

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Bachelor of Science Education Study Program

Document Code

UNESA																			
		SE	EME	ST	EF	R LI	EΑ	RN	IN	G F	PLA	N							
Courses		CODE	CODE			Course Family					Credi	t Weig	ht		SEME	STER	Co	mpilati te	on
Science Basi	cs	84201020	28								T=2	P=0 I	ECTS=	3.18		1	Jul	/ 18, 20	)24
AUTHORIZAT	ION	SP Develo	oper						C	ourse	Clus	ter Co	ordina	tor	Study	Progra	ım Co	ordina	itor
														Prof. Dr. Erman, M.Pd.					
Learning model	Case Studies																		
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5  Demonstrate scientific, critical, and innovative attitudes in integrated science learning, laboratory activities, and professional-related tasks																		
,	PLO-7	Communicate ideas and research results effectively both in oral and written form																	
	PLO-11	Design and conduct research about learning of integrated science, and acquire, analyze, and interpret the research data																	
	PLO-13 Demonstrate knowledge of integrated science (physics, chemistry, and biology)																		
	Program Objectives (PO)																		
	PO - 1	Utilizing science and technology as a tool for developing science																	
		Mastering the nature and scope of science, science as inquiry, KPS, analysis of aspects of science content, thinking skills and literacy																	
	PO - 3	Skilled in carrying out scientific inquiry activities with the content and context of the SMP/MTs curriculum																	
	PO - 4 Developing student attitudes that are responsible, open to criticism, cooperative and care about time																		
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
			-							1			1			1			
		P.O	-	PL	O-5		F	PLO-7			PLO	-11		PLO-	13	_			
		PO-1	-			_													
		PO-2																	
		PO-3																	
		PO-4																	
	DO Matrice at the	at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																	
	PO Matrix at th	ie end of each i	earnir	ig sta	age (	Sub-	PO)												
		P.O	P.O Week																
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	l
		PO-1																	1
		PO-2																	l
		PO-3																	
		PO-4																	1
Short Course Description	This course disc the function of so and project meth	ience in developi	and sc ng thin	ope o king s	f scie skills a	nce, s and so	sciend cientif	ce as ic lite	inqu racy.	iry, sc Lectu	ience ıres a	proces re con	ss skills ducted	s (KPS using	S), aspe discus	ects of sion, di	scienc scove	e conte ry learr	ent, ning
References	Main :																		

- Kemdikbud. 2008. BSE IPA SMP CTL. Jakarta: Kemdikbud.
- Kemdikbud. 2016. BS IPA SMP K13. Jakarta: Kemdikbud.
   NRC. 2012. National Science Education Standards. Washington: NAP.
- 4. Rutherford, F.J. & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All American. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 5. Suryanti, Mintohari, Widodo, W. 2004. Pengembangan Pembelajaran IPA. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.

6. Tim MIPA Unesa. 2007. Sains Dasar. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.

Supporters:

Supporting lecturer

Dra. Martini, M.Pd. Dr. Elok Sudibyo, S.Pd.,M.Pd. Ahmad Qosyim, S.Si., M.Pd. Muhamad Arif Mahdiannur, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluation		Lear Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )	]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Describe the nature and scope of IPA	1.Explain the nature of IPA     2.Explain the scope of IPA	Criteria:  1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: the description is wrong  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cased- based Learning (CBL), Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Case based learning through peer-interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2x50	Material: Nature and scope of natural sciences Library: NRC. 2012. National Science Education Standards. Washington: NAP.  Material: The nature and scope of science Reference: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.	5%

2	Carrying out simple inquiries in science	Make observations, inferences, and communicate the results	Criteria:  1.Test with criteria: True and False 2.Assignment product: according to the rubric. Grade A if the observation results are described accurately according to observations, the resulting inference is logical and based on observations, presentation in different representation is carried out (eg tables, graphs, charts, etc.). Any reduction in product quality results in a reduction in value.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	guided inquiry 2 X 50	Case based learning through peer-interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2x50	Material: Inquiry in Science Library: Ministry of Education and Culture. 2008. BSE Science Middle School CTL. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture.  Material: Inquiry in Science Library: Ministry of Education and Culture. 2016. BS K13 Middle School Science. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture. 2016. BS K13 Middle School Science. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture.  Material: Inquiry in Science Science Suryanti, Mintohari, Widodo, W. 2004. Development of Science Learning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	5%
3	Carrying out simple inquiries in science	Make observations, inferences, and communicate the results	Criteria:  1.Test with criteria: True and False 2.Assignment product: according to the rubric. Grade A if the observation results are described accurately according to observations, the resulting inference is logical and based on observations, presentation in different representations is carried out (eg tables, graphs, charts, etc.). Any reduction in product quality results in a reduction in value.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	guided inquiry 2 X 50	Case based learning through peer-interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2x50	Material: Inquiry in Science Library: Ministry of Education and Culture. 2008. BSE Science Middle School CTL. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture.  Material: Inquiry in Science Library: Ministry of Education and Culture. 2016. BS K13 Middle School Science. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture.  Material: Inquiry in Science Reference: Suryanti, Mintohari, Widodo, W. 2004. Development of Science Learning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	6%

