

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences, Bachelor of Public Administration Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

				_						_							1-		
Courses			CODE				Cou	rse Fa	amily			Crec	lit We	ight	SEMI	ESTER	Co Dat	mpilati te	ion
State Admini	stration Reform		632010262	5	_	Ī				_	_	T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	•	4	Jun 202	ne 19, 23	_
AUTHORIZAT	ION		SP Develo	per						С	ourse	Cluste	er Coo	ordinator	Study	/ Progra	am Co	ordina	ator
			(1) Dra. Meirinawati, M.AP. (2) Galih Wahyu Pradana, S.A.P., M.Si. (3) Deby Febriyan Eprilianto, S.Sos., MPA.				D	Dra. Meirinawati, M.AP				Eva	Eva Hany Fanida, S.AP., M.AP.		·.,				
Learning model	Case Studies																		
Program	PLO study prog	gram t	hat is char	ged	to the	cour	se												
Learning Outcomes	PLO-11	Contr	ibute to impre	oving	j the qu	ality o	of life	in soc	iety ar	nd th	e state	-							
(PLO)	PLO-14	Able	to make decis	sions	in solv	ing pi	robler	ns ba	sed or	the	results	of info	rmatio	on and data a	analysis				
	Program Object	tives	(PO)																
	PO - 1	Able t	o be respons	ible	for expl	aining	g vario	ous ar	eas of	state	e admii	nistrati	on refe	orm indepen	dently				
	PO - 2	Able t	o master the	theo	retical o	conce	pts of	f state	admiı	nistra	tion re	form							
	PO - 3	Able t variou	to apply logic is case studie	al, ces of	ritical, s implem	ysten ientin	natic g stat	and ir e adr	nnovat ninistra	ive tl ation	ninking reform	by pro	oducin	g analyzes o	of pheno	omena ti	nat em	nerge f	rom
	PO - 4	Able t	o make recor	mme	ndation	s for :	state	admir	istrati	on re	form id	eas							
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
			P.O		PLO	-11		PL	0-14										
			PO-1																
			PO-2																
			PO-3																
			PO-4																
	PO Matrix at th	e end	of each lea	rnin	a staa	e (Su	ıb-PC))											
					5			,											
			P.0									Week	,						1
			1.0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	. 10	11 12	13	14	15	16	
			N 1	-	2	5	4	5	0	'	0	5	10	11 12	15	14	15	10	
			0-1		+										+	$\left \right $			
		PC			+		<u> </u>				-								
)-3		+			<u> </u>											
		PC	D-4																J
Short Course Description	This course prov changes, improve														Admini	stration	which	i influe	nce
References	Main :																		
	 Dwiyanto Pollift, Ci Safroni, I Safroni, I Safroni, I Sinambe Zauhar, S 	isthopi _adzi. 2 _adzi. 2 la, Lija	her. 2011. Pu 2012. Manaje 2012. Manaje n Poltak. 201	iblic I emen emen 1. Re	Vanage Dan Re Dan Re eformas	ement eform eform si Pela	t Refo lasi P lasi P lasi P ayana	orm. O elayar elayar an Put	xford I nan Pu nan Pu olik. Bu	Unive ublik. ublik	ersity P Aditya dalam	ress. Media	ı Publi		sia. Adit	ya Med	ia Pub	lishing	
	Supporters:																		
	1																		

