

# Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Bachelor of Science Education Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN CODE **Course Family Credit Weight SEMESTER** Compilation Date Courses 8420102181 T=0 | P=0 | ECTS=0 July 18, 2024 Micro Learning **AUTHORIZATION** SP Developer **Study Program Coordinator Course Cluster** Coordinator Prof. Dr. Erman, M.Pd. Learning **Case Studies** model PLO study program that is charged to the course Program Learning Demonstrate religious and cultural values as well as academic ethics in carrying out their professional-related duties PLO-6 Outcomes (PLO) PLO-9 Work effectively both individually and in groups, and have entrepreneurial spirit and environmental PLO-10 Design, implement, and evaluate science learning using ICT **Program Objectives (PO)** PO - 1 Realizing an honest and independent character related to micro teaching tasks PO - 2 Have knowledge of school-based management, clinical supervision, microteaching and learning planning PO - 3 Make decisions about designing, implementing, evaluating learning in accordance with the characteristics of the material PO - 4 Utilize learning resources and ICT to support the design and implementation of clinical supervision, micro teaching and peer teaching PO - 5 Utilizing research results to develop effective learning tools. PO - 6 Develop learning tools that pay attention to the diversity of students **PLO-PO Matrix** P.O PLO-6 PLO-9 PLO-10 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5 PO-6

PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)

P.O									We	ek						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
PO-1																
PO-2																
PO-3																
PO-4																
PO-5																
PO-6																

### Short Course Description

This course examines school-based management, clinical supervision through presentations and discussions, and facilitates students in developing learning tools based on the applicable curriculum, the needs and diversity of students, including those with special needs. This device is a means of preparing students to manage learning at school for microteaching courses in accordance with applicable National Education Standards through workshops and discussions. Students are required to utilize ICT and research results to produce products in the form of learning tools for primary and secondary education. Apart from that, it also equips students to have teaching skills in the form of micro teaching and peer teaching.

#### References

# Main:

- 1. p
- 2. Nurkolis. 2003. Manajemen Berbasis Sekolah: Teori, Model, danAplikasi. Jakarta: Grasindo.
- Mulyasa, E. 2004. Manajemen Berbasis Sekolah: Konsep, Strategi, dan Implementasi. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarva.
- 4. Makawimbang, J.E. 2013. Supervisi KlinisTeori Dan Pengukurannya (Analisis di bidangPendidikan). Bandung: Alfabeta
- 5. UPT-P4 Unesa. 2014. Pedoman Pengalaman Lapangan. Surabaya: University Press.
- 6. Arends, R.I. 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw-Hill International Edition.
- 7. Slavin, R.E. 2011. PsikologiPendidikan (TeoridanPraktik) (Terjemahan). Jakarta: PT Indeks.
- Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and Innovative Method.Dordrecht: Springer.
- 9. Susantini, E., dkk. 2014.Panduan Microteaching untuk Dosen, Mahasiswa, dan Crew. Surabaya: University Press.

#### Supporters:

# Supporting lecturer

Dra. Martini, M.Pd.
Prof.Dr. Wahono Widodo, M.Si.
Dr. Elok Sudibyo, S.Pd.,M.Pd.
Prof. Dr. Erman, M.Pd.
Dr. Siti Nurul Hidayati, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Dr. Mohammad Budiyanto, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Dr. Dyah Astriani, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Dr. Hasan Subekti, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Ahmad Qosyim, S.Si., M.Pd.
Beni Setiawan, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Beni Setiawan, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Laily Rosdiana, S.Pd., M.Pd.
An Nuril Maulida Fauziah, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Enny Susiyawati, S.Si., M.Sc., M.Pd., Ph.D.
Dhita Ayu Permata Sari, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Aris Rudi Purnomo, S.Si., M.Pd., M.Sc.
Wahyu Budi Sabtiawan, S.Si., M.Pd.,M.Sc.
Ernita Vika Aulia, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evalu	uation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References ]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	[ References ]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Have knowledge of school-based management, clinical supervision, micro teaching and learning planning (1	1.Explains concepts and MBS from various learning sources and ICT 2.Explain the characteristics of schools that implement SBM	Criteria: using an assessment rubric  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Project Based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	Material: school-based management Reference: Nurkolis. 2003. School-Based Management: Theory, Models, and Applications. Jakarta: Grasindo. 2	5%

