Document Code



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences, Bachelor of State Administration Study Program

				SE	EME	ST	ER	LE.	ARI	VIN	G F	PLA	N								
Courses			CODE					Cour	se Fan	nily			Cred	it We	eight		SEME	STER		ompilation ate	n
Public Admin	istration Semin	ar	63201020	637				Comp Subje		Study	Progr	ram	T=2	P=0	ECT	S=3.18		7		nuary 30, 024	
AUTHORIZAT	TION		SP Deve	loper							Cou	urse C	Cluste	er Co	ordin	ator	Study	/ Progra	ım Co	ordinator	
				abawa	ati, S.S	os., M		inawati, M.AP.; luhammad Farid		Dr.	Dr. Tjitjik Rahaju, M.Si		Eva Hany Fanida, S.AP.,		.AP., M.AI	Ρ.					
Learning model	Project Based	Learning	J																		
Program	PLO study pr	ogram v	hich is ch	arge	d to th	e cou	ırse														
Learning Outcomes	PLO-10	Master	ing qualitativ	ve and	d quan	titative	analy	sis me	thods a	and tecl	hniqu	es for	admi	nistra	ation.						
(PLO)	PLO-11	Contrib	oute to impro	ving 1	the qua	ality of	life in	society	and th	ne state	١.										
	PLO-14	Able to	make decis	ions i	n solvi	ng pro	blems	based	on the	results	of in	forma	tion a	ınd d	ata an	alysis.					
	Program Objectives (PO)																				
	PO - 1 Compile research background in the field of public administration																				
	PO - 2																				
	PO - 3																				
	PO - 4 Present research proposals in seminars																				
	PLO-PO Matrix																				
													_								
				PLO-	10		PLO-11 PI			PLO-14											
			P.O PO-1		1 20	10		1 20 1				•	1								
													1								
			PO-2										-								
			PO-3																		
			PO-4	4																	
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																				
			P.O									Wee	ek								
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	0	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PO-	1																		
		PO-	2																		
		PO-	3																		
		PO-	4																		
		<u> </u>			1											l		l l			
Short Course Description	The State Adm turning them in																				n,
References	Main :																				
	Miles,     States     Moleor	Matthew of Americal of Lexy J	W. 2017. Re B, A. Michae a: SAGE Pu . 2017.Meto vrence. 2013	el Hul ıblicat dolog	berma tion, In i Pene	n and c litian K	Johnny Cualitat	y Salda if. Ban	ana. 20 dung :	014. Qu Remaja	ualitat a Ros	tive D sdakar	ata A ya	nalys	sis : A	Methods	Source				d
	Supporters:																				_
	1. Putra,		Hendarman Metode Per													a					_

Supporting lecturer

Dra. Meirinawati, M.AP. Dr. Tjitjik Rahaju, M.Si. Indah Prabawati, S.Sos., M.Si. Muhammad Farid Ma'ruf, S.Sos., M.AP. Eva Hany Fanida, S.AP., M.AP. Dr. Suci Megawati, S.IP., M.Si.

	Dr. Suci Megawa	ati, S.IP., M.Si.					
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evalua	tion	Learn Studen [ Est	o Learning, ing methods, t Assignments, imated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Independently prepare a description of the research title analysis that is clear, complete, precise and in accordance with public administration science	1. Suitability of the topic to the field of public administration 2. Clarity of title description 3. The urgency of the research title 4. The attractiveness of the research title 5. Completeness of the description of the selection of research title	Criteria:  1.The selected topic is in accordance with the science of public administration  2.The selected topic has urgency in solving problems in state administration science  3.The title of the research has the urgency of a public administration scientific problem  4.The research title is interesting to research  5.The description of the research title is analyzed completely  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Responsiveness 3 X 50	Respond	Material: Research Design: Introduction, Importance of Introduction, Introduction, Introduction, Introduction in Quantitative, Qualitative and Mixed Methods Research References: Cresswell, John W. 2017. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Approaches (Third Edition). Yogyakarta: Student Library  Material: Why Do Library Research Neuman, W. Lawrence. 2013. Social Research Methodology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Jakarta: Index  Material: Problems, Variables and Research Paradigms Library: Sugiyono. 2011. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Research Methods. 14th printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%

