

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences, Political Science Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

UNESA																			
		SEM	ES	STE	ER	LE	ΑF	RN	INC	3 I	ΡĮ	_AN							
Courses		CODE	CODE		Co	Course Fam		nily	ily Credit Weight		SEMESTER			Compilation Date					
Science phylosophy		6720102005	2005				T=2	T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18				1		July 2	18, 2024				
AUTHORIZATION		SP Develope	SP Developer				ourse Cluster oordinator			Study Program Coordinator			ator						
		Agus Satmok	Agus Satmoko Adi, S.S., M.Si				Agus Satmoko Adi, S.S., M.Si			Dr. Moch. Mubarok Muharam, M.IP.									
Learning model	Case Studies							<u>I</u>						1					
Program	PLO study prog	ram that is cha	ırge	d to 1	the c	ours	е												
Learning Outcomes	PLO-5	Contribute to impr	oving	the o	qualit	y of lif	e in	soci	ety, n	atior	า, ร	tate and	civil	izatio	n bas	ed on	Panc	asila;	
(PLO)		Being able to pres politics	ent,	map,	analy	/ze an	ıd pr	ovid	e solu	tions	s in	resolvir	ng pr	obler	ns is ı	neede	d in th	ne wo	rld of
,	PLO-16 A	ble to master pol	itical	litera	cy, b	uild ne	etwo	orking	g, and	dipl	om	atic skil	S						
	Program Object																		
,		tudents can mast	er th	e the	ories,	, appr	oacł	nes a	ınd co	nce	pts	of polition	cal p	hiloso	ophy				
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
			-											_					
		P.O		Pl	LO-5			PLC	D-12			PLO-1	L6						
		PO-1																	
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																		
		P.O						Week											
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Ç	9 10	11	1	2 2	13	14	15	16
1		PO-1																	
			•		•						•	•	•						
Short Course Description	This course is use	ful for students a	nalyz	zing ti	he va	lues c	onta	ainec	l in the	e ph	ilos	ophy of	scie	nce.					
References	Main:										_								
	<ol> <li>Andrews, CM.dan Mas 190ed, M. 2011. Perbandingan Sistem Politik. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press</li> <li>Barry, Norman P. 1997. An Introduction to Modern Political Theory. London</li> <li>Budiardjo, Miriam. 2012. Dasar-Dasar Ilmu Politik. Jakarta</li> <li>Surbakti, Ramlan. 2013. Memahami Politik. Jakarta</li> <li>Firmanzah. 2012. Marketing Politik. Bandung, Buku Obor</li> <li>Syafiie, Inu Kencana. 1997. Ilmu Politik. Jakarta</li> </ol>							Press											
	Supporters:																		
	1. Pendukung: 1. Jurnal Artikel 2. Rangkuman mahasiswa 3. Slide Power Point																		
Supporting lecturer	Agus Satmoko Adi, S.S., M.Si. Mi'rojul Huda, S.IP., M.IP.																		

	Final abilities of each learning	Ev	aluation	Le Stu	Help Learning, earning methods, dent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning	Assessment Weight (%)
Week-	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )	materials [References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	analysis of basic concepts of political philosophy	presents a narrative about political philosophy	Criteria:     accuracy of using the concept of political philosophy  Form of Assessment:     Participatory Activities	offline 270		Material: Introduction, Introduction, and lecture contract Reader: Surbakti, Ramlan. 2013. Understanding Politics. Jakarta	5%
2	Students are able to analyze the nature of science	Students are able to present narratives about the Nature of Science	Criteria: Students are able to present the narrative and essence of political science  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 270		Material: The Nature of Political Science Bibliography: Budiardjo, Miriam. 2012. Basics of Political Science. Jakarta	5%
3	Students are able to analyze facts, beliefs, truth and knowledge	Students are able to present narratives of facts, beliefs, truth and knowledge	Criteria: Students are able to accurately analyze facts, beliefs, truth and knowledge  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 270		Material: Kebung, Konrad, 2012 Rationalization and Idea Discovery. Jakarta:Pustakarya Pustaka Achievements:	5%
4	Students are able to analyze the development of science	Students are able to present narratives about the development of science	Criteria: accuracy of using narratives of scientific developments  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 2x50		Material: Kebung, Konrad, 2012 Rationalization and Idea Discovery. Jakarta: Pustakarya Pustaka Achievements: Material: Kebung, Konrad, 2012 Rationalization and Idea Discovery. Jakarta: Pustakarya Pustaka Achievements:	5%
5	Ability to describe and analyze Positivistic philosophical narratives	Students are able to mention the narrative of Positivistic Philosophy	Criteria: accuracy of analyzing postivistic philosophical narratives  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 2x50		Material: Suyanto, Bagong, 2013. Social Philosophy. Malang: Aditya Media Library:	5%
6	Students are able to analyze the structure of science	Students are able to mention the narrative structure of science	Criteria: accuracy of using the concept of scientific structure appropriately  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 2x50		Material: McCullagh, CB, 2004, Logic of History, Perspective of Postmodernism, Yogyakarta:Lilin Persada Press Library:	5%

