

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Early Childhood Education Teacher Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses				CODE		Course	Family		Credit	Wei	ght	SE	MESTER	Compi Date	lation
Special Needs Aud Analysis				8620702001			T=2 F	<b>&gt;=0</b>	ECTS=3.1	8	4	July 18	, 2024		
AUTHORIZATION				SP Developer			Course Cluster Coordinator			Stu	Study Program Coordinator				
												Kar	Kartika Rinakit Adhe, S.Pd., M.Pd.		
Learning model	rning Case Studies														
Progran	n	PLO study pro	gram v	which is cha	arged to the	course									
Outcom	es	Program Object	ctives (	(PO)											
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix													
P.O															
		PO Matrix at th	ne end	of each lea	rning stage	(Sub-PO	)								
						-	-								
			P.0						Week						
				1 2	3 4	5 6	7	8	9 10	)	11 12	13	14	15 1(	3
						-							1		
Short Course Descrip	tion	The course on knowledge, as w identification and identification and techniques and resolving probler system of preser	identific well as l assess d assess instrume ms in th ntations,	cation and a experience sment instrur sment of chi ents for the le field of ide discussions	ssessment o and skills to nents as well Idren with sp identification entification an , field practice	f children students as the ab ecial need and asses d assessr e and refle	with s through bility to p ds and o ssment ment of ection.	pecial conce lan, ap equippi of chilc childrei	needs is epts, obj oply, anal ng stude fren with n with sp	s a o jectiv lyze, ents f n spe pecia	course that es, scope, evaluating to be able cial needs l needs. Le	t provi techn and re to mal to find ectures	ides unde iques, m esolving p ke decisio d alternat s are carr	erstandin ain steps roblems ons in ap ve soluti ed out u	g and s, and in the oplying ons in ising a
Referen	ces	Main :													
<ol> <li>Abdurrahman, Mulyono, 1996. Pendidikan bagi Anak Berkesulitan Belajar . Depdi</li> <li>Pierangelo, R &amp; Giuliani, G. 2008. Understanding Assessment in the Special Press-A Sage Company.</li> <li>Rena, B. Lewis, 1986. Assessing Special Students . London: Charless E Merril Pt 4. Sheila, Wolfedale, 1994. Assessing Special Educational Needs, London. New Yor</li> </ol>								diknas: Ditj I Educatior Publishing ( ork: Cassel	en Dik n Proc Compa I Vllers	ti. ess . Ca any s House	ifornia: C	Corwin			
		Supporters:													
Support lecturer	ting	Prof. Dr. Sujarwa Dr. H. Pamuji, M Dr. Ruqoyyah Fit dr. Febrita Ardiar	anto, M.I .Kes. tri, S.Ag ningsih,	Pd. ., M.Pd. M.Si.											
Week-	Fin eac sta	Final abilities of each learning stage		Evaluation			L Stu		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Le m Re	Learning materials [ References	Asses: Weigł	sment 1t (%)	
	(30	510)	In	dicator	Criteria &	⊷orm	offli	ne( ne)	Onl	line (	online)		]		
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)		(!	5)		(	6)		(7)	8)	5)

1	Understand the competencies, descriptions, sequence of material for the identification- assessment course for children with special needs	Mentions competencies, descriptions, sequence of material for identification- assessment courses for children with special needs	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific Collaborative 3 X 50		0%
2	Understand the concept and purpose of identification- assessment of children with special needs	<ol> <li>Explain the concept of identification and assessment of children with special needs</li> <li>Describe the purpose of identifying and assessing Children with Special Needs</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific 3 X 50		0%
3	Understand the scope of identification and assessment of children with special needs	Describe the scope of special needs	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific 3 X 50		0%
4	Understand the techniques for carrying out identification and assessment of children with special needs	Understand the techniques for carrying out identification and assessment of children with special needs	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	scientific 3 X 50		0%
5	Understand the techniques for carrying out identification and assessment of children with special needs	Understand the techniques for carrying out identification and assessment of children with special needs	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	scientific 3 X 50		0%
6	Understand the main steps in identifying and the teams involved	<ol> <li>Identifying The main steps in carrying out identification</li> <li>Detailing the team involved in carrying out the identification</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	scientific 3 X 50		0%
7	Understand the main steps in carrying out an assessment and the team involved in carrying out the assessment	<ol> <li>Identify the main steps in carrying out the assessment</li> <li>Details of the team involved in carrying out the assessment</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	scientific 3 X 50		0%

8	according to meetings 1-7	according to meetings 1-7	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	UTS 3 X 50		0%
9	Understanding academic instruments for assessing children with special needs	Describes academic instruments for assessing children with special needs	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific 3 X 50		0%
10	Understanding non-academic instruments for assessing children with special needs	Describe non- academic instruments for assessing children with special needs	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific 3 X 50		0%
11	Simulate the identification and assessment of children with special needs	1.Developing ABK assessment instruments 2.Carrying out crew assessment simulations 3.Analyzing the results of ABK assessment simulations	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific humanistic 3 X 50		0%
12	Simulate the identification and assessment of children with special needs	1.Developing ABK assessment instruments 2.Carrying out crew assessment simulations 3.Analyzing the results of ABK assessment simulations	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific humanistic 3 X 50		0%
13	Implement assessments for children with special needs	1.Developing ABK assessment instruments 2.Carrying out crew assessments 3.Analyzing ABK assessment results	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific humanistic 3 X 50		0%
14	Implement assessments for children with special needs	1.Developing ABK assessment instruments 2.Carrying out crew assessments 3.Analyzing ABK assessment results	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific humanistic 3 X 50		0%

15	Implement assessments for children with special needs	1.Developing ABK assessment instruments 2.Carrying out crew assessments 3.Analyzing ABK assessment results	Criteria: 1.Score 4 if done very well, 2.Score 3 if done well, 3.Score 2 if done sufficiently, 4.Score 1 if not done	Scientific humanistic 3 X 50		0%
16						0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No Evaluation Percentage

## Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.