Week-	Deby Febriyan Er Final abilities of each learning				earning, g methods, ssignments,	Learning materials	Assessmer Weight (%)
(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	ated time] Online (<i>online</i>)		Weight (%)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to explain state administration reform as science	 Explain the meaning of state administration reform Identify the scope of state administration reform Explain the objectives of state administration reform 	Criteria: The assessment of the ability to understand the concept of state administration reform, contained in Assessment Sheet 1, is carried out during the Mid- Semester Examination (UTS). Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	- Pulpit lecture - Question and answer Discussion 2 X 50		Material: basic concepts and background of state administration reform Reader: Dwiyanto, Agus. 2012. Public Bureaucratic Reform in Indonesia. Yogyakarta. UGM.	5%
						Material: Background to Reform Literature: Zauhar, Soesilo. 2012. Administrative Reform. Literary Earth.	
2	Able to explain the relationship between political, bureaucratic and human resource dimensions in state administration reform based on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia	 The accuracy in analyzing the relationship between political dimensions in state administration reform is based on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia Accuracy in analyzing bureaucratic relationships in state administration reform based on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia The accuracy of analyzing the relationship between human resources in state administration reform is based on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform is based on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform is 	Criteria: The assessment of the ability to understand the concept of state administration reform, contained in Assessment Sheet 1, is carried out during the Mid- Semester Examination (UTS). Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	- Pulpit lecture - Question and answer Discussion 2 X 50		Material: Political Dimensions Literature: Sinambela, Lijan Poltak. 2011. Public Service Reform. Literary Earth. Material: Human Resources Reform Bibliography: Pollift, Cristopher. 2011. Public Management Reform. Oxford University Press.	5%

3	Able to explain the	 The accuracy 	Criteria:	- Pulpit lecture -		Material:	5%
	relationship between political,	in analyzing	The assessment of	Question and		Political	
	bureaucratic and	the relationship	the ability to understand the	answer		Dimensions	
	human resource	between	concept of state	Discussion		Literature:	
	dimensions in state	political	administration	2 X 50		Sinambela,	
	administration	dimensions in	reform, contained in			Lijan Poltak.	
	reform based on	state	Assessment Sheet			2011. Public	
	various case	administration	1, is carried out			Service	
	studies of the implementation of		during the Mid-			Reform.	
	state administration	reform is	Semester			Literary Earth.	
	reform in Indonesia	based on	Examination (UTS).				
		various case	Form of Assessment			Material:	
		studies of the				Human	
		implementation	Participatory Activities			Resources	
		of state	T anticipatory Activities			Reform	
		administration				Bibliography:	
		reform in				Pollift,	
		Indonesia				Cristopher.	
		2.Accuracy in				2011. Public	
		analyzing				Management	
		bureaucratic				Reform.	
		relationships in				Oxford	
		state				University	
		administration				Press.	
		reform based					
		on various					
		case studies of					
		the					
		implementation					
		of state					
		administration					
		reform in					
		Indonesia					
		The accuracy					
		of analyzing					
		the relationship					
		between					
		human					
		resources in					
		state					
		administration					
		reform is					
		based on					
		various case					
		studies of the					
		implementation					
		of state					
		administration					
		reform in					
		Indonesia					
			•	•	•		

4	Able to explain the relationship between political, bureaucratic and human resource dimensions in state administration reform based on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia	 The accuracy in analyzing the relationship between political dimensions in state administration reform is based on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia Accuracy in analyzing bureaucratic relationships in state administration reform based on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform sead on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia The accuracy of analyzing the relationship between human resources in state administration reform is based on various case studies of the implementation of state administration reform is 	Criteria: The assessment of the ability to understand the concept of state administration reform, contained in Assessment Sheet 1, is carried out during the Mid- Semester Examination (UTS). Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	- Pulpit lecture - Question and answer Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Political Dimensions Literature: Sinambela, Lijan Poltak. 2011. Public Service Reform. Literary Earth. Material: Human Resources Reform Bibliography: Pollift, Cristopher. 2011. Public Management Reform. Oxford University Press.	5%
5	Students are able to explain the human dimension in state administration reform. Students are able to explain the human dimension in state administration reform	 Explain the regimes that support or hinder state administration reform in developing countries Explaining state administration reform in a closed bureaucracy Explaining state administration reform in mixed bureaucracy Explaining state administration reform in mixed bureaucracy Explaining state administration reform in open bureaucracy Explaining state administration reform in an open bureaucracy Explain reform of organization Explain the form of organization Explain personal characteristics 	Criteria: 1.Assessment of students' ability to describe and explain the dimensions of politics, human resources and regimes that are able to influence bureaucracy. Assessment Sheet 1 is carried out during the Mid- Term Examination (UTS). Assessment Sheet 1. Consists of 3 essay questions. 2. Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Pulpit lecture, 2 X 50 discussions	Material: Human Dimensions in State Administrative Reform References: Pollift, Cristopher. 2011. Public Management Reform. Oxford University Press. Material: Types of Bureaucratic Reform Reference: Zauhar, Soesilo. 2012. Administrative Reform. Literary Earth.	5%