2	Prenare a	1 Clarity of the control	Criteria		Despond to Desserat	Material	E04
2	Prepare a description of the results of the gap analysis in public administration theory and empirical problems in a clear, complete, precise and in accordance with public administration science	1. Clarity of theoretical gaps 2. The accuracy of the description of theoretical gaps 3. Completeness of the description of theoretical gaps 4. Compatibility of gaps in empirical problems in research with public administration scholarship 5. Clarity of the empirical research problem under study 6. Completeness of data supporting empirical research problems	Criteria:  1.There is a clear description of the theoretical gaps in the background  2.The theoretical gaps are described appropriately  3.Theoretical gaps are outlined with complete analysis results  4.There is a gap in empirical problems in research with public administration science  5.Empirical problem gaps in research are clearly outlined  6.The gap in empirical research problems is supported by complete supporting data  Form of Assessment:  Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Respond to 3 X 50 Research Proposal Projects	Respond to Research Proposal Projects	Material: Literature Review: Use of Literature References: Cresswell, John W. 2017. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Approaches (Third Edition). Yogyakarta: Student Library  Material: How to Review Literature and Conduct Ethical Studies References: Neuman, W. Lawrence. 2013. Social Research Methodology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Jakarta: Index  Material: Theoretical Foundations, Framework for Thinking and Proposing Hypotheses Reader: Sugiyono. 2011. Quantitative Qualitative and R&D Research Methods. 14th printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%
3	able to review national and international journals related to state administration studies.	1. The accuracy of the research problem formulation 2. Clarity of the research problem formulation 3. Suitability of the formulation of research objectives with the formulation of research problems 4. Clarity of description of research objectives 5. Accuracy of description of research benefits	Criteria:  1.The research problem is formulated precisely and clearly 2.The research objectives are stated clearly, precisely and in accordance with the research problem area 3.The theoretical benefits and practical benefits and practical benefits of research are explained clearly, precisely and in accordance with public administration science  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Respond to the 3 X 50 Research Proposal Project Assessment	Respond to Research Proposal Project Assessment	Material: Significance and meaning of research objectives References: Cresswell, John W. 2017. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Approaches (Third Edition). Yogyakarta: Student Library  Material: Problems, Variables and Research Paradigms Library: Sugiyono. 2011. Quantitative, Qualitative, Qualitative, Qualitative, Qualitative and R&D Research Methods. 14th printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%

4	Prepare a comparative description of relevant public administration research	1.The relationship between previous research maps and the research carried out 2.Up-to-date previous research that supports public administration scientific research 3.Clarity of comparative descriptions of previous research that supports public administration scientific research 4.Completeness of comparative descriptions of previous research that supports public administration scientific research 5.The accuracy of the comparative description of previous research that supports public administration scientific research scientific research that supports public administration scientific research that supports public administration scientific research	Criteria:  1.Previous research mapped is related to the research conducted  2.Previous research that supports the latest public administration scientific research that supports public administration scientific research that supports public administration scientific research is clearly explained  4.A comparison of previous research that supports public administration scientific research is described in full  5.A comparison of previous research that supports public administration scientific research is described in full  5.A comparison of previous research that supports public administration scientific research is described appropriately  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Respond to 3 X 50 proposals	Respond to proposals	Material: Literature Review References : Cresswell, John W. 2017. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Approaches (Third Edition). Yogyakarta: Student Library  Material: How to review literature in conducting ethical studies References: Neuman, W. Lawrence. 2013. Social Research Methodology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Jakarta: Index  Material: Theoretical Foundations, Framework for Thinking and Proposing Hypotheses Reader: Sugiyono. 2011. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Research Methods. 14th printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	7%
5	Prepare a description of relevant theoretical arguments in public administration and a research thinking framework	1.The relevance of the theory used in research to public administration scholarship 2.Clarity of the description of the theory used in the research 3.Completeness of the description of the theory used in the research 4.The accuracy of the theoretical description used in the research 5.Depth of description of the theory used in research 6.The accuracy of the structure of the research thinking framework	Criteria:  1.The theory used is relevant to public administration science  2.The theory used in the research is explained clearly  3.The theory used in the research is described in full  4.The theory used in the research is described precisely  5.The theory used in research has depth of description  6.The research framework is structured appropriately  Form of Assessment:  Project Results  Assessment / Product  Assessment	Respond to the description of the theoretical basis of the 3 X 50 public administration scientific research proposal project	Respond to a description of the theoretical basis of a public administration scientific research proposal project	Material: Research Framework Literature: Neuman, W. Lawrence. 2013. Social Research Methodology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Jakarta: Index	8%

