



Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Faculty of Sports and Health Sciences
Bachelor of Sports Science Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses	CODE	Course Family	Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date																																
Science phylosophy	8920102187	Compulsory Study Program Subjects	T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	1	May 1, 2023																																
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer	Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator																																	
		Dr. Made Pramono, M.Hum			Dr. Heri Wahyudi, S.Or., M.Pd.																																	
Learning model	Case Studies																																						
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO study program that is charged to the course																																						
	PLO-7	Able to understand, analyze and evaluate and apply scientific theories, especially physical fitness, mental health and social health in the field of sports science. (PLO-7)																																					
	PLO-10	Able to think critically, logically, innovatively and systematically in order to develop and optimize the potential of the business and industrial world in the field of sports science. (PLO-10)																																					
	Program Objectives (PO)																																						
	PLO-PO Matrix																																						
		<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">P.O</td> <td style="width: 20%;">PLO-7</td> <td style="width: 20%;">PLO-10</td> <td colspan="4"></td> </tr> </table>						P.O	PLO-7	PLO-10																													
P.O	PLO-7	PLO-10																																					
PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																																							
	<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td rowspan="2" style="width: 5%;">P.O</td> <td colspan="16" style="text-align: center;">Week</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 5%;">1</td> <td style="width: 5%;">2</td> <td style="width: 5%;">3</td> <td style="width: 5%;">4</td> <td style="width: 5%;">5</td> <td style="width: 5%;">6</td> <td style="width: 5%;">7</td> <td style="width: 5%;">8</td> <td style="width: 5%;">9</td> <td style="width: 5%;">10</td> <td style="width: 5%;">11</td> <td style="width: 5%;">12</td> <td style="width: 5%;">13</td> <td style="width: 5%;">14</td> <td style="width: 5%;">15</td> <td style="width: 5%;">16</td> </tr> </table>						P.O	Week																1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
P.O	Week																																						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16																							
Short Course Description	Explanation of the implementation of sports philosophy as a basis and subject for analysis of various sports issues in three dimensions, namely ontology, axiology and epistemology.																																						
References	Main :																																						
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pramono, Made. 2015. Filsafat Ilmu Keolahragaan. Surabaya: Unesa University Press. 2. Haag, H. 1994. Theoretical Foundation of Sport Science as a Scientific Discipline: Contribution to a Philosophy (Meta-Theory) of Sport Science . Schourdorf, Verlag Karl Hoffmann. Federal Republic of Germany. 3. Edgar, Andrew. 2014. Sport and Philosophy. dalam Sport, Ethics and Philosophy, 7:1, 10-29, DOI: 10.1080/17511321.2013.761882. 4. Morgan, William J. dan Meier, Klaus V. (ed.). 1995. Philosophic Inquiry in Sport . Second Edition . Champaign, USA: Human Kinetics. 5. Hardman, Alun dan Jones, Carwyn (eds.), 2010, Philosophy of Sport: International Perspectives , Cambridge Scholars Publishing, London. 6. Osterhoudt, Robert G. 1978 (published online 2013). The History and Philosophy of Sport: The Re-unification of Once Separated Opposites . Journal of the Philosophy of Sport, 5:1, 71-76, DOI: 10.1080/00948705.1978.10654143. 7. Kretchmar, R.S.,1994, Practical Philosophy of Sport ,Champaign: Human Kinetics 8. McNamee, Mike (ed.), 2005, Philosophy and The Sciences of Exercise, Health and Sport: Critical Perspectives on Research Methods , Routledge, London and New York. 																																						
	Supporters:																																						
Supporting lecturer	Dr. Or. Purbodjati, M.S. Dr. Made Pramono, S.S., M.Hum. Ratna Candra Dewi, S.KM., M.Kes.																																						

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history and position of the philosophy of science	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify several definitions of the philosophy of science 2. Identify the scope of discussion of the philosophy of science 3. Describes the history of the philosophy of science 4. Explain the position of the philosophy of science 	Criteria: 1. Question 1: 30 Question 2: 30 2. Question 3: 40 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Pulpit lectures, presentations, (slides) and questions and answers 4 X 50			0%
2	Able to identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history and position of the philosophy of science	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify several definitions of the philosophy of science 2. Identify the scope of discussion of the philosophy of science 3. Describes the history of the philosophy of science 4. Explain the position of the philosophy of science 	Criteria: 1. Question 1: 30 Question 2: 30 2. Question 3: 40	Pulpit lectures, presentations, (slides) and questions and answers 4 X 50			0%
3	Able to explain general scientific conceptions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify different types and sources of knowledge 2. Defining science based on its characteristics, nature and essence 3. Outlining the history of science 4. Explain the differences between science and philosophy, religion and art 	Criteria: 1. Question 1: 20 Question 2: 20 2. Question 3: 30 Question 3: 30 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Pulpit lectures and questions and answers Slide and film screenings Online lectures and interactions 4 X 50			0%
4	Able to explain general scientific conceptions	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify different types and sources of knowledge 2. Defining science based on its characteristics, nature and essence 3. Outlining the history of science 4. Explain the differences between science and philosophy, religion and art 	Criteria: 1. Question 1: 20 Question 2: 20 2. Question 3: 30 Question 3: 30 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Pulpit lectures and questions and answers Slide and film screenings Online lectures and interactions 4 X 50			0%

