

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Early Childhood Education Teacher Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE			Course Family			C	Credit Weight				SEMESTER Compilation			ion			
LEARNING TO DRAW AUD		86207	02144	Compulsory Study		T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18				18		1	Date Nove		r 7				
		00207	8620702144			Program Subjects		'	-2	F-0	2013-3	10	-	L	2023		1,		
AUTHORIZAT	SP De	SP Developer				Course Cluster Coordinator					Study Program Coordinator								
		Dr. Nu	Dr. Nurul Khotimah, S.Pd, M.Pd				Dra. Nurhenti Dorline Simatupang, M.Sn					Kartika Rinakit Adhe, S.Pd., M.Pd.							
Learning model	Project Based L	earning																	
Program	PLO study prog	gram whic	h is char	ged to	the	cour	se												
Learning Outcomes	Program Objec	Program Objectives (PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1	Able to wor	k together	, partici	ipate a	and h	nave	socia	sen	sitivity	y and	conc	ern for so	ciety	/ and t	he envi	ironme	ent.	
	PO - 2	Implementi	ng the con	cepts o	of child	dren'	s artis	stic de	evelo	pmer	nt opt	imally	/						
	PO - 3	Applying it i	n Early Cł	nildhoo	d Fine	e Arts	s work	< activ	/ities										
	PO - 4	Mastering E	Early Child	hood D	rawin	ıg lea	rning	tech	nique	es.									
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
		P.	0																
		PC	D-1																
		PC	0-2																
		PC	0-3																
		PC)-4																
	PO Matrix at the	e end of ea	ach learn	ing st	age (Sub	-PO)												
		P.0	С								We	ek							1
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	1
		PO-1																	
		PO-2																	
		PO-3																	
		PO-4																	
																			1
Short Course Description	Understanding ar drawing lessons attitudes, practici material on art, d types of work, cr assignments, wor	in PAUD. ng develop rawing and reative prac	The learni ing work, painting, f tice, strate	ng exp and a forms c egies a	erien pprec of chilo ind ev	ce is iating dren' valua	reali g dra s paii tion (ized i wing nting,	in ac worł colo	ctivitie ks wł or con	s of nich e nposit	study ends tion, k	ving, unde with an e knowledge	ersta exhit e of (nding, bition. drawin	applyi Coveri q mate	ng kno ng intr erials a	owled oduc ind to	dge, ctory ools,
References	Main :																		

	 Indahan Irfan Abu Simon, H Suardi, I Khotima Nurul KH ISBN 97 	, Zely. 2012. Te dul rohman.2014 Howard. 2003. T Dedy. 2000. Kor h, Nurul. 2013. hotimah.2015. F 8-979-028-742-	h menggambar . Jakarta: knik menggambar menggu 0. panduan menggambar r ēhnik Menggambar . Sem nposisi Warna . Bandung: Pembelajaran Meggambar ine Arts Education Recog 6 embelajaran menggambar	inakan pensil. S nanusia menggi arang: Effhar Remaja Rosdał , LPPM Unesa nition Technique	hira Media unakan media pensil .Yog karya e Dyeing In Early Childho	od. Proceeding	ı Internasional
	1. https://se	cholar.google.co	om/				
Supportin lecturer	g Dr. Nurul Khotim	ah, S.Pd., M.Pd	l.				
Week- e	Final abilities of each learning stage		Evaluation	Lear Stude	Ip Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Master and explain the basics of introductory art material and learning to draw	Students understand and are able to draw well and correctly	Criteria: Oral test (short answer) Attitude assessment (attitude observation sheet) Performance (observation sheet) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small Group Discussion Lecture, Cooperative Learning. Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	Blended Learning Asynchronous learning at Vinesa	Material: Nurul Khotimah. 2015. Fine Arts Education Recognition Technique Dyeing In Early Childhood. International Proceedings ISBN 978- 979- 028- 742-6 Nurul Khotimah. 2018. Learning to draw ISBN: 978-602- 449-196-3. University Press Nurul Khotimah. 2012. Child- based learning in the development of the arts (fine) field at batik and sabitul azmi preschools in Sidoarjo. Journal of Arts research and education References:	5%

· · · · · ·					1	
2	Mastering the understanding of drawing and painting material	• Can explain the meaning of drawing and painting	Criteria: Attitude assessment (observation sheet) Performance (observation sheet) Written test (description) Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance, Tests	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	Material: Nurul Khotimah. 2015. Fine Arts Education Recognition Technique Dyeing In Early Childhood. Internationa Proceeding ISBN 978- 979- 028- 742-6 Nuru Khotimah. 2018. Learning to draw ISBN: 978-602- 449-196-3. Unesa University Press Nuru Khotimah. 2012. Child based learning in the developmen of the arts (fine) field a batik and sabitul azm preschools in Sidoarjo. Journal of Arts research and education	al s I I - nt i
3	Understand the forms of children's paintings	Understand the forms of children's paintings	Criteria: Attitude assessment (observation sheet) Performance (observation sheet) Written test (description) Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative best learning project 2 X 50	Material: Nurul Khotimah. 2015. Fine Arts Education Recognition Technique Dyeing In Early Childhood. Internationa Proceeding ISBN 978-028- 742-6 Nuru Khotimah. 2018. Learning to draw ISBN: 978-602- 449-196-3. Unesa University Press Nuru Khotimah. 2012. Child based learning in the developmen of the arts (fine) field a batik and sabitul azm preschools in Sidoarjo. Journal of Arts research and education References	5%

4	Mastering composition, mixing colors	Can explain color composition Can make color mixtures	Criteria: 50 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	5%
5	Drawing materials and tools for children		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	5%
6	Able to make pictures of nature/plants		Criteria: observation Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	5%
7	Able to make pictures of animals	Students understand and are able to draw well and correctly	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	5%
8	UTS		Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	PBL 2 X 50	30%
9	Able to create human images		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	0%
10	Able to make Perspective drawings		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	0%
11	Understand the types of works of art		Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Collaborative, Humanistic 2 X 50	0%
12	Create works of art		Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	0%
13	Create works of art	Can create various works of art	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	0%
14	Evaluate and appraise works of art		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	0%
15	Have an understanding of exhibitions and appreciation of works of art	Exhibition and appreciation of works of art	Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Tests	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	0%
16	UAS		Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practice / Performance, Test	Scientific Humanistic Collaborative 2 X 50	34%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Lear					
No. Evaluation	Percenta				

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning						
No	Evaluation	Percentage				
1.	Participatory Activities	29.17%				

2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	18.83%
3.	Practice / Performance	30.5%
4.	Test	20.5%
		99%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.