			Ī	1		<del>                                     </del>	
6	Prepare a description of the research method design that is relevant to the public administration research that will be carried out	1. 2.Relevance, accuracy and clarity of the description of the research approach used 3.Relevance, accuracy and clarity of description of the type of research used 4.Relevance, accuracy and clarity of the description of the reasons for selecting the research location 5.Relevance, accuracy and clarity of focus/operationalization of research variables 6.Relevance, accuracy and clarity of the research data sources used 7.Relevance, accuracy and clarity of the research data collection techniques used 8.Relevance, accuracy and clarity of the research data analysis techniques used	Criteria:  1.The research approach described is relevant, clear and precise  2.The type of research described is relevant, clear and precise  3.The reasons for selecting the research location are explained clearly, precisely and relevantly  4.The description of the research focus/concept is operationalized clearly, precisely and systematically measurable for quantitative research  5.Research data sources are described as relevant, clear and precise  6.The research data collection techniques described are relevant, clear and precise  7.The research data analysis techniques described are relevant, clear and precise  7.The research data sanalysis techniques described are relevant, clear and precise  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Research method design project response 6 X 50	Research method design project response	Material: Writing a Proposal Bibliography: Cresswell, John W. 2017. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Approaches (Third Edition). Yogyakarta: Student Library  Material: Methods of Exploring Library: Miles, Matthew B, A. Michael Huberman and Johnny Saldana. 2014. Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook. Edition 3. United States of America: SAGE Publications, Inc  Material: Research Proposal or Design References: Moleong, Lexy J. 2017. Qualitative Research Methodology. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth  Material: Planning and Preparation Bibliography: Neuman, W. Lawrence. 2013. Social Research Methodology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Jakarta: Index	12%

7	Develop instruments according to the administrative research methods to be carried out	1.Accuracy of the composition of research instruments 2.Clarity of description of research instruments 3.Measurability of research instruments 4.Research Validity Test for quantitative research methods 5.Research Reliability	Criteria:  1. Appropriately structured research instruments  2. The research instruments are clearly described  3. Measurable research instruments according to the method used  4. The research instrument was tested for validity  5. The research instrument has been tested for reliability  Form of Assessment:  Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Respond to the results of the 6 X 50 research instrument design	Respond to the results of preparing the research instrument design	Material: Components in Experimental Research, Data Analysis and Validation Processes Literature: Cresswell, John W. 2017. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Approaches (Third Edition). Yogyakarta: Student Library  Material: Instrumentation Bibliography: Miles, Matthew B, A. Michael Huberman and Johnny Saldana. 2014. Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook. Edition 3. United States of America: SAGE Publications, Inc  Material: Criteria and Techniques for Checking the Validity of Data References: Moleong, Lexy J. 2017. Qualitative Research Methodology. Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth  Material: Measurement Scales and Research Instruments and Techniques, Validity and Reliability of Qualitative Research Search Reader: Sugiyono. 2011. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Research Methods. 14th printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	3%
8	UTS	1.The accuracy of the description of the answers to the midterm exam questions 2.Clarity of descriptions of answers to midterm exam questions 3.Complete description of answers to midterm exam questions 4.Depth of analysis of the description of answers to midterm exam questions	Criteria:  1.Answers are explained appropriately 2.Answers are explained clearly 3.Answers are explained in full 4.Answers are analyzed in depth Form of Assessment:	Written Test 3 X 50	Writing test	Material: Able to answer various material questions 1-7 References: Cresswell, John W. 2017. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Approaches (Third Edition). Yogyakarta: Student Library	6%

9	Present and argue research proposals in public administration seminars	1.Completeness and accuracy of presentation materials 2.The seminar presentation was very well organized 3.Seminar presentations are presented in a structured manner 4.Clarity and attractiveness of presentation material delivery 5.Argumentation ability to answer questions correctly, accurately, in accordance with theoretical grounds	Criteria:  1.Research presentation materials are presented completely and accurately 2.The seminar presentation material was very well organized 3.Presentation material is presented in a structured manner 4.Presentation material is presented clearly and interestingly 5.Ouestions in the	Seminar	Seminar	Material: argumentative research in literature: Putra, Nusa and Hendarman. 2012. Policy Research Methodology. Bandung: Teenrosdakarya	6%
			seminar are answered correctly, accurately and in accordance with theory  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance				
10	Present and argue research proposals in public administration seminars	1.Completeness and accuracy of presentation materials 2.The seminar presentation was very well organized 3.Seminar presentations are presented in a structured manner 4.Clarity and attractiveness of presentation material delivery 5.Argumentation ability to answer questions correctly, accurately, in accordance with theoretical grounds	Criteria:  1.Research presentation materials are presented completely and accurately 2.The seminar presentation material was very well organized 3.Presentation material is	Seminar	Seminar	Material: arguments in research proposals References: Cresswell, John W. 2017. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Approaches (Third Edition). Yogyakarta: Student Library	6%
11	Present and argue research proposals in public administration seminars	1.Completeness and accuracy of presentation materials 2.The seminar presentation was very well organized 3.Seminar presentations are presented in a structured manner 4.Clarity and attractiveness of presentation material delivery 5.Argumentation ability to answer questions correctly, accurately, in accordance with theoretical grounds	Criteria:  1.Research presentation materials are presented completely and accurately 2.The seminar presentation material was very well organized 3.Presentation material is presented in a structured manner 4.Presentation material is presented clearly and interestingly 5.Questions in the seminar are answered correctly, accurately and in accordance with theory  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Seminar	Seminar	Material: arguments in research proposals Reader: Putra, Nusa and Hendarman. 2012. Policy Research Methodology. Bandung: Teenrosdakarya	6%