6	Students are able to explain the human dimension in state administration reform. Students are able to explain the human dimension in state administration reform	 Explain the regimes that support or hinder state administration reform in developing countries Explaining state administration reform in a closed bureaucracy Explaining state administration reform in mixed bureaucracy Explaining state administration reform in mixed bureaucracy Explaining state administration reform in an open bureaucracy Explain state administration reform in an open bureaucracy Explain organizational tasks Explain the form of organization Explain personal characteristics 	Criteria: 1.Assessment of students' ability to describe and explain the dimensions of politics, human resources and regimes that are able to influence bureaucracy. Assessment Sheet 1 is carried out during the Mid- Term Examination (UTS). Assessment Sheet 1. Consists of 3 essay questions. 2. Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Pulpit lectureDiscussion 2 X 50	Material: Human Dimensions in State Administrative Reform References: Pollift, Cristopher. 2011. Public Management Reform. Oxford University Press. Material: Types of Bureaucratic Reform Reference: Zauhar, Soesilo. 2012. Administrative Reform. Literary Earth.	5%
7	Students are able to explain the challenges faced by state administration during the bureaucratic reform period	Explaining the challenges of state administration during the reform era - Explaining aspects of bureaucratic reform - Explaining the revitalization of state administration	Criteria: Holistic Rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Pulpit Lecture Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Material: The Phenomenon of State Administration Reform Reference: Dwiyanto, Agus. 2012. Public Bureaucratic Reform in Indonesia. Yogyakarta. UGM.	5%
8	Meeting Materials 1-7	Students are able to do UTS well.	Criteria: Answers are explained appropriately. Form of Assessment : Test	Written Exam 2 X 50	Material: Background to State Administration Reform References: Dwiyanto, Agus. 2012. Public Bureaucratic Reform in Indonesia. Yogyakarta. UGM. Material: Dimensions in State Administration Reform Reference: Zauhar, Soesilo. 2012. Administration Reform. Literary Earth.	15%
9	Students are able to understand bureaucratic reform in the field of institutional structuring	- Identify the focus on institutional structuring - Explain the organizational transformation framework	Criteria: Holistic Rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Pulpit Lecture Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Material: Institutional Reform Bibliography: Pollift, Cristopher. 2011. Public Management Reform. Oxford University Press.	5%

10	Able to make recommendations for ideas/ideas regarding bureaucratic reform in the field of institutional structuring, apparatus resource structuring, public service and accountability in a logical, critical, systematic and innovative manner based on phenomena that emerge in the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia	 Explain management policies Identify management utilization program priorities 	Criteria: Holistic Rubric Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Pulpit Lecture, Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Material: Institutional Governance Reform Literature: Safroni, Ladzi. 2012. Public Service Management and Reform. Aditya Media Publishing. Material: Administrative Reform Bibliography: Pollift, Cristopher. 2011. Public Management Reform. Oxford University Press. Material: Human Resources Reform Bibliography: Safroni, Ladzi. Safroni, Ladzi. Sorvice Management and Reform in the Context of Indonesian Bureaucracy. Aditya Media Publishing.	5%
11	Able to make recommendations for ideas/ideas regarding bureaucratic reform in the field of institutional structuring, apparatus resource structuring, public service and accountability in a logical, critical, systematic and innovative manner based on phenomena that emerge in the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia	 Explain management policies Identify management utilization program priorities 	Criteria: Holistic Rubric Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Pulpit Lecture and Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Material: Institutional Governance Reform Literature: Safroni, Ladzi. 2012. Public Service Management and Reform. Aditya Media Publishing. Material: Administrative Reform Bibliography: Pollift, Cristopher. 2011. Public Management Reform. Oxford University Press. Material: Human Resources Reform Bibliography: Safroni, Ladzi Softz, Ladzi Service Management and Reform in the Context of Indonesian Bureaucracy. Aditya Media Publishing.	5%

