



**Universitas Negeri Surabaya**  
**Faculty of Social Sciences and Law**  
**Sociology Undergraduate Study Program**

**Document Code**

**SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN**

<b>Courses</b>	<b>CODE</b>	<b>Course Family</b>	<b>Credit Weight</b>			<b>SEMESTER</b>	<b>Compilation Date</b>																																																											
Digital Sociology Learning in High School	6920103295		T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	4	December 7, 2022																																																											
<b>AUTHORIZATION</b>	<b>SP Developer</b>		<b>Course Cluster Coordinator</b>			<b>Study Program Coordinator</b>																																																												
	.....		Dr. M. Jacky, S.Sos., M.Si			Dr. Agus Machfud Fauzi, M.Si.																																																												
<b>Learning model</b>	<b>Project Based Learning</b>																																																																	
<b>Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)</b>	<b>PLO study program which is charged to the course</b>																																																																	
	<b>Program Objectives (PO)</b>																																																																	
	<b>PLO-PO Matrix</b>																																																																	
		P.O																																																																
<b>Short Course Description</b>	<b>PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)</b>																																																																	
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">P.O</th> <th colspan="16">Week</th> </tr> <tr> <th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th><th>6</th><th>7</th><th>8</th><th>9</th><th>10</th><th>11</th><th>12</th><th>13</th><th>14</th><th>15</th><th>16</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>																P.O	Week																1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16																
P.O	Week																																																																	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16																																																		
<b>References</b>	<p><b>Main :</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. ama</li> <li>2. Tian Belawati. 2020. Pembelajaran Online. Jakarta: Universitas Terbuka</li> <li>3. Jared Stein and Charles R. Graham. 2014. Essentials for Blended Learning_ A Standards-Based Guide . New York : Routledge</li> <li>4. Douglas McConatha, Christian Penny, Jordan Schugar, and David Bolton. 2014. Mobile Pedagogy And Perspectives On Teaching And Learning . Ohio: IGI Global.</li> <li>5. Selma Koç, Xiongyi Liu, Patrick Wachira. 2015. Assessment in Online and Blended Learning Environments . Ohio: Information Age Publishing Pendukung</li> <li>6. Leonard A. Annetta, Elizabeth Folta, Marta Klesath. 2010. V-Learning - Distance Education in the 21st Century Through 3D Virtual Learning Environments. Netherlands: Libgen.lc.</li> <li>7. Rena M. Palloff, Keith Pratt. 2009. Assessing the Online Learner . San Francisco: Jossey-Bass</li> <li>8. Janet Macdonald. 2008. Blended Learning and Online Tutoring, Planning Learner Support and Activity Design . Burlington: Gower Publishing.</li> <li>9. Charles Juwah. 2006 . Interactions in Online Education, Implications for theory and practice . New York: Routledge - Taylor &amp; Francis e-Library.</li> <li>10. . Januszewski, Alan and Molenda, Michael. 2008. Educational Technology : A Definition With Commentary. AECT. NY: AECT</li> <li>11. . Kemdikbud. 2013 . Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan Nomor 99 Tahun 2013 Tentang Tata Kelola Teknologi Informasi Dan Komunikasi Di Lingkungan Kementerian Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan. Jakarta: Kemdikbud.</li> </ol> <p><b>Supporters:</b></p>																																																																	
<b>Supporting lecturer</b>	Dr. M. Jacky, S.Sos., M.Si.																																																																	

