

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Undergraduate Physics Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE	Co	ourse Family		Credit	t Weig	ght	SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
Corrosion			4520102108				T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	5	July 18, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develop	SP Developer		Course	e Clust	er Co	ordinator	Study Program Coordinator		
							Prof. Dr. Munasir, S.Si., M.Si.					
Learning model	I	Case Studies				1				1		
Program		PLO study pro	gram that is charg	ed to the course	e							
Learning Outcom		Program Objec	ctives (PO)									
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix										
			P.O	]								
				-								
		PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)										
			P.O	P.O Week								
			1 2	3 4 5	6 7	89	) 10	1	1 12	13 14 1	15 16	
Course Description		investigations re phenomena, con packaged in the t the form of peer	ntended as a vehicle lated to corrosion p ncepts and theories form of independent seminars, articles ar scientific products that	problems. This ac using the latest projects for each s d posters on the re	ctivity is carrie references. T student. The o esults of proje	ed out in his is the utput of the second s	n the l nen cor this lect	ecture ntinue ture is	e room to s d with inves s in the form	study and disc stigative activit of academic p	cuss corrosion ies which are resentations in	
Referen	ces	Main :										
		1. Pierre R. Roberge. 2000. Handbook of Corrosion Engineering . New York: McGraw-Hill										
		Supporters:										
Supporting Dr. Zainul Arifin Imam Supardi, M.Si. lecturer												
Week- ea		al abilities of h learning ge b-PO)		Aluation	rm 0.44	Learı Studer <mark>Es</mark>	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] [ References		Assessment Weight (%)			
	(54		Indicator	Criteria & Foi		ine( ine)	On	inie (	online )	1		
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(	5)		(6	5)	(7)	(8)	

1	Students understand the scope of corrosion studies	Mastering the study of the scope of corrosion study material	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) cohesiveness of discussion, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Review references, discussions, and presentations 2 X 50		0%
2	Students master the concept of corrosion due to acidic, neutral and alkaline environments	Able to provide arguments for the phenomenon of corrosion in metals due to acidic, neutral and alkaline environments	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) cohesiveness of discussion, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Review references, discussions, and presentations 2 X 50		0%
3	Students master the concept of corrosion due to electrochemical processes	Able to analyze electrochemical processes on electrodes in materials that experience corrosion	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) cohesiveness of discussion, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Review references, discussions, and presentations 2 X 50		0%

4	Students master the study of corrosion thermodynamics	Able to analyze: free energy, standard electrode potential, Nernst equation, thermodynamic calculations, reference electrodes, corrosion potential measurements, Ph, and pH potential diagrams	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) cohesiveness of discussion, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Review the 4 X 50 references, discussions, and presentations		0%
5	Students master the study of corrosion thermodynamics	Able to analyze: free energy, standard electrode potential, Nernst equation, thermodynamic calculations, reference electrodes, corrosion potential measurements, Ph, and pH potential diagrams	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) cohesiveness of discussion, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Review the 4 X 50 references, discussions, and presentations		0%
6	Students master the study of corrosion kinetics	Able to analyze events: overpotential, polarization activation, polarization concentration, and Ohmic Drop	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) cohesiveness of discussion, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Review references, discussions, and presentations 2 X 50		0%
7	Students master the application of electrochemical techniques to material corrosion	Able to explain the application of electrochemical techniques for: measuring corrosion due to electrochemical polarization, monitoring corrosion, cathode protection, anode protection, aluminum anode coating, and chloride extraction	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) cohesiveness of discussion, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Review references, discussions, and presentations 2 X 50		0%

	Oturla	0-1-i	a :: ·			
8	Students are able to complete UTS	Solving corrosion problems conceptually and comprehensively	Criteria: 1.A = 4 correct answers, 2.B = 3 correct answers, 3.C = 2 correct answers, and 4.D = 1 correct answer,	Independent written exam 2 X 50		0%
9	Students master planning independent material corrosion projects of their choice	Able to explain material corrosion experiment planning according to their choice	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) planning documents, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Independent study and presentation 4 X 50		0%
10	Students master planning independent material corrosion projects of their choice	Able to explain material corrosion experiment planning according to their choice	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) planning documents, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Independent study and presentation 4 X 50		0%
11	Students master the implementation of independent material corrosion projects of their choice	Able to carry out material corrosion experiments of his choice	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) experimental procedure documents, 2) truth of substance, 3) smoothness of experiment 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	6 X 50 self- experiment		0%

12	Students master the implementation of independent material corrosion projects of their choice	Able to carry out material corrosion experiments of his choice	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) experimental procedure documents, 2) truth of substance, 3) smoothness of experiment 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	6 X 50 self- experiment		0%
13	Students master the implementation of independent material corrosion projects of their choice	Able to carry out material corrosion experiments of his choice	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) experimental procedure documents, 2) truth of substance, 3) smoothness of experiment 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	6 X 50 self- experiment		0%
14	Students communicate project results in the form of articles and posters	Able to carry out scientific communication by presenting the results of his project in slides, articles and posters on corrosion of materials of his choice	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) scientific product documents, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Independent exposure 4 X 50		0%

15	Students communicate project results in the form of articles and posters	Able to carry out scientific communication by presenting the results of his project in slides, articles and posters on corrosion of materials of his choice	Criteria: 1.Assessment Aspects: 1) scientific product documents, 2) correctness of substance, 3) smooth presentation 2.Assessment criteria: 3.A = 3 aspects of the assessment are met, 4.B = 2 assessment aspects are met, and 5.C = 1 aspect of the assessment is met	Independent exposure 4 X 50		0%
16						0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No Evaluation Percentage 0%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.