

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Physics Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE				Course Family			Cı	redi	t Wei	ight		SEME	STER	Co Dat	npilatio e	
Philosophy o	f Education		8420302243				Compulsory Study			T=	-2	P=0	ECTS=3	.18	:	3	July	/ 18, 202	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develo	ber			Program Subjects Cours			irse C	lus	ter C	oordinate	or	Study	Progra	um Co	ordinato	
		Prof. Nadi S	Supra	ıpto, Ph.	.D.				Prof. Nadi Suprapto, Ph.D.				Mita Anggaryani, M.Pd., Ph.D.						
Learning model	Case Studies																		
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course																		
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																		
(PLO)	PO - 1	Able to communicate effectively in solving science-physics education problems and adapting to situations faced through a philosophical approach to science-physics education																	
	PO - 2	Able to collaborate effectively in solving science-physics education problems and adapting to the situations faced through a philosophical approach to science-physics education																	
	PO - 3		e to process information effectively in solving science-physics education problems and adapting to situations ed through a philosophical approach to science-physics education																
	PO - 4	Able situat	to think at a l ions faced thr	nigh I ough	level (co n a philo	ompl sopł	ex) e nical	effect appro	ively bach	in so to sc	lving ience	scie -phy	ence-p ysics	ohysics e educatior	duca I	tion pro	blems	and a	dapting
	PLO-PO Matrix	1																	
	PO Matrix at th		P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4		n stage		ıh D	0)											
	FO Mail IX at th	le enu	UI Eacii lea	miné	y slaye	: (31	JD-P	0)											
			P.0							1		W	eek			1	1		
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	0 11	12	13	14	15	16
			D-1																
			D-2																
		-	D-3			_													
		P	D-4																
Short Course Description	This Philosophy Means of scienti with reason so t science as a phi between science truth, criteria for as well as the int of scientific think science, apart fr explanations anc question and ans	fic think hat the losophi and pl truth, so erpreta ing wh om tha I finally	king, and scie y have a cur cal study, the nilosophy. The ources of kno tion of the na ich include la t it also discu it discusses	nce; ious e orig e thire wledg ture o ngua usses the na	and the nature a jins of s d part d ge and t of objec age, mat s aspect ature an	nati and cien liscu truth t rea them ts of nd us	ure a the a sses ality, the ality, the natics f logic se of	nd u ability nd th the l basi he la s and c, na scier	se of to to to basic cs of ws o l stat mely nce.	knov hink story s of k scier f caus istics the	vledge that g of the nce w sality , the role o	e. T lives edg hich and role	he fir s birth e whi n inclu l regu of m /mbol	st part dis to know ment of s ch include ude the ob larity. The athematic s, system	scuss ledge scien e rea oject e four cs in ns an	es the e. The ce as v soning, of knov th sect logic a d scier	advant secono well as logic, vledge ion diso nd the ntific th	ages of the reas a v study cusses devel eories	of humar discusse elationshi vay to fin (ontology the tool opment , scientif
References	Main :																		

		Rosdaka 2. Bakhtiar, Publicatio 3. Roberts, 4. Kant, I 10:05215 5 Hege	rya. A., 2006. Filsafat IIm ons. R., M., 2004. Serend mmanuel, Metaphysid 344750) I, G. W. F., Philos	u . Jakarta: Raja Graf lipity, Penemuan-pene cal Foundations of	iindo Persada. Iemuan Bidang S Natural Sciel Dxford: Oxfor	n Paradigma dalam Rev Campbell, N., 1953. Wha Sains yang Tidak Disengu nce (Cambridge: Cambr rd U. Press, 2004; IS	at is Science ? Ne aja . Bandung: Pa ridge U. Press,	w York: Dover kar Raya. 2004; ISBN-		
		Supporters:	ikel ilmiah, dan sumh	er lain vang relevan						
Support lecturer		1. Buku, artikel ilmiah, dan sumber lain yang relevan ing Setyo Admoko, S.Pd., M.Pd. Prof. Nadi Suprapto, S.Pd., M.Pd., Ph.D. Utama Alan Deta, S.Pd., M.Pd., M.Si.								
Week-	eac	al abilities of h learning	Evalu	uation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment		
	sta (Su	ge b-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	[References]	Weight (%)		
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
1	rel be kn sc ph tec rel	plains the ationship tween humans, owledge, ience, ilosophy, chnology, and igion	Able to explain the relationship between humans, knowledge, science, philosophy, technology and religion.	Criteria: qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small Group Discussion 2 X 50 minutes	Small Group Discussion 2 X 50 minutes	Material: Humans, knowledge, science, philosophy, technology and religion References: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in the Scientific Revolution . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%		
2	as cre ad hu to in cu	plains humans thinking aatures and the vantages of mans compared other creatures their intelligence, riosity and nking.	Able to explain humans as thinking creatures and the advantages of humans compared to other creatures in their intelligence, curiosity and thinking.	Criteria: qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small Group Discussion 2 X 50 minutes	Small Group Discussion 2 X 50 minutes	Material: Humans as thinking creatures References: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%		
3	be co pri Sc an ex	stinguish tween facts, ncepts and nciples in ience-Physics d provide amples in iysics.	Able to differentiate between facts, concepts and principles in Science-Physics and provide examples in Physics.	Criteria: qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Facts, concepts and principles in Physics Library: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%		