5	Able to examine scientific problems on the basis of scientific ontology	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Defining ontology and scientific ontology 2. Explain the streams of scientific ontology 3. Explains the character's thoughts about scientific ontology 	<p>Criteria: Question 1: 50 Question 2: 50</p> <p>Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment</p>	Pulpit lectures (slides) and questions and answers Group discussions on ontology themes Online lectures and interactions 4 X 50			0%
6	Able to examine scientific problems on the basis of scientific ontology	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Defining ontology and scientific ontology 2. Explain the streams of scientific ontology 3. Explains the character's thoughts about scientific ontology 	<p>Criteria: Question 1: 50 Question 2: 50</p> <p>Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities</p>	Pulpit lectures (slides) and questions and answers Group discussions on ontology themes Online lectures and interactions 4 X 50			0%
7	Able to examine scientific problems on the basis of scientific epistemology	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Defining epistemology and scientific epistemology 2. Explain the schools of scientific epistemology 3. Explains the character's thoughts about scientific epistemology 	<p>Criteria: Question 1: 50 Question 2: 50</p> <p>Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities</p>	Pulpit lecture (slides) and questions and answers Group discussion on the theme of epistemology of science Online lectures and interactions 6 X 50			0%
8	Able to examine scientific problems on the basis of scientific epistemology	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Defining epistemology and scientific epistemology 2. Explain the schools of scientific epistemology 3. Explains the character's thoughts about scientific epistemology 	<p>Criteria: Question 1: 50 Question 2: 50</p> <p>Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities</p>	Pulpit lecture (slides) and questions and answers Group discussion on the theme of epistemology of science Online lectures and interactions 6 X 50			0%
9	Able to examine scientific problems on the basis of scientific epistemology	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Defining epistemology and scientific epistemology 2. Explain the schools of scientific epistemology 3. Explains the character's thoughts about scientific epistemology 	<p>Criteria: Question 1: 50 Question 2: 50</p> <p>Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities</p>	Pulpit lecture (slides) and questions and answers Group discussion on the theme of epistemology of science Online lectures and interactions 6 X 50			0%
10	Able to examine scientific problems on the basis of scientific axiology	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Define axiology and scientific axiology 2. Explain the schools of scientific axiology 3. Explains the character's thoughts about scientific axiology 	<p>Criteria: Question 1: 45 Question 2: 10 Question 3: 45</p> <p>Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment</p>	Pulpit lectures and questions and answers Group discussions on axiological themes of science Online lectures and interactions 6 X 50			0%

11	Able to examine scientific problems on the basis of scientific axiology	1. Define axiology and scientific axiology 2. Explain the schools of scientific axiology 3. Explains the character's thoughts about scientific axiology	Criteria: Question 1: 45 Question 2: 10 Question 3: 45 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Pulpit lectures and questions and answers Group discussions on axiological themes of science Online lectures and interactions 6 X 50			0%
12	Able to examine scientific problems on the basis of scientific axiology	1. Define axiology and scientific axiology 2. Explain the schools of scientific axiology 3. Explains the character's thoughts about scientific axiology	Criteria: Question 1: 45 Question 2: 10 Question 3: 45 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Pulpit lectures and questions and answers Group discussions on axiological themes of science Online lectures and interactions 6 X 50			0%
13					UTS		0%
14	Able to integrate philosophical themes of science with contemporary humanitarian issues	Explain/analyze contemporary humanitarian issues using philosophical analysis of science	Criteria: Question 1: 45 Question 2: 55 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Group discussion Submission of general conclusions Online interaction 4 X 50			0%
15	Able to integrate philosophical themes of science with contemporary humanitarian issues	Explain/analyze contemporary humanitarian issues using philosophical analysis of science	Criteria: Question 1: 45 Question 2: 55	Group discussion Submission of general conclusions Online interaction 4 X 50	Group discussion. Submission of general conclusions. Online interaction		0%
16	Able to integrate philosophical themes of science with contemporary humanitarian issues	Explain/analyze contemporary humanitarian issues using philosophical analysis of science	Criteria: Question 1: 45 Question 2: 55 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Group discussion Submission of general conclusions Online interaction 4 X 50	Group discussion. Submission of general conclusions. Online interaction		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program)** are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.
- Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
10. **Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
11. **The assessment weight** is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.