12	Able to make recommendations for ideas/ideas regarding bureaucratic reform in the field of institutional structuring, apparatus resource structuring, public service and accountability in a logical, critical, systematic and innovative manner based on phenomena that emerge in the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia	 Explain management policies Identify management utilization program priorities 	Criteria: Holistic Rubric Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Pulpit Lecture and Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Material: Institutior Governar Reform Literatur Safroni, L 2012. Pu Service Managen and Refo Aditya Me Publishin Material: Administr Reform Bibliogr Pollift, Cristophe 2011. Pu Managen Reform. Oxford University Press.	al ace e: .adzi. blic ment mr. edia g. ative sphy: rr. blic nent
13	Able to make	- Explain	Crittoria	Dubit Locture	Material: Human Resource Reform Bibliogra Safroni, L 2012. Pu Service Managen and Refo the Conte Indonesie Bureaucr Aditya Mu Publishin	es adzi. blic ment min ext of un acy. edia g.
	Aulie to intervent and a construction of the astructuring of institutional structuring, management structuring, apparatus resource structuring, public service and accountability in a logical, critical, systematic and innovative manner based on phenomena that emerge in the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia	- Expansi management policies - Identify management utilization program priorities	Criteria: Holistic Rubric Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Pulpit Lecture Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Material: Institution Governar Reform Literatur Safroni, L 2012. Pu Service Managen and Refo Aditya Ma Publishin Material: Administit Reform Bibliogra Pollift, Cristophe 2011. Pu Managen Reform Bibliogra Pollift, Cristophe 2011. Pu Managen Reform. Oxford University Press. Material: Human Resource Reform Bibliogra Safroni, I 2012. Pu Service Managen and Refo the Conte Indonesia Bureaucr Aditya Ma	al ace and ace

14	Able to make recommendations for ideas/ideas regarding bureaucratic reform in the field of institutional structuring, apparatus resource structuring, public service and accountability in a logical, critical, systematic and innovative manner based on phenomena that emerge in the implementation of state administration reform in Indonesia	- Explain management policies - Identify management utilization program priorities	Criteria: Holistic Rubric Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Pulpit Lecture Questions and Answers 2 X 50	Material: Institutional Governance Reform Literature: Safroni, Ladzi. 2012. Public Service Management and Reform. Aditya Media Publishing. Material: Administrative Reform Bibliography: Pollift, Cristopher. 2011. Public Management Reform. Oxford University Press. Material: Human Resources Reform Bibliography: Safroni, Ladzi. 2012. Public Management and Reform in the Context of Indonesian Bureaucracy. Aditya Media Publishing.	5%
15	Able to present the results of recommendations for state administration reform ideas that have been made based on phenomena in the public sector independently and responsibly	- Explain bureaucratic reform in the areas of institutional structuring, management, apparatus resources, service quality and accountability	Criteria: Holistic Rubric Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Pulpit Lecture Questions and Answers 3 X 50	Material: Bureaucratic Reform References: Safroni, Ladzi. 2012. Public Service Management and Reform in the Context of Indonesian Bureaucracy. Aditya Media Publishing.	5%
16	Final Semester Evaluation / Final Semester Examination	Students are able to do the UAS well.	Criteria: Analytical Rubric Form of Assessment : Test	Written test	Material: Material 9-15 References: Zauhar, Soesilo. 2012. Administrative Reform. Literary Earth.	15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage	
1.	Participatory Activities	70%	
2.	Test	30%	
		100%	

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that
- 5. identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
- 6. predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.

Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.

- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field
- Porms of learning: Lecture, Response, Futoria, Seminar of equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Ped Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
 Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and evide trains. sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.