



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Sports and Health Sciences, Undergraduate Nutrition Study Program

Courses			CODE		•	Cours	e Fan	nily			Cred	lit Wei	ght	SEM	ESTER		Compilat Date	tion
Nutrition Intervention Planning		ning	132110408	33		Study Program Elective		;	T=4	P=0	ECTS=6.3	6	7		July 10, 2	2023		
AUTHORIZA	TION		SP Develo	P Developer Course Cluste				ster Co	oordinator	Stud	y Progi	am C	Coordina	tor				
			Choirul An	na Nur Af	ïfah, S	S.Pd.,	M.Si			Cho M.S		a Nur <i>A</i>	sfifah, S.Pd	., Ama	ılia Ruh	ana, S	S.P., M.P	'.H.
Learning model	Project Base	d Learning	9						<u> </u>									
Program	PLO study p	rogram tl	hat is char	ged to tl	1е со	urse												
Learning Outcomes	PLO-8	Able to master the scientific basis of nutrition, food, biomedicine, humanities and public health sciences.																
(PLO)	PLO-9		Able to have an attitude of belief in the Almighty God, be ethical, disciplined, aware of the law, have a social and cultural insight, and behave professionally.															
	Program Ob	jectives (PO)															
	PO - 1	Students	s are able to	carry out	situat	ion ar	nalysis	;										
	PO - 2	Students	s are able to	determin	e prob	olem p	rioritie	es										
	PO - 3		Students are able to determine a nutritional problem solving program															
	PO - 4 PLO-PO Mat		Designing nutritional intervention programs															
	DO Matrix at		PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4			Cult	20)											
	PO Matrix at	the end	or each lea	irning st	age (Sub-i	-0)											
			P.O								Wee	k						1
			-	1 2	3	4	5	6	7	1 8	3 9	10	11 1	2 13	14	15	16	-
		PO-1	-														+	-
		PO-2	2														1	=
		PO-3	3															1
		PO-4	ļ															
Short Course Description	Discusses the instruments for problem cause and preparing	r situation e analysis,	analysis or i	identifying sis, altern	g comi ative a	munity analys	/ nutrit	ion is	sues,	det	ermining	target	groups, pa	rticipato	ry or sta	keho	lder analy	ysis,
References	Main :																	
	 Wirav Mutal 	van, Nia No azimah. 20	I ⁄odi, dkk .20 ovita, dkk. 20 019. Manajei di, dkk.2018	018. Meto men Prog	de Pe ram G	renca Sizi. M	ınaan uhamı	Inerve madya	nsi C ah Un	izi d iver	di Masya sity Pres	rakat. I s. Sura	Buku Ajar l akarta	JB. UB F	ress. M	alang		
	Supporters:																	
İ	•		L															

1. Afifah CAN, Sulandjari S, Dewi R. 2022. Pendidikan Gizi. Unesa Press: Surabaya

Support lecturer	ing Choirul Anna N	ur Afifah, S.Pd., M.	Si.				
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage		Evaluation	Lear Studer	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	[References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Identify data needs for analysis of the community's nutritional situation	Compliance of data with the information needed for situation analysis	Criteria: The correct answer gets a score of 10. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, assignments and questions and answers 4 X 50		Material: Situation analysis References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor	5%
						Material: Situation analysis Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta	
2	Designing situation analysis instruments	1.The accuracy of the developed instrument 2.Suitability of the instrument to the data collected	Criteria: 1.Very appropriate/very appropriate gets a score of 4 2.Correct/appropriate gets a score of 3 3. Inaccurate/inappropriate gets a score of 2 4.Incorrect/inappropriate gets a score of 1	Assignment 4 X 50		Material: Evaluation instrument References: Afifah CAN, Sulandjari S, Dewi R. 2022. Nutrition Education. Unesa Press: Surabaya	5%
			Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			Material: Situation analysis References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor	
3	Designing situation analysis instruments	1.The accuracy of the developed instrument 2.Suitability of the instrument to the data collected	Criteria: 1.Very appropriate/very appropriate gets a score of 4 2.Correct/appropriate gets a score of 3 3. Inaccurate/inappropriate gets a score of 2 4.Incorrect/inappropriate gets a score of 1 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignments, discussions and questions and answers 4 X 50		Material: Evaluation instrument References: Afifah CAN, Sulandjari S, Dewi R. 2022. Nutrition Education. Unesa Press: Surabaya Material: Situation analysis References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor	5%

4	Determining the magnitude of the nutritional problem	Accuracy of the size of the problem	Criteria: 1. Very appropriate/very appropriate gets a score of 4 2. Correct/appropriate gets a score of 3 3. Inaccurate/inappropriate gets a score of 2 4. Incorrect/inappropriate gets a score of 1 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignments, discussions and questions and answers 4 X 50	Material: Evaluation instrument References: Afifah CAN, Sulandjari S, Dewi R. 2022. Nutrition Education. Unesa Press: Surabaya Material: Situation analysis References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor	5%
5	Identifying family and stakeholder involvement	1.The precision of participatory analysis 2.Accuracy of problem cause analysis 3.The accuracy of the problem prioritization method	Criteria: 1. Very correct to get a score of 4 2. Correctly got a score of 3 3. Inaccurate score 2 4. Incorrect score 1 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignments, and questions and answers 4 X 50	Material: Analysis of the causes of the problem References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Causes of nutritional problems Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta Material: Problem tree References: Affiah CAN, Sulandjari S, Dewi R. 2022. Nutrition Education. Unesa Press: Surabaya	5%

