

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social Sciences and Law Sociology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

UNES	A																
SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																	
Courses			CODE	ODE Course Family			Credit Weigh		ght	SEMESTER	Compilation Date						
Higher Education Studies			6920102292	2			Study Program Elec			lective	T=1 P=0 ECTS=		ECTS=1.59	5	July 17, 2024		
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer		Cou	Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator								
												Dr. Agus Machfud Fauzi, M.Si.					
Learning model		Project Based L	_earning	9													
Program		PLO study pro	gram w	which is charged to the course													
Learning Outcome		Program Obje	ctives (I	(PO)													
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix	(														
P.O																	
		PO Matrix at th	ne end o	of each lear	ning s	stage	(Sul	b-PO)									
P.O Week																	
		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15						15 16									
				-					I	I						<u> </u>	
Short Course Descript								ls. Lectures are									
Reference	ces	Main :															
		<ol> <li>Bachri, Unesa</li> <li>Dahlia &amp;</li> <li>Daryanti</li> <li>Hamalik</li> <li>Hidayat,</li> <li>Hadalik</li> <li>Idi, Abdi</li> <li>Mulyasa</li> <li>Nurdin,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Dahlia &amp; Suyadi. 2015. Implementasi dan Inovasi Kurikulum PAUD 2013. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya Offset</li> <li>Daryanto. 2014. Pendekatan Pembelajaran Saintifik Kurikulum 2013. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Gava Media</li> <li>Hamalik, O. 2013. Dasar-dasar Pengembangan Kurikulum. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya Offset</li> <li>Hidayat, S. 2015. Pengembangan Kurikulum Baru. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya Offset</li> <li>Hamalik, Oemar 2010. Manajemen Pengembangan Kurikulum. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya</li> </ol>														
Supporters:																	
Supporting lecturer  Moh. Mudzakkir, S.Sos., M.A., Ph.D.																	
Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)			Evaluation					Learning Student A			earning dent As Estima	earning, y methods, ssignments, ated time]			Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)	
`				Indicator		Crite		Form		Offline	e ( offli	ine )	0		online)		(6)
(1)		(2)		(3)			(4)				(5)			(6	) ]	(7)	(8)

1	Students understand the concept of curriculum evaluation	Students can explain the concept of the evaluation field. Students can provide examples of the evaluation field	Criteria:  1. Very well 2. Good 3. Enough 4. Not enough 5. Very little  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion Brainstorming 4 X 50		5%
2	Students understand the definition, objectives and function of curriculum evaluation	Students can explain the definition, objectives and functions. Students can provide examples of activities and benefits of curriculum evaluation	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less  Form of Assessment: Participatory	DiscussionPresentation 4 X 50		5%
3	Students understand the basis of curriculum evaluation	Students can explain the basis for curriculum evaluation. Students can explain each component of the basis for curriculum evaluation	Activities  Criteria:  1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group Discussion Questions and Answers 4 X 50		5%
4	Students understand quantitative-based curriculum evaluation criteria	Students can explain curriculum evaluation criteria. Students can provide examples of evaluation criteria	Criteria: 1. Very well 2. Good 3. Enough 4. Not enough 5. Very less Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50		5%
5	Students understand the qualitative-based curriculum evaluation criteria	Students can explain qualitative curriculum evaluation criteria. Students can provide examples of qualitative curriculum evaluation criteria	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50		5%
6	Students understand the scope of curriculum evaluation	Students are able to explain the scope of curriculum evaluation. Students can provide examples of the scope of curriculum evaluation	Criteria: Very Good Fair Fair Poor More or less Very good  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group discussion Question and Answer Problem Based Learning 4 X 50		5%
7	Students understand the types of curriculum evaluation	Students can explain types of curriculum evaluation. Students can give examples of various types of curriculum evaluation	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50		5%
8	UTS	Foundations of Curriculum EvaluationCurriculum Evaluation ProceduresCurriculum Evaluation Methods	Form of Assessment : Test	4 X 50	Material: UTS Library:	15%

9	Students understand curriculum evaluation procedures	Students can explain curriculum evaluation procedures. Students are able to explain the steps in curriculum evaluation procedures	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50		5%
10	Students understand curriculum evaluation models	Students can explain EV models. Curriculum Students can provide examples of EV models. Curriculum	Criteria:  1. Very well 2. Good 3. Enough 4. Not enough 5. Very less  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50		5%
11	Students understand curriculum development	Students can explain curriculum development	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50		5%
12	Students understand the principles and components of curriculum development	Students can explain the principles and components of curriculum development	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Peng principle. Peng component curriculum. 4 X 50 curriculum		5%
13	Students understand curriculum developments from time to time	Students can explain curriculum developments in Indonesia	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50		5%
14	Students understand curriculum developments from time to time	Students can explain curriculum developments in Indonesia	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50		5%
15	Students understand about curriculum development in various countries	Students can explain the development of country curricula in the world	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50		5%
16	Students understand the concept of the 2013 curriculum and its developments	Students can explain various things about the 2013 curriculum and its developments	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50	Material: UAS Literature:	15%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage						
1.	Participatory Activities	77.5%						
2.	Test	22.5%						
		100%						

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
  graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
  obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria
  can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.