7	Students are able to analyze the relationship between ethics and science	presents a narrative about the relationship between ethics and science	Criteria:     accuracy in     analyzing the     relationship     between ethics and     science  Form of     Assessment :     Participatory     Activities	offline 2x50	Material: Suriasumantri, Jujun s, 198., Science from the Perspective of a Collection of Essays on the Nature of Science, Jakarta; Obor Pustaka Foundation :	5%
8	UTS	carry out uTS correctly	Criteria: do the exam well  Form of Assessment: Test	offline 2x50	Material: Suriasumantri, Jujun s, 198., Science from the Perspective of a Collection of Essays on the Nature of Science, Jakarta; Obor Pustaka Foundation :	15%
9	Students are able to analyze Sciences and Humanities	presents narratives about science and humanities	Criteria: accuracy of analyzing science and humanities  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 2x50	Material: Berten, K, 1999. History of Greek philosophy. Yogyakarta: Kanisius Library:	5%
10	Students are able to analyze Greek philosophy	presents the theory of Greek philosophy	Criteria: accuracy of analyzing Greek philosophy  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 2x50	Material: Berten, K, 1999. History of Greek philosophy. Yogyakarta: Kanisius Library:	5%
11	Students are able to analyze the Sophists and Socrates	Students are able to present narratives of the Sophists and Socrates	Criteria: accuracy of analyzing the narratives of the sophists and Socrates  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 2x50	Material: Bertens, K, 1985. Western Philosophy of the XX Century. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka:	5%
12	Students are able to analyze Plato's philosophy	Students are able to mention Plato's philosophical narrative	Criteria: accuracy of analyzing Plato's philosophy  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 2x50	Material: Bertens, K, 1985. Western Philosophy of the XX Century. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka:	5%
13	Able to analyze Aristotle's philosophical system	Able to present a narrative about Aristotle's philosophy	Criteria: accuracy of analyzing Aristotle's philosophy  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	Case Method 2x50	Material: Bertens, K, 1985. Western Philosophy of the XX Century. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka:	5%
14	Students are able to analyze the Political Ethics of Teachings about the State	Students are able to present a narrative on Political Ethics and Teachings about the State	Criteria: Students are able to accurately analyze the narrative of Political Ethics and Teachings about the State  Form of Assessment: Test	offline 2x50	Material: Bertens, K, 1985. Western Philosophy of the XX Century. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka:	5%

15	Students are able to analyze teachings about the state	presents a narrative about state teachings	Criteria: accuracy of analyzing state teachings  Form of Assessment: Test	offline 2x50	Material: Bertens, K, 1985. Western Philosophy of the XX Century. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka:	5%
16	UAS	students can do UAS	Criteria: Students can answer UAS correctly  Form of Assessment: Test	offline 2x50	Material: Literature Review : Supporting: 1. Journal Articles 2. Student summaries 3. Power Point Slides	15%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

	Evaluation i ordentago recoapi oaco c						
No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Participatory Activities	57.5%					
2.	Test	42.5%					
		100%					

## **Notes**

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
  skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or
  observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the
  course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. **Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.