2	Have knowledge of school-based management, clinical supervision, micro teaching and learning planning	Identify the school curriculum	Criteria: using an assessment rubric  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	Material: school-based management Reference: Nurkolis. 2003. School-Based Management: Theory, Models, and Applications. Jakarta: Grasindo. 2  Material: Identification of school curriculum Reference: Mulyasa, E. 2004. School- Based Management: Concepts, Strategies and Implementation. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya.	5%
3	Have knowledge of school-based management, clinical supervision, micro teaching and learning planning (1	1.Explains the concept of Clinical Supervision (SK) from various learning sources and ICT 2.Explain the factors that influence the process of drafting a SK	Criteria: using an assessment rubric  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	Material: school-based management Reference: Nurkolis. 2003. School-Based Management: Theory, Models, and Applications. Jakarta: Grasindo. 2  Material: Identification of school curriculum Reference: Mulyasa, E. 2004. School-Based Management: Concepts, Strategies and Implementation. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya.  Material: Clinical supervision Reference: Makawimbang, JE 2013. Clinical Supervision Theory and Measurement (Analysis in the field of Education). Bandung: Alphabeta	5%

4	Have knowledge of school-based	1.Explains the	Criteria:	Project	1 60		%
	management, clinical supervision,	concept of micro teaching from	using an assessment rubric	based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	microteaching concept <b>Library:</b> <i>UPT</i> -	
	micro teaching and learning planning	various	Form of Assessment :			P4 Unesa.	
	(1	learning	Project Results			2014. Field Experience	
		sources and ICT	Assessment / Product			Guide.	
		2.Give	Assessment			Surabaya: University	
		examples of basic teaching				Press.	
		skills				Material:	
						innovative	
						models References:	
						Arends, RI	
						2012. Learning to Teach. New	
						York: McGraw-	
						Hill International	
						Edition.	
						Material:	
						pedagogical concepts	
						References:	
						Slavin, RE 2011.	
						Psychology of	
						Education (Theory and	
						Practice)	
						(Translation). Jakarta: PT	
						Index.	
						Material:	
						teaching and learning	
						process	
						References: Baroncelli,	
						Stefania.,	
						Farneti, Roberto.,	
						Horga, Ioan.,	
						Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds).	
						2014. Teaching and Learning	
						the European	
						Union: Traditional and	
						Innovative	
						Method. Dordrecht:	
						Springer.	

	T		1	1	T		
5	Have knowledge of school-based management, clinical supervision, micro teaching and learning planning (1	Explains basic teaching skills scenarios	Criteria: using an assessment rubric  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	Material: microteaching concept Library: UPT- P4 Unesa. 2014. Field Experience Guide. Surabaya: University Press.  Material:	5%
						innovative models References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw- Hill International Edition.	
						Material: pedagogical concepts References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice)	
						(Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.  Material: teaching and learning process References: Baroncelli, Stefania.,	
						Farneti, Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and	
						Innovative Method. Dordrecht: Springer.	

6	1.Make decisions about designing, implementing, evaluating learning in accordance with the characteristics of the material 2.Develop learning tools that pay attention to the diversity of students, including students with special needs 3.Utilizing research results to develop effective learning tools	Develop learning tools in the form of syllabus, lesson plans and teaching materials	Criteria:    assessment refers to a rubric  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	Material: innovative models References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw- Hill International Edition.  Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.  Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and Innovative Method. Dordrecht: Springer.	5%
---	---	---	---	--	--------	--	----

7	1.Make decisions	Develop assessments	Criteria: assessment refers	Project based	1 x 60	Material:	5%
	about designing, implementing, evaluating learning in accordance with the characteristics of the material 2.Develop		to a rubric  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	learning 2 x 50	1 1 00	models References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw- Hill International Edition.	
	learning tools that pay attention to the diversity of students, including students with special needs 3.Utilizing research results to develop effective learning tools					Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.	
						Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and Innovative Method. Dordrecht: Springer.	
8	understand school- based management material, clinical supervision, and learning planning	1.1. Able to demonstrate understanding of school-based management 2.2. Able to demonstrate understanding of clinical supervision 3.3. Able to demonstrate understanding of basic teaching skills 4.4. Able to compile learning tools	Criteria:    assessment refers    to a rubric  Form of    Assessment :    Test	Midterm Exam 2 x 50		Material: school-based management Reference: Nurkolis. 2003. School-Based Management: Theory, Models, and Applications. Jakarta: Grasindo. 2  Material: innovative learning models Reference: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw- Hill International Edition.	10%
						Material: Clinical supervision Reference: Makawimbang, JE 2013. Clinical Supervision Theory and Measurement (Analysis in the field of Education). Bandung: Alphabeta	

I	<del> </del>				1	1	
9	Have a responsible attitude by implementing learning that is relevant to students' competencies and characteristics	1.Carrying out learning based on the tools developed 2.Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried 3.Develop a plan to improve learning devices	Criteria: assessment refers to a rubric  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	Material: implementation of microteaching Library: UPT- P4 Unesa. 2014. Field Experience Guide. Surabaya: University Press.  Material: innovative models References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw- Hill International Edition.  Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.  Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and Innovative Method. Dordrecht: Springer.	5%

