

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Sports and Health Sciences S1 Sports Coaching Education Study Program

Document Code

- CALSA													
		SE	MEST	ER LE	EARNI	NG	PLAI	N					
Courses		CODE		Cour	rse Family		Credit V	/eight		SEMES	STER	Compila Date	ation
Motor Learnii	ng	85202021	134				T=2 P=	0 EC	TS=3.18	2		July 17,	2024
AUTHORIZAT	TION	SP Devel	loper			Cours	se Cluste	r Coor	dinator	Study Coord			
										Dr. Or		ammad, S .Pd.	5.Pd.,
Learning model	Case Studies												
Program Learning	PLO study program	that is ch	narged to	the cours	е								
Outcomes	Program Objectives	s (PO)											
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix												
		P.0											
	PO Matrix at the end	d of each	learning s	tage (Sub	-PO)								
	_												_
		P.O				١	Neek						
		1	2 3	4 5	6 7	8	9 10	11	12	13 1	L4	15 16	
Short Course Description	Understanding and m diagnose, correct and The process of growt children's motor skills games they can do. cognitive aspects and independence of early	treat move th and devolute. The develothe growth	ement error elopment of elopment of opment of co of social-e	s in the most children's children's children's	ovement lea s motor skill motor skill physical mo	arning pills is result of the second in the	process a elated to e clearly s while p	nd prin the pro visible laying	ciples in ocess of through has man	teaching growth the vari by benef	g spor and c ous m its for	ts motor levelopments the grow	skills. ent of s and vth of
References	Main :												
	1. Baharudin, Wa 2. FOX, et al.199 3. Kiram, Yanual 4. Lutan, Rusli.1 5. Mahendra, A Pendidikan Ind 6. Rahyubi, Heri	94. Physiolo r.1992 .Bela 988. Belaja gus.2007. T donesia (UI	ogy Exercis ajar Motorik r Keteramp Teori Belaja PI)	e . Depdikbu ilan Motoril ar Mengaja	d < Pengantai ar Motorik.	r Teori c Fakulta	dan Metod as Pendid	le .P2L Ikan (.PTK Dep Dlahraga		esehat	an Unive	rsitas
	Supporters:												
		•											

Supporti lecturer	Prof. Dr. Agus Ha Dr. Wijono, M.Pd Dani Primanata,	antara Subagio, M.Kes. . Agus Hariyanto, M.Kes. nno, M.Pd. imanata, S.Pd.,M.Pd. Alfan Triardhana, S.Or., M.Kes.							
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	ch learning		Lea Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)		
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	References]	3 ()		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		

1	Understand and agree to the Tuition Contract. Understand the scope and concept of human movement	1.Able to understand college contracts 2.Able to understand the scope and concept of human movement	Criteria: Assessment rubric	Lecture Discussion and questions and answers Assignment 2 X 50		0%
2	Able to understand the meaning of motor learning (movement learning), motor development and movement mechanisms	1. Understanding motor learning 2. Understanding motor development 3. Mechanisms of movement	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 4.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 5.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 6. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 2) (UAS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50		0%

3	Understand and be able to analyze the types of motor activity produced as a result of a stimulus.	types of motor activity produced as a result of a stimulus	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2. Subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 through a written exam and given weight (3) 2.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 3.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 4.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50		0%
			Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 2) (UAS Grade%2 3) divided by 10			
4	Able to understand and interpret the characteristics of motor development of elementary school age children	Characteristics of motor development of elementary school age children	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are veraged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50		0%

1	1				1	Т	1
5	Able to understand and interpret the characteristics of the first level motor learning phase	characteristics of the first level motor learning phase	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50			0%

6	Able to understand and interpret the characteristics of the second level motor learning phase and be able to distinguish the characteristics of individual abilities in the first and second level motor learning phases	characteristics of the second level motor learning phase and characteristics of individual abilities in the first and second level motor learning phases	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50		0%
			writing with indicators 1-16 and given a			

7	Able to understand and interpret the characteristics of the third level motor learning phase and be able to distinguish the characteristics of individual abilities in the first, second and third level motor learning phases	characteristics of the third level motor learning phase and characteristics of individual abilities in the second and third level motor learning phases.	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50		0%
8	Midterm exam			2 X 50		0%

9	Able to understand and interpret various aspects related to the diagnosis and correction of movement errors in the movement learning process	various aspects related to the diagnosis and correction of movement errors in the motor learning process	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50		0%
10	Able to understand and interpret various aspects related to movement error therapy in the movement learning process	various aspects related to movement error therapy in the movement learning process	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are averaged out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50		0%

11	Understand and be able to implement learning development models in AUD in terms of developmental differences	After attending the lecture, students are expected to be able to: Explain and be able to create a motorbike learning design at AUD in terms of developmental differences	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50			0%
----	--	--	---	--	--	--	----

	,		,		•	
After attending the lecture, students are expected to be able to implement learning development models for AUD aged 0 – 2 years After attending the lecture, students are expected to be able to design a learning development model for AUD aged 0 – 2 years After attending the lecture, students are expected to be able to design a learning development model for AUD aged 0 – 2 years After attending the lecture, students are expected to be able to design a learning development model for AUD aged 0 – 2 years After attending the lecture, students are expected to be able to design and per teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignment given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are averaged, and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 2) (UTS Grade%2 2) (UAS Grade%2 2) (UAS Grade%2 2) divided by 10		scussion Question d answer	1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3)	the lecture, students are expected to be able to: Explain and be able to design a learning development model for AUD aged 0 – 2	able to implement learning development models for AUD	12

			T	1	1	1	
13	Understand and be able to implement learning development models for AUD aged 2-4 years	After attending the lecture, students are expected to be able to: Explain and be able to design a learning development model for AUD aged 2-4 years.	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50			0%
14	Understand and be able to implement learning development models for AUD aged 4-6 years	After attending the lecture, students are expected to be able to: Explain and be able to design a learning development model for AUD aged 4-6 years.	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are veraged, and given weight (3) 4.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 2 X 50			0%

	15	Understand and be able to apply the Physical Motor learning development model to AUD which is related to Giftedness	After attending the lecture, students are expected to be able to: Explain and practice the learning development model at AUD related to giftedness	Criteria: 1.1. Participation during lectures and peer teaching, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once with indicators 1-7 via a written exam and given a weight (3) 3.3. Assessment of written tests in peer teaching and presentation of assignments given are considered as assignment grades, scores are averaged, and given weight (3) 4.4. UAS scores are carried out in writing with indicators 1-16 and given a weight (3) 5.5. Final grade (NA) = (Participation Grade%2 2) (Assignment Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) (UTS Grade%2 3) divided by 10	1. Lecture 2. Discussion 3. Question and answer 4. 2 X 50 simulation			0%
--	----	---	--	---	--	--	--	----

16	Final exams		2 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

		_	1.
Nο	Evaluation	Percentage	
140	Lvalaation	1 Crocmage	
		00/	l
		U%0	

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
 and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based
 on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
 unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.