



# Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Music Study Program

## **SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN**

Courses		CODE	Course Family	y	Cred	lit We	ight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
The Science of Musical Form		9122102127	Compulsory St Program Subje		T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	3	May 29, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer			rse C rdina	luste tor	r	Study Prograi	Coordinator	
		Drs. Heri Murbiyantor	o, M.Pd.	Drs. M.Po	Heri d.	Murbi	yantoro,	Agus Suwahyono, S.Sn., M.Pd.		
Learning model	Case Studies									
Program	PLO study progra	m that is charged to th	ne course							

#### Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)

PLO study prog	gram that is charged to the course
	Able to master the theory and practice of instruments/vocals for education, development, presentation creation and study of music

PLU-0	Able to apply music theory and practice in social life
	Able to apply theoretical and practical aspects in the art of music using academic discourse presentation methods, the results of which are shown in individual paper presentations.

PLO-11 Able to make the right decisions in the fields of creation, presentation, education and study of music based on accurate information and data analysis, and able to provide alternative solutions to various problems in the field of music in society.

#### **Program Objectives (PO)**

PO - 1	Students are able to explain basic concepts and terminology related to the analysis of musical form.
PO - 2	Students can develop effective learning strategies based on their understanding of the analysis of musical forms in social life
PO - 3	Students are able to integrate knowledge of musical form analysis in the context of music teaching and

academic research.

PO - 4

Students are able to provide alternative solutions to various problems in the fields of creation, presentation, and study of music in terms of musical form

#### **PLO-PO Matrix**

P.O	PLO-5	PLO-6	PLO-8	PLO-11
PO-1	•			
PO-2		•		
PO-3			1	
PO-4				<b>,</b>

### PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)

P.O		Week														
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
PO-1	1	1			1											
PO-2			1	1		1		1						1	1	
PO-3							1		1	1	1	1	1			
PO-4																>

Short Course Description		dying the science of forms of musical analysis including: musical structure with poetry, melodic style and les of songs according to their nature and application with theoretical and practical strategies
References	Main :	
	<ol> <li>Prier, Karl. Edr</li> <li>Siegmiester, E</li> <li>Stein, Leon Music</li> <li>Rangkuti, R.E.</li> </ol>	nund SJ. 1991. Ilmu Bentuk Musik . Yogyakarta: Pusat Musik Liturgi nund SJ. 2009. Ilmu Harmoni . Yogyakarta: Pusat Musik Liturgi lise. 1965. A Workbook For Harmony and Melody Vol 1 . WadsworthPublishing Company . Structure & Style Expanded Edition The Stady And Analysis Of Musical Form : Summy-Birchard Dkk. 1981. Kumpulan lagu-lagu Daerah. Jakarta: CV Titik