

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social Sciences and Law Sociology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

UNES		Sociology Undergraduate Study Program									
			SEME	STER LEA	ARNING	PLAN					
Courses			CODE	Cou	ırse Family	nily Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
Postmodern Theories			6920103250	6920103250			T=3 P	=0 ECT	S=4.77	8	July 18, 2024
AUTHORIZATION Learning Case Studies			SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator			
									Dr. Agus Machfud Fauzi, M.Si.		
model	DI O struk	414	:l								
Progran Learning	g	program that bjectives (PO	is charged to the co	ourse							
Outcom (PLO)	PLO-PO Ma	•)								
		P.O									
	PO Matrix a	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)									
		P.O				Week			1	1 44 1 45 1 40	
			1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8	9 10	11	12	13	14 15	5 16
Short Course Descrip	course discu	sses history, po of key postmo	of the mandatory course ostmodern concepts, the dern figures with the s ng a system of case and	e position of postmo pirit of postreality ar	dern theory in th nd poststructural	ne Sociologic lity such as	al parad	igm, the o	condition	n of postmode	rn society, and
Referen	ces Main:	Main:									
	2. Geo 3. Geo 4. Chai	 Scott Lash. 2004. Sosiologi Posmodernisme . Yogyakarta: Kanisius George Ritzer. 2008. Teori Sosial Posmodern . Yogyakarta: Juxtapose George Ritzer. 2010. Teori Sosiologi: Dari Klasik hingga Posmodern . Yogyakarta: Kreasi Wacana Charlers Jencks. 2010. Postmodern Reader . New York: Routledge Jean-François Lyotard. 1984. The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge. Inggris: Manchester University Press 									
	Supporters:	Supporters:									
Support lecturer		S.Sos., M.Si.									
Week-	Final abilities or each learning stage (Sub-PO)	f	Evaluation		Help Lea Learning m Student Ass [Estimate		methods, signments, ed time]		Learning materials [References	Assessmen Weight (%)	
(2)	(**************************************		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (o	•	Onli	ne (<i>onlii</i>	ne)	(7)	(0)
1	Analyzing Postmodern culture, technolo	technolo	ng Postmodern culture, ogy, epistemology	Clarity of papers and	Lectures and company 3 X 50			(6)		(7)	0%
	epistemology			PPT							

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evaluation		Help Lea Learning n Student Ass [Estimate	Learning materials [Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Analyzing Postmodern culture, technology, epistemology	Explaining Postmodern culture, technology, epistemology	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50			0%
2	Analyzing Postmodern culture, technology, epistemology	Explaining Postmodern culture, technology, epistemology	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50			0%
3	Understanding Discourse, Power/Knowledge	ExplainingDiscourseGeneology PowerArchaeology of Knowledge	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50			0%
4	Explains the concepts of Discipline, Panopticon, Governmentality and Biopower	Analyzing Discipline, Panopticon, and Governmentality and Biopower	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50			0%

5	Analyze the concepts of sex and power	Explain the concepts of sex and power	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	lectures and discussions 3 X 50		0%
6	Distinguish Simulation, Simulation, and hyperality	Explaining Simulation, Simulation, and hyperality	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50		0%
7	Distinguish Simulation, Simulation, and hyperality	Analyzing Simulation, Simulation, and hyperality	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50		0%
8	Midterm exam			3 X 50		0%
9	Distinguishing Boudrillard Versus Foucault confrontation	Comparing the theoretical concepts of Boudrillard and Foucault	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50		0%
10	Explain the concepts of Difference, logocentrism and deconstruction	Analyzing the concepts of Difference, logocentrism and deconstruction	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50		0%
11	Analyzing differences, logocentrism and deconstruction	Distinguish between difference, logocentrism and deconstruction	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50		0%
12	Analyzing concepts and theories. The concepts of difference, logocentrism and deconstruction	Identifying concepts and theories. The concepts of difference, logocentrism and deconstruction	Criteria: clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50		0%
13	Analyzing the concepts of Habitus, domain, social capital, symbolic power, doxa	Understand the concepts of habitus, domain, social capital, symbolic power, doxa	Criteria: Speed of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions/presentations 3 X 50		0%
14	Understand the concepts of habitus, domain, social capital, symbolic power, doxa	Analyze the concepts of habitus, domain, social capital, symbolic power, doxa	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lectures and discussions 3 X 50		0%
15	Analyzing the concept of Doxa	Understand the concept of doxa	Criteria: Clarity of papers and PPT	Lecture and discussion 3 X 50		0%
16	Final exams			3 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material
- or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure of measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice,
- Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- ${\bf 12.\ TM\text{-}Face\ to\ face,\ PT\text{--}Structured\ assignments,\ BM\text{--}Independent\ study.}$