4	Mastering the components of KPS as an embodiment of inquiry in science	Formulating problems, hypotheses, controlling variables, analyzing data, and concluding	Criteria: Same as meeting 3 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cased- based Learning (CBL), KPS 2 X 50	Case based learning through peer- interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2x50	Material: KPS Library: Suryanti, Mintohari, Widodo, W. 2004. Development of Science Learning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	8%
5	Recognize physical settings and create simple mathematical modeling in natural science	Observing physical systems, taking measurements, creating simple mathematical models	Criteria: A: if everything is done and there is a product that meets the criteria.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Guided inquiry 2 X 50	Case based learning through peer- interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2x50	Material: Physical setting References: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.	5%
6	Recognize physical settings and create simple mathematical modeling in natural science	Observing physical systems, taking measurements, creating simple mathematical models	Criteria: A: if everything is done and there is a product that meets the criteria.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Guided inquiry 2 X 50	Case based learning through peer- interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2x50	Material: Physical setting References: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.	5%
7	Recognize the world of life, living places and their interactions, as well as how to investigate them	Describe the characteristics of life, diversity of life, interdependence, flow of matter and energy, and evolution	Criteria:  1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: the description is wrong  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Cased- based Learning (CBL), 2 X 50 Discussions	Case based learning through peer- interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2 x 50	Material: Living world Bibliography: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.	5%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria:  1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: the description is wrong  Form of Assessment: Test	UTS 2 X 50			10%

9	Recognize the material world and its changes and how to investigate them	Explains the concept of material particles, changes in matter, and the energy that accompanies them	Criteria:  1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Discovery, Presentation and Discussion 4 X 50	Case based learning through peer- interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2 x 50	Matter: Matter particles, matter changes, reactions References: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.	5%
10	Recognize the material world and its changes and how to investigate them	Explains the concept of material particles, changes in matter, and the energy that accompanies them	Criteria:  1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Discovery, Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Case based learning through peer-interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2 x 50	Matter: Matter particles, matter changes, reactions References: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.	10%
11	Explain the values of science	Provide examples of science values that are useful in life	Criteria:  1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description  Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Cased- based Learning (CBL), 2 X 50 Discussions	Case based learning through peer-interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2 x 50	Material: Science Values Library: NRC. 2012. National Science Education Standards. Washington: NAP.  Material: Science Values References: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.  Material: Science Values Reference: Suryanti, Mintohari, Widodo, W. 2004. Development of Science Learning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	5%

12	Describe thinking skills in science and their development	Explains the dimensions of cognitive processes and knowledge, and higher order thinking skills	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: the description is wrong Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cased- based Learning (CBL), Practice, Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Case based learning through peer-interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2 x 50	Material: Thinking Skills in Science Library: NRC. 2012. National Science Education Standards. Washington: NAP.  Material: Thinking skills in science Reference: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.  Material:	5%
						Thinking skills in science Reference: Suryanti, Mintohari, Widodo, W. 2004. Development of science learning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	
13	Describe thinking skills in science and their development	Explains the dimensions of cognitive processes and knowledge, and higher order thinking skills	Criteria:  1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: the description is wrong  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cased-based Learning (CBL), Practice, Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Case based learning through peer-interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2 x 50	Material: Thinking Skills in Science Library: NRC. 2012. National Science Education Standards. Washington: NAP.  Material: Thinking skills in science Reference: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.  Material: Thinking skills in science Reference: Suryanti, Mintohari, Widodo, W. 2004. Development of science learning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	5%

14	Describe scientific literacy	Explains scientific literacy and provides examples of how to develop it	Criteria:  1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: the description is wrong  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cased-based Learning (CBL), 2 X 50 discussions	Case based learning through peer-interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2 x 50	Material: Science Literacy Library: NRC. 2012. National Science Education Standards. Washington: NAP.  Material: Science Literacy Bibliography: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.  Material: Science Literacy Lite	5%
15	Describe the history of the development of natural sciences to recognize that natural sciences are a human endevour	Explains the history of the development of science in outline	Criteria:  1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: the description is wrong  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Cased- based Learning (CBL), 2 X 50 Discussions	Case based learning through peer-interaction (Synchronous) via Zoom/Google Meet and Asynchronous via LMS Si Dia UNESA 2 x 50	Material: History of Science Bibliography: Rutherford, FJ & Ahlgreb, A. 1990. Science for All Americans. New York: Oxford University Press.  Material: History of Science Reference: Suryanti, Mintohari, Widodo, W. 2004. Development of Science Learning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.  Material: History of Natural Sciences Reference: Learning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.  Material: History of Natural Sciences Reference: NRC. 2012. National Science Education Standards. Washington: NAP.	6%
16	UAS		Criteria: Performance questions are integrated during learning  Form of Assessment:	100 Minute Paper And Pencil Test Method			10%
			Test				

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	54%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	5.5%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	20.5%
4.	Test	20%
		100%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
  are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
  knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.