					·		
6	Determine the factors causing nutritional problems using a problem tree or fishbone diagram	Accuracy of problem cause analysis	Criteria: 1. Very correct to get a score of 4 2. Correctly got a score of 3 3. Inaccurate score 2 4. Incorrect score 1 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignments, and questions and answers 4 x 50		Material: Analysis of the causes of the problem References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Causes of nutritional problems Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta Material: Problem tree References: Afifah CAN, Sulandjari S, Dewi R. 2022. Nutrition Education. Unesa Press: Surabaya	5%
7	Determine the factors causing nutritional problems using a problem tree or fishbone diagram	Accuracy of problem cause analysis	Criteria: 1.Very correct to get a score of 4 2.Correctly got a score of 3 3.Inaccurate score 2 4.Incorrect score 1 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignments, and questions and answers 4 X 50		Material: Analysis of the causes of the problem References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Causes of nutritional problems Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta Material: Problem tree References: Afifah CAN, Sulandjari S, Dewi R. 2022. Nutrition Education. Unesa Press: Surabaya	5%

	T		T		,		
8	Apply problem prioritization methods	The accuracy of the problem prioritization method	Criteria: 1. Very correct to get a score of 4 2. Correctly got a score of 3 3. Inaccurate score 2 4. Incorrect score 1 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignments, discussions and questions and answers 4 X 50		Material: Analysis of the causes of the problem References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Causes of nutritional problems Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta Material: Problem tree References: Affah CAN, Sulandjari S, Dewi R. 2022. Nutrition Education. Unesa Press: Surabaya	5%
9	Analyze the objectives of nutrition problem solving programs (goals, outcomes, & output)	Accuracy of goal analysis	Criteria: 1. Very correct to get a score of 4 2. Correctly got a score of 3 3. It's not quite right to get a score of 2 4. It is not correct to get a score of 1 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment 4 X 50		Material: Alternative analysis References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Objective analysis Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta	0%

10	Identify	Accuracy of	Critoria	Accianment	Material	004
10	Identify alternative nutritional problem solving programs	Accuracy of alternative analysis	Criteria: 1.Very correct to get a score of 4 2.Correctly got a score of 3 3.It's not quite right to get a score of 2 4.It's not correct to get a score of 1 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment 4 X 50	Material: Alternative analysis References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Objective analysis Reference: Mutalazimah.	0%
					2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta	
11	Identify alternative nutritional problem solving programs	Accuracy of alternative analysis	Criteria: 1.Very correct to get a score of 4 2.Correctly got a score of 3 3.It's not quite right to get a score of 2 4.It is not correct to get a score of 1 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment 4 X 50	Material: Alternative analysis References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Objective analysis Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta	0%
12	Determine the nutritional solution program that is implemented in the community	Program	Criteria: 1.Very correct to get a score of 4 2.Correctly got a score of 3 3.It's not quite right to get a score of 2 4.It is not correct to get a score of 1 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment 4 X 50	Material: Alternative analysis References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Objective analysis Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta	0%

13	Develop nutrition program planning instruments (LFA or OOPP)	Instrument precision (LFA/OPP)	Criteria: 1. Very suitable to get a score of 20 2. Appropriately gets a score of 15 3. Not suitable gets a score of 10 4. Not suitable gets a score of 5 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment and questions and answers 4 X 50	Material: Program planning (OOPP) References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor	10%
					Material: Program planning (LFA) Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta	
					Material: Program planning (LFA) Reference: Mahendradata Yodi, et al. 2018. Health Program Management. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.	
14	Develop nutrition program planning instruments (LFA or OOPP)	Instrument precision (LFA/OPP)	Criteria: 1.Very suitable to get a score of 20 2.Appropriately gets a score of 15 3.Not suitable gets a score of 10 4.Not suitable gets a score of 5 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment and questions and answers 4 X 50	Material: Program planning (OOPP) References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor	10%
					Material: Program planning (LFA) Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta	
					Material: Program planning (LFA) Reference: Mahendradata Yodi, et al. 2018. Health Program Management. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.	

15	Prepare program proposals to solve nutritional problems in the community	Compliance of proposals with guidelines	Criteria: 1. Very suitable to get a score of 20 2. Appropriately gets a score of 15 3. Not suitable gets a score of 10 4. Not suitable gets a score of 5 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignments, discussions and questions and answers 4 X 50	Material: Program planning (OOPP) References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Program planning (LFA) Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta Material: Program planning (LFA) Reference: Mahendradata Yodi, et al. 2018. Health Program Management. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.	20%
16	Prepare program proposals to solve nutritional problems in the community	Compliance of proposals with guidelines	Criteria: 1.Very suitable to get a score of 20 2.Appropriately gets a score of 15 3.Not suitable gets a score of 10 4.Not suitable gets a score of 5 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignments, discussions and questions and answers 4 X 50	Material: Program planning (OOPP) References: Wirawan, Nia Novita, et al. 2018. Methods for Planning Nutrition Interventions in the Community. UB Textbook. UB Press. Poor Material: Program planning (LFA) Reference: Mutalazimah. 2019. Nutrition Program Management. Muhammadyah University Press. Surakarta Material: Program planning (LFA) Reference: Mahendradata Yodi, et al. 2018. Health Program Management. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.	20%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning						
No	Evaluation	Percentage				
1.	Participatory Activities	37.5%				
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	62.5%				
		100%				

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study
 program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
 Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.