12	Present and argue research proposals in public administration seminars	1.Completeness and accuracy of presentation materials 2.The seminar presentation was very well organized 3.Seminar presentations are presented in a structured manner 4.Clarity and attractiveness of presentation material delivery 5.Argumentation ability to answer questions correctly, accurately, in accordance with theoretical grounds	Criteria:  1.Research presentation materials are presented completely and accurately 2.The seminar presentation material was very well organized 3.Presentation material is presented in a structured manner 4.Presentation material is presented clearly and interestingly 5.Questions in the seminar are answered correctly, accurately and in accordance with theory  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Seminar	Online seminars	Material: arguments in research proposals Reader: Sugiyono. 2011. Quantitative, Qualitative and R&D Research Methods. 14th printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	6%
13	Present research proposals and argue them in public administration seminars	1.Completeness and accuracy of presentation materials 2.The seminar presentation was very well organized 3.Seminar presentations are presented in a structured manner 4.Clarity and attractiveness of presentation material delivery 5.Argumentation ability to answer questions correctly, accurately, in accordance with theoretical grounds	Criteria:  1.Research presentation materials are presented completely and accurately 2.The seminar presentation material was very well organized 3.Presentation material is presented in a structured manner 4.Presentation material is presented clearly and interestingly 5.Questions in the seminar are answered correctly, accurately and in accordance with theory  Forms of Assessment Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment / Portfolio Assessment	Seminar	Online seminars	Material: research proposal presentation Bibliography: Miles, Matthew B, A. Michael Huberman and Johnny Saldana. 2014. Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook. Edition 3. United States of America: SAGE Publications, Inc	6%

14	Present research proposals and argue them in public administration seminars	1.Completeness and accuracy of presentation materials 2.The seminar presentation was very well organized 3.Seminar presentations are presented in a structured manner 4.Clarity and attractiveness of presentation material delivery 5.Argumentation ability to answer questions correctly, accurately, in accordance with theoretical grounds	Criteria:  1.Research presentation materials are presented completely and accurately 2.The seminar presentation material was very well organized 3.Presentation material is presented in a structured manner 4.Presentation material is presented clearly and interestingly 5.Questions in the seminar are answered correctly, accurately and in accordance with theory	Seminar	Online seminars	Material: research proposal presentation Bibliography: Miles, Matthew B, A. Michael Huberman and Johnny Saldana. 2014. Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook. Edition 3. United States of America: SAGE Publications, Inc	6%
			Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance				
15	Present research proposals and argue them in public administration seminars	1.Completeness and accuracy of presentation materials 2.The seminar presentation was very well organized 3.Seminar presentations are presented in a structured manner 4.Clarity and attractiveness of presentation material delivery 5.Argumentation ability to answer questions correctly, accurately, in accordance with theoretical grounds	Criteria:  1.Research presentation materials are presented completely and accurately 2.The seminar presentation material was very well organized 3.Presentation material is presented in a structured manner 4.Presentation material is presented clearly and interestingly  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Seminar	Online Seminars	Material: research proposal presentation Bibliography: Neuman, W. Lawrence. 2013. Social Research Methodology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Jakarta: Index	6%
16	Final exams	1.The accuracy of the description of the answers to the final semester exam questions 2.Clarity of answers to final semester exam questions 3.Completeness of the description of the answers to the semester essay exam questions 4.Depth of analysis of the description of answers to final semester exam questions	Criteria:  1.Answers are explained appropriately 2.Answers are explained clearly 3.Answers are explained in full 4.Answers are analyzed in depth Form of Assessment:	Writing test	Writing test	Material: Able to answer various questions on material 1-15 References: Neuman, W. Lawrence. 2013. Social Research Methodology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Jakarta: Index	7%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

LVC	Evaluation Fercentage Recap. Froject based Learning								
No	Evaluation	Percentage							
1.	Participatory Activities	16%							
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	53.5%							
3.	Portfolio Assessment	3.5%							
4.	Practice / Performance	14%							
5.	Test	13%							
		100%							

## Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.

  11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.