Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED. Explain the concept of PI. Explain the 2 Understand the Criteria: Material: 3% basic concepts of Concept of 2 X 50 1.If all questions inclusive education Observation Inclusive can be philosophy of PI. Education Seminar answered well Explain the types Reader: of services in then Budiyanto. inclusive schools 2.Perfect score 2011. Best Practices Form of Inclusive Assessment: Education in Participatory Japan, Activities Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED. 3 Understand the Explaining the Criteria: Discussion Material: 3% principles and foundations of principles of PI Explaining the 1.If all questions 2 X 50 Principles and can be inclusive education foundations Foundations answered well (philosophical of Inclusive iuridical then empirical, Education 2.Perfect score pedagogical) Reference: Department Form of of Education Assessment: and Culture. Participatory 2011. Activities General Guidelines for Implementing Inclusive Education. Jakarta: Department of Education and Culture.

4	Understanding students with special needs	Explaining Prevalence Explaining Types and characteristics of GDPK	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, then the score will be higher. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Principles and Foundations of Inclusive Education Reference: Department of Education and Culture. 2011. General Guidelines for Implementing Inclusive Education. Jakarta: Department of Education and Culture.	3%
5	Understand the identification and assessment of students with special needs	Explaining Identification and assessment of PDBK Carrying out Identification and assessment of PDBK	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Case Study Observations 2 X 50	Material: Literature Identification and Assessment : Ministry of National Education. Inclusive Education Training Module. Australia- Indonesia Ministerial Cooperation. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education.	3%
6	Understand the identification and assessment of students with special needs	Explaining Identification and assessment of PDBK Carrying out Identification and assessment of PDBK	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Case Study Observations 2 X 50	Material: Literature Identification and Assessment : Ministry of National Education. Inclusive Education Training Module. Australia- Indonesia Ministerial Cooperation. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education.	3%
7	Understanding the curriculum in inclusive schools	Explaining the structure of the curriculum in inclusive schools. Identifying the stages of curriculum adaptation. Carrying out curriculum adaptations	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Observation Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive School Curriculum Library: PK- PLK Directorate. 2012. Guidelines for Cultivating Inclusive Education in Indonesia. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture.	3%

			I			
8	UTS	uts	Criteria: 1.If all questions can be answered well then you will get a perfect score 2. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	UTS 2 X 50	Material: UTS Library: Videos on Inclusive Learning Practices	20%
9	Understanding learning management in inclusive schools	1.Carry out learning planning 2.Prepare matrix planning 3.Develop learning programs	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Practical 2 X 50	Material: Learning Management in Inclusive Schools Reference: Salend, Spencer J. 2011. Creating Inclusive Classrooms: Effective and Reflective Practice, Seven Edition. Boston: Pearson Education Inc.	
10	Understanding learning management in inclusive schools	1.Carry out learning planning 2.Prepare matrix planning 3.Develop learning programs	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Practical 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reference: Choate. Joyce S. 2004. Successful Inclusive Teaching: A Reliable Way to detect and correct special needs. Hellen Keller International- Pearson Education Inc.	
11	Understanding the implementation of learning in schools	1.Identifying learning in inclusive schools 2.Get to know the characteristics of learning in inclusive schools 3.Explain the principles of learning in inclusive schools.	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discovery- inquiry 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	6%

12	Understanding manpower in inclusive education	Identifying staff in inclusive schools. Explaining the main tasks and functions of staff in inclusive schools	Criteria: 1.If all questions can be answered well then 2.Perfect score Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	3%
13	Understand facilities and infrastructure	Identifying facilities and infrastructure in inclusive schools. Explaining accessibility in inclusive schools. Getting to know assistive technology	Criteria: The more complete the contents of the paper and show the depth of discussion, the better the grade Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	3%
14	Understand the support system and community empowerment	Explaining the support system in inclusive schools Explaining the resource room in inclusive schools Explaining the function and role of resource centers in inclusive schools Explaining the role of PDBK or PLA service centers and the like	Criteria: The more complete the contents of the paper and show the depth of discussion, the better the grade Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	3%
15	Understand assessment and grade promotion and reporting	Explaining the assessment system in inclusive schools. Explaining the grade promotion system in inclusive schools. Explain the reporting and certification system in inclusive schools.	Criteria: The more complete the contents of the paper and show the depth of discussion, the better the grade Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	3%
16	UAS	uts	Criteria: 1.If all questions can be answered well then you will get a perfect score 2. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	UAS Development of an inclusive learning model 2 X 50	Material: Development of an inclusive learning model Reference: Video of Inclusive Learning Practices	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

	iiiig	
No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	44%

2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	56%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.