



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Music Study Program

					SEI	MES	STEF	R LE	ARN	IINC	3 PL	_AN							
Courses			CODE				Cours	e Fami	lv			Credi	it Weigh	t	SEM	ESTER		Compi	lation Date
	rts Management		91221021	28			+		n Electiv	e Cour	rses			CTS=3.18	1	2		July 16	
			SP Develo				1					uster Co					am Coo	ordinato	
ACTIONIZAT	.c.		Joko Wina		.Sn. M.S	Sn.												o, S.Sn.	
Learning model	Case Studies																		
Program	PLO study pro	gram tha	at is charg	ed to	the cou	urse													
Learning Outcomes	PLO-2	Demons	strate the ch	naracte	r of beir	ng toug	h, collab	orative	, adaptiv	e, inno	vative,	inclusive	e, lifelon	g learning	and en	trepren	eurial sp	irit	
(PLO)	PLO-7	Able to	adapt to de	velopm	ents in	techno	logy, the	busine	ss world	and th	ne musi	c industi	y (DUDI).					
	PLO-12	Able to design, create, collaborate and express various kinds of ideas or creative ideas by creating musical works utilizing new ideas and traditional idioms.																	
	Program Objectives (PO)																		
	PO - 1 Demonstrate a responsible attitude towards work in their field of expertise independently																		
Program Learning Outcomes	PO - 2	Able to study the implications of developing or implementing technological knowledge that pays attention to and applies humanities values according to their expertise based on scientific rules, procedures and ethics in order to produce solutions, ideas, designs or art criticism																	
	PO - 3	Student	s are able to	o under	rstand n	nanage	ement co	ncepts	and fram	iework	S								
	PO - 4	Student	udents are able to plan, implement and close performing arts management work programs																
	PO - 5	Student	s are able to	o apply	work p	rogram	s in IT a	pplication	ons										
Learning model Program Learning Outcomes (PLO) Short Course Description	PLO-PO Matrix	(
			P.O	1	PLO-2	1	PLC	. 7	l pi	.O-12									
		l -					PLC)-1	PL	.0-12									
		 	PO-1	-	/	_			-										
			PO-2				-												
			PO-3							′									
			PO-4							•									
			PO-5							/									
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																		
			P.O		1	ı	1				1	Week	1				1	1	
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1	l	•															
		PO-2	2		1	1													
		PO-3	3				1		1										
		PO-4	1							/		1	1	1	/	1			
		PO-5	5					1			1						1	1	1
					1	1	1	1						1			1	1	
Course	Understanding of performing arts in	f managenstitutions	ement mod in Indones	lels for ia and (manaq globally	gement	activitie	es and	perform	ng art	s netw	orks. Di	scusses	various	charac	ters, pri	inciples	of func	tionalism o
References	Main :																		
References	1. Murgiyanto,Sal. 1985. Manajemen Pertunjukan . Jakarta: Dikbud.Jakarta 2. William James Byrnes. 2008. Management and The Arts. Focal Press. 3. Langley Stephen.1990. Theatre Management and Production in America . New York: Drama Publisher. 4. Permas,Achsan, dkk. 2002. Manajemen Organisasi Seni Pertunjukan . Jakarta : PPM.																		
	Supporters:																		
Supporting lecturer	Joko Winarko, S Marda Putra Mal	.Sn., M.Sı nendra, S	n. .Pd., M.Pd.																

	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evalua	ation	Help Le Learning Student As: [Estimat	methods,	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(**************************************	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	

1	Performing Arts Management Lecture Contract	Able to explain performance management course	Criteria: 1.4 Complete and	100	Material: Performing Arts Management	5%
		targets	explanation 2.3 Complete but inaccurate explanation 3.2 The explanation is incomplete and inaccurate 4.1 Explanation is incomplete and inaccurate Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities		Lecture Contract Reader: Murgiyanto, Sal. 1985. Performance Management. Jakarta: Dikbud. Jakarta Material: Performing Arts Management Lecture Contract Reader: Murgiyanto, Sal. 1985. Performance Management. Jakarta: Dikbud. Jakarta	
2	Understanding of organizations (cooperation), organizational characteristics, as well as performing arts organizations and environments	Able to understand organizations (cooperation), organizational characteristics, as well as performing arts organizations and environments	Criteria: 1.4 Complete and precise explanation 2.3 Complete but inaccurate explanation 3.2 The explanation is incomplete and inaccurate 4.1 Explanation is incomplete and inaccurate Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Offline 100	Material: Understanding of organizations (cooperation), characteristics of organizations, as well as organizations, as well as organizations and the performing arts environment. Reader: Murgiyanto, Sal. 1985. Performance Management. Jakarta: Dikbud. Jakarta Material: Understanding of organizations (cooperation), characteristics of organizations, as well as organizations, and the performing arts environment. Reader: Murgiyanto, Sal. 1985. Performance Management. Jakarta: Dikbud. Jakarta	5%
3	Ability to identify arts organizations and form arts organizational structures	Identification of arts organizations and formation of arts organizational structures	Criteria: 1.4 Complete and precise explanation 2.3 Complete but inaccurate explanation 3.2 The explanation is incomplete and inaccurate 4.1 Explanation is incomplete ard inaccurate Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	100	Material: Ability to identify arts organizations and the formation of arts organizational structures Reader: Murgiyanto, Sal. 1985. Performance Management. Jakarta: Dikbud.Jakarta	5%
4	Understand the management of arts institutions/organizations well	Able to explain the procedures for managing arts institutions/organizations	Criteria: 1.4 Complete and precise explanation 2.3 Complete but inaccurate explanation 3.2 The explanation is incomplete and inaccurate 4.1 Explanation is incomplete and inaccurate Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	100	Material: Understanding the management of arts institutions/organizations well. Reference: William James Byrnes. 2008. Management and the Arts. Focal Press. Material: Understanding the management of arts institutions/organizations well. Reference: William James Byrnes. 2008. Management and the Arts. Focal Press.	5%
5	Students are able to plan an art market management model using IT	Understanding of basic knowledge about management models and the art market	Criteria: 1.4 Complete and precise explanation 2.3 Complete but inaccurate explanation 3.2 The explanation is incomplete and inaccurate 4.1 Explanation is incomplete and inaccurate Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	100	Material: 1 Incomplete and inaccurate explanation Bibliography: William James Byrnes. 2008. Management and The Arts. Focal Press.	5%