10	Have a responsible attitude by	1.Carrying out learning	Criteria: assessment refers	Project based	1 x 60	implementation	5%
	implementing learning that is relevant to students' competencies and characteristics	based on the tools developed 2.Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried 3.Develop a plan to improve	to a rubric  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	learning 2 x 50		of microteaching Library: UPT- P4 Unesa. 2014. Field Experience Guide. Surabaya: University Press.  Material: innovative models	
		learning devices				References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw- Hill International Edition.	
						Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.	
						Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, loan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching	
						and Learning and Learning the European Union: Traditional and Innovative Method. Dordrecht: Springer.	

				l	1		
11	Have a responsible attitude by implementing learning that is relevant to students' competencies and characteristics	1.Carrying out learning based on the tools developed 2.Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried 3.Develop a plan to improve learning devices	Criteria:    assessment refers to a rubric  Form of Assessment :    Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Project based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	Material: implementation of microteaching Library: UPT- P4 Unesa. 2014. Field Experience Guide. Surabaya: University Press.  Material: innovative models References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw- Hill International Edition.  Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.  Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and Innovative Method. Dordrecht: Springer.	6%

12 Have a responsible	1.Carrying out	Criteria:	Project		Material:	9%
Have a responsible attitude by implementing learning that is relevant to students' competencies and characteristics	1.Carrying out learning based on the tools developed 2.Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried 3.Develop a plan to improve learning devices	Criteria:    assessment refers    to a rubric  Form of    Assessment :    Assessment of    Project Results /    Product    Assessment,    Practices /    Performance	Project based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	Material: implementation of microteaching Library: UPT-P4 Unesa. 2014. Field Experience Guide. Surabaya: University Press.  Material: innovative models References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw-Hill International Edition.  Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.  Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and	9%

13	Have a responsible attitude by	1.Carrying out	Criteria:	Project	1 × 60	Material:	10%
13	Have a responsible attitude by implementing learning that is relevant to students' competencies and characteristics	1.Carrying out learning based on the tools developed 2.Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried 3.Develop a plan to improve learning devices	assessment refers to a rubric  Form of Assessment : Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Project based learning 2 x 50	1 x 60	implementation of microteaching Library: UPT-P4 Unesa. 2014. Field Experience Guide. Surabaya: University Press.  Material: innovative models References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw-Hill International Edition.  Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and	10%
						Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.  Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and Innovative Method. Dordrecht: Springer.	

ititude by ' plementing arning that is levant to udents' impetencies and iaracteristics	learning based on the tools developed 2.Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried 3.Develop a plan to improve learning devices	assessment refers to a rubric  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	method 2 x 50	1 x 60	implementation of microteaching Library: UPT-P4 Unesa. 2014. Field Experience Guide. Surabaya: University Press.  Material: innovative models	
					References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw- Hill International Edition.  Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.  Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti,	
						Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.  Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, loan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and

15	Have a responsible	1.Carrying out	Criteria:	case	1 00	Material:	10%
	Have a responsible attitude by implementing learning that is relevant to students' competencies and characteristics	1.Carrying out learning based on the tools developed 2.Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried 3.Develop a plan to improve learning devices	Criteria:     assessment refers to a rubric  Forms of     Assessment :     Participatory     Activities, Project     Results     Assessment /     Product     Assessment,     Practices /     Performance	case method 2 x 50	1 x 60	Material: implementation of microteaching Library: UPT-P4 Unesa. 2014. Field Experience Guide. Surabaya: University Press.  Material: innovative models References: Arends, RI 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw-Hill International Edition.  Material: pedagogical theory References: Slavin, RE 2011. Psychology of Education (Theory and Practice) (Translation). Jakarta: PT Index.  Material: teaching and learning activities References: Baroncelli, Stefania., Farneti, Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Vanhoonacker, Sophie (eds). 2014. Teaching and Learning the European Union: Traditional and Innovative Method. Dordrecht:	10%

16	1.Able to produce learning devices with innovative models 2.Carrying out learning based on the tools developed	1.Able to demonstrate understanding of innovative learning models 2.Able to carry out learning based on the tools developed	Criteria: assessment refers to a rubric  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Final Semester Exam, Project Based learning 2 x 50	imple of micr Libr P4 L 2012 Expe Guice Sura Univ Pres Mate inno learn Refe Arer 2012 to Te York Hill Inter Editi Mate peda theo Bibl Slav 2013 Psyce Educ (The Prac (Training and the Prac (Training and the Prac (Training and the peda (Training and the Prac (Training and the	abaya: versity ss.  erial: vative ning models erence: nds, RI 2. Learning each. New c: McGraw- rnational ion.  erial: agogical ory iography: vin, RE 1. chology of cation eony and etice) nslation).	0%
					(The Prac (Trai	eory and ctice) nslation). arta: PT	

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	19.16%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	51.66%
3.	Practice / Performance	19.16%
4.	Test	10%
		99.98%

# Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
  skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.