

(2)

(3)

(1)

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Sports and Health Sciences S1 Sports Coaching Education Study Program

Document Code

UNESA		S1 Sports Coaching Education Study Program										
	SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN											
Courses			CODE	ODE Course Family		Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date		
Managem Referenci	nent ing A	of Organizing and Athletics Sports	d	8520204615			T=1	P=3	ECTS=6.36	6	July 17, 2024	
AUTHOR	IZAT	TON		SP Developer		Course	Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator		
										Dr. Or. Muhammad, S.Pd., M.Pd.		
Learning model		Case Studies										
Program Learning		PLO study prog	gram	that is char	ged to the cou	irse						
Outcome (PLO)		Program Objec		(PO)								
(1 20)		PLO-PO Matrix										
				P.O								
		PO Matrix at the	e end	d of each learning stage (Sub-PO)								
		F	P.O Week									
				1 1	2 3 4	5 6	7 8	9	10	11 12	13 14	15 16
Short Course Descript							ement, as well					
Reference	ces	Main :										
 Bucher, C.A. and Krotee, M.L. 1997. Management of Physic Harsuki, 2003, Perkembangan olahraga Terkini , Jakarta. PT , 2012, Pengantar Manajemen Olahraga , Jakarta. PT Mullin, Hardy, Sutton, 1993, Sport Marketing , USA. Human Mutohir, Toho C, 2006, Jejak Langkah Anak Bangsa Menjela Parkhouse, Bonnie L, 1991, The Management Of Sport , US Rokosz.F., 1981, Procedures for Structuring and Scheduling Terry, George R & Leslie W. Rue, 2000, Dasar-dasar Manaj UU RI Nomor : 3 Tahun 2005 tentang Sistem Keolahragaan Robbin, Stephen P, 1996, Perilaku Organisasi : Alih Bahasa 				ta. PT Raja G rta. PT Rajaw man Kinetic I enjelajah Dur t , USA. Most duling Sport T Manajemen : a gaan Nasiona	Frafind Vali Pe Publisl Pia Ola Py Yea Tourna Palih ba	o Perk rs hers ahraga ar Bool ments hasa	kasa . , Katalaog D K Wichita Kai G.A Ticoalu, C	alam Terbitan nsas Jakarta. PT Bu	(KTD)			
		Supporters:										
		-										
Supporting lecturer Catur Supriyanto, S.Pd., M.Kes., Ph.D. Fifit Yeti Wulandari, S.Pd., M.Pd.												
Week-	eac stag			Evaluation			Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)		
(Su		ub-PO)		ndicator	Criteria & Fo	orm Offi	line (<i>offline</i>)	0	nline	(online)	1	

(5)

(4)

(7)

(6)

(8)

1	Ability to understand competition rules and judging/refereeing systems.	1.Students are able to explain the tasks of each track event and field event 2.Students are able to explain the various numbers in the competition 3.Students are able to explain the various types of violations at each track	4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
2	Ability to understand competition rules and judging/refereeing systems.	event and field event 1. Students are able to explain the tasks of each track event and field event 2. Students are able to explain the various numbers in the competition 3. Students are able to explain the various the competition are able to explain the various types of violations at each track event and field event	4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
3	Able to analyze and evaluate race rules for track and/or field events	1.Students are able to explain timing at track events 2.Students are able to explain time recording at track events 3.Students are able to explain the various types of violations at track events	4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
4	Able to analyze and evaluate race rules for track and/or field events	1.Students are able to explain timing at track events 2.Students are able to explain time recording at track events 3.Students are able to explain the various types of violations at track events	4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%

_	T		ı	T	ı	
5	Able to analyze and evaluate race rules for track and/or field events	1.Able to understand how to measure at field events 2.Able to understand and explain illegal rules when competing in field events		4 x 50 face to face (lectures, demonstrations, practice) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
6	Able to analyze and evaluate race rules for track and/or field events	1.Able to understand how to measure at field events 2.Able to understand and explain illegal rules when competing in field events		4 x 50 face to face (lectures, demonstrations, practice) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
7	Able to analyze and evaluate race rules for track and/or field events	1.Able to understand how to measure at field events 2.Able to understand and explain illegal rules when competing in field events		4 x 50 face to face (lectures, demonstrations, practice) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
8	UTS		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	4 X 50		50%
9	Students are able to understand and simulate track numbers.	1.Able to demonstrate track numbers 2.Know the rules for track numbers 3.Explanation of the practice of track numbers		4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
10	Students are able to understand and simulate track numbers.	1.Able to demonstrate track numbers 2.Know the rules for track numbers 3.Explanation of the practice of track numbers		4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%

11	Students are able to understand and simulate field numbers	1.Able to demonstrate field numbers 2.Know the rules for field numbers 3.Explanation of the practice of field numbers		4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
12	Students are able to understand and simulate field numbers	1.Able to demonstrate field numbers 2.Know the rules for field numbers 3.Explanation of the practice of field numbers		4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
13	Students are able to understand and simulate the rules for combined numbers	Explanation of the practice of simulating combined numbers		4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
14	Students are able to understand and simulate the rules for combined numbers	Explanation of the practice of simulating combined numbers		4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
15	Students are able to understand and simulate the rules for combined numbers	Explanation of the practice of simulating combined numbers		4 x 50 face to face (case study, q&a and discussion) 4 x 60 structured assignments 4 x 60 independent study 4 X 50		0%
16	UAS		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	4 X 50		50%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	100%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of
 their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.

- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based
 on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
 unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.