6	Students are able to plan a model for art market management using IT in the form of a framework for arts institutions/organizations	Able to create art market management model plans using IT in managing arts institutions/organizations	1.4 Complete and precise explanation 2.3 Complete but inaccurate explanation 3.2 The explanation is incomplete and inaccurate 4.1 Explanation is incomplete and inaccurate Form of Assessment:	100	Material: Students are able to plan a model for art market management using IT in the form of a framework for arts institutions/organizations. Reader: William James Byrnes. 2008. Management and The Arts. Focal Press.	5%
			Participatory Activities			
7	SWOT analysis of arts institutions/organizations	Able to analyze arts institutions/organizations using SWOT analysis	Criteria: 1.4 Complete and precise explanation 2.3 Complete but inaccurate explanation 3.2 The explanation is incomplete and inaccurate 4.1 Explanation is incomplete and inaccurate	Lectures and discussions about the types and forms of arts institutions/organizations	Material: SWOT analysis of arts institutions/organizations Reference: William James Byrnes. 2008. Management and the Arts. Focal Press.	5%
			Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities			
8	MIDTERM EXAM	UTS	Criteria: 1.4 Complete and precise explanation 2.3 Complete but inaccurate explanation 3.2 The explanation is incomplete and inaccurate 4.1 Explanation is incomplete and inaccurate Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Question 100	Material: Organization, Structure, Job Disk Library: Murgiyanto, Sal. 1985. Performance Management. Jakarta: Dikbud.Jakarta	10%
9	Work with a team to	Work with a team to	Criteria:		Material: Working with a	5%
	create a show	create a show	Able to work with a team to create a show Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	100	team to create a show Reader: Langley Stephen.1990. Theater Management and Production in America. New York: Drama Publishers.	C/V
10	Work with a team to create a show	Work with a team to create a show	Criteria: Able to work with a team to create a show Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	100	Material: Working with a team to create a show Reader: Langley Stephen.1990. Theater Management and Production in America. New York: Drama Publishers.	5%
11	Work with a team to create a show	Work with a team to create a show	Criteria: Able to work with a team to create a show Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	100	Material: Working with a team to create a show Reader: Langley Stephen. 1990. Theater Management and Production in America. New York: Drama Publishers.	5%
12	Work with a team to create a show	Work with a team to create a show	Criteria: Able to work with a team to create a show Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	100	Material: Working with a team to create a show Reader: Langley Stephen.1990. Theater Management and Production in America. New York: Drama Publishers.	5%
13	Work with a team to create a show	Work with a team to create a show	Criteria: Able to work with a team to create a show Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	100	Material: Working with a team to create a show Reader: Langley Stephen.1990. Theater Management and Production in America. New York: Drama Publishers.	5%
14	Discussing the achievements of making musical performances	Discussing the achievements of making musical performances	Criteria: Able to explain achievements in terms of creating musical performances Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	100	Material: Able to explain achievements in creating musical performances Reader: Langley Stephen.1990. Theater Management and Production in America. New York: Drama Publishers.	5%

15	Discussing the achievements of making musical performances	Discussing the achievements of making musical performances	Criteria: Able to explain achievements in terms of creating musical performances Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	100	Material: Able to explain achievements in creating musical performances Reader: Langley Stephen.1990. Theater Management and Production in America. New York: Drama Publishers.	5%
16	UAS	Able to complete the Final Semester Exam	Criteria: Practical Ability with maximum performance organizing and reporting activities Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Practical Ability to Organize Music Performances 100	Material: Performing Arts Activity Report Library: Murgiyanto, Sal. 1985. Performance Management. Jakarta: Dikbud.Jakarta	20%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

Evaluation Fercentage Recap. Case Stut							
No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Participatory Activities	70%					
2.	Practice / Performance	30%					
	•	100%					

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge. 2.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned
- at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.

 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative 8.
- Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.