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [ Estimated time]		Learning materials [ References ]	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students can analyze the content/concept map of the scope of discussion of Cyber learning in education	1. Explain the definition of cyber learning in education 2. Explain the position of cyber learning in the domain of Sociology courses in high school 3. Describe the scope of cyber learning	<p><b>Criteria:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Concepts assessed:</li> <li>2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning</li> <li>3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles</li> <li>4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures</li> <li>5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning</li> <li>6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.</li> </ol> <p><b>Form of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance</p>	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Observe school grounds		7%
2	Students have global insight into the development of information and communication technology for education and learning	Explain the development of information and communication technology in cyberspace in education and learning	<p><b>Criteria:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Concepts assessed:</li> <li>2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning</li> <li>3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles</li> <li>4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures</li> <li>5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning</li> <li>6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.</li> </ol> <p><b>Form of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities</p>	Direct instruction 2 X 50	Presentation		7%
3	Students can analyze cyber learning concepts	1. Identify the concept of cyber learning. 2. Explain the relationship between the concept of independent learning	<p><b>Criteria:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Concepts assessed:</li> <li>2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning</li> <li>3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles</li> <li>4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures</li> <li>5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning</li> <li>6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.</li> </ol> <p><b>Form of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance</p>	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		7%

4	Students can identify various theoretical foundations that underlie cyber learning	1. Identify supporting theories of cyber learning 2. Synthesize links between supporting theories	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Form of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		7%
5	Students can identify various approaches to cyber learning	1. Identify various cyber learning approaches. 2. Describe the implementation of various cyber learning approaches	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Forms of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		7%
6	Students can synthesize the qualitative direction of cyber learning	1. Analyze the qualitative perspective of cyber learning. 2. Outline alternative cyber learning perspectives	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Form of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Focus Group Discussion (FGD) 2 X 50			7%

7	Students can identify various efforts to facilitate cyber learning	1. Identify cyber learning needs. 2. Formulate solutions resulting from identified needs	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Form of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		7%
8	Students can outline efforts that can be made to improve cyber.	1. Define cyber forms of learning. 2. Formulate cyber improvement activities	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Forms of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		7%
9	Students can analyze institutional models for cyber learning	1. Outline the need for an institutional structure for cyber learning. 2. Analyze the institutional process for cyber learning	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Forms of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Jigsaw with expert group: 1. Head of institution 2. Infrastructure 3. Student Affairs 4. Public Relations 2 X 50	Presentation		7%

10	Students can analyze cyber learning policy issues	1. Analyze contemporary issues of cyber learning. 2. Identify solutions to problems of contemporary issues of cyber learning	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Form of Assessment :</b> Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Focus Group Discussion (FGD) 2 X 50	Presentation		7%
11	Students can synthesize the global context of cyber learning	1. Identify the context of global cyber learning. 2. Outline the various advantages of global cyber learning	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Forms of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		0%
12	Students can identify obstacles to implementing cyber learning	1. Identify various obstacles to implementing cyber learning. 2. Outline alternatives for resolving obstacles to cyber learning	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Form of Assessment :</b> Practice / Performance	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		5%

13	Students can outline a cyber learning thinking framework	1. Identifying the rationale for cyber learning. 2. Connecting various components in a framework for thinking about cyber learning	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Forms of Assessment :</b> Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		5%
14	Students can analyze studies of motivation theory for cyber learning	1. Identify motivation theories in learning 2. Explain the relationship between motivation and cyber learning	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Form of Assessment :</b> Practice / Performance	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		5%
15	Students can identify the formative evaluation process of cyber learning	1. Explain the formative evaluation process in cyber learning. 2. Describe the follow-up to the results of the formative evaluation.	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Form of Assessment :</b> Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		0%

16	Students can identify the summative evaluation process of cyber learning	1. Explain the summative evaluation process in cyber learning. 2. Describe the follow-up to the results of the summative evaluation.	<b>Criteria:</b> 1. Concepts assessed: 2.1. Discover the concept of cyber learning 3.2. Discover the differences between classical and individual learning principles 4.3. Clarity of cyber learning processes and procedures 5.4. Description of the weaknesses and strengths of cyber learning 6.5. Forms of cyber learning in the future learning era.  <b>Form of Assessment :</b> Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Test	Discussion & Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Presentation		15%
----	--	---	---	--	--------------	--	-----

#### Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	43.33%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	26.33%
3.	Practice / Performance	22.83%
4.	Test	7.5%
		99.99%

#### Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program)** are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.
- Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- The assessment weight** is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.