4	Identifying science as an object of philosophical study and understanding the philosophy of science.	Able to identify science as an object of philosophical study and understand the philosophy of science	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Science, Philosophy and Philosophy of Science Library: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
5	Explain the history of the development of science	Able to explain the history of the development of science from prehistoric times, Greece and the Islamic era	Criteria: qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and Presentation 2 X 50 minutes	Discussion and Presentation 2 X 50 minutes	Material: History of the Development of Science References: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in the Scientific Revolution . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
6	Explain the history of the development of science	Able to explain the renaissance and modern	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Material: History of the Development of Science References: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in the Scientific Revolution . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
7	Explain the history of the development of science	Able to explain advances in contemporary science	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Material: History of the Development of Science References: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in the Scientific Revolution . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%

							
8	Midterm	1.Able to	Criteria:	Written Test	Written Test	Material: Mid-	10%
-	Evaluation/Midterm	explain the	Quantitative	2 x 50	2 x 50 minutes	semester	
	Exam			minutes		Evaluation	
		relationship				References:	
		between				Kuhn, T., S.,	
		humans,				2000. The	
		knowledge,				Structure of	
		science,					
		philosophy,				Scientific	
		technology				Revolutions,	
						The Role of	
		and religion.2.				Paradigms in	
		Able to				Scientific	
		explain				Revolutions .	
		humans as				Bandung:	
		thinking				Rosdakarya	
		creatures and				Youth.	
		the				. out in	
		advantages of					
		humans					
		compared to					
		other					
		creatures in					
		their					
		intelligence,					
		curiosity and					
		thinking.					
		2.Able to					
		explain					
		humans as					
		thinking					
		creatures and					
		the					
		advantages of					
		humans					
		compared to					
		other					
		creatures in					
		their					
		intelligence,					
		curiosity and					
		thinking.					
		3.Able to					
		differentiate					
		between facts,					
		concepts and					
		principles in					
		Science-					
		Physics and					
		provide					
		examples in					
		Physics.					
		4.Able to					
		identify					
		science as an					
		object of					
		philosophical					
		study and					
		understand					
		the philosophy					
		of science					
		5.Able to					
		explain the					
		history of the					
		development					
		of science					
		from					
		prehistoric					
		times, Greece					
		and the					
		Islamic era					
		6.Able to					
		explain the					
		renaissance					
		and modern					
		7.Able to					
		explain					
		advances in					
		contemporary					
		science.					
			1		1	1	

9	Explains the basics of knowledge which are composed of: reasoning, logic, sources of knowledge and criteria of truth	Able to explain the basics of knowledge which are composed of: reasoning, logic, sources of knowledge and criteria of truth.	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Basics of Knowledge: Reasoning, Logic, Sources of Knowledge, and Criteria for Truth Bibliography: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
10	Explains the basics of science consisting of: Ontology, Epistemology and Axiology	Able to analyze ontology: metaphysics, assumptions, opportunities, some assumptions in science, the limits of scientific exploration.	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Ontology: Metaphysics, Assumptions, Opportunities, and the Limits of Exploring Science Library: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
11	Explains the basics of science consisting of: Ontology, Epistemology and Axiology	Able to explain the epistemology of knowledge, scientific methods and the structure of scientific knowledge	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Epistemology: Scientific Method and Structure of Scientific Knowledge References: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in the Scientific Revolution . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
12	Explains the basics of science consisting of: Ontology, Epistemology and Axiology	Able to explain the axiology of science and morals (nuclear, etc.)	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Discussion and Presentation 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Axiology: Science and Morals References: <i>Kuhn, T., S.,</i> 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%

13	Identifying scientific concept methods, scientific explanations, and science	Able to identify scientific concept methods, scientific explanations, and science	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Science concept methods, science explanations, and Bibliography Science: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
14	Explain the theory of Scientific Argumentation	Able to apply Scientific Argumentation in Physics Learning	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Scientific Argumentation References: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%
15	Explaining Scientific Literacy	Able to explain about Scientific Literacy	Criteria: Qualitative Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Small Group Discussion 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Scientific Literacy References: Kuhn, T., S., 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	5%

	Evaluation / Final Semester Examination	explain the basics of knowledge which are composed of: reasoning, logic, sources of knowledge and criteria of truth 2.Able to analyze ontology: metaphysics, assumptions, opportunities, some assumptions in science, the limits of scientific exploration. 3.Able to explain the epistemology of knowledge, scientific methods and the structure of scientific knowledge 4.Able to explain the axiology of science and morals (nuclear, etc.) 5.Able to identify scientific concept methods, scientific explanations, and science 6.Able to apply Scientific Argumentation in Physics Learning 7.Able to explain about Scientific Literacy	Quantitative	2 x 50 minutes	2 x 50 minutes	Final Semester Evaluation References: <i>Kuhn, T., S.,</i> 2000. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, The Role of Paradigms in Scientific Revolutions . Bandung: Rosdakarya Youth.	
--	---	--	--------------	-------------------	----------------	--	--

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	70%
		70%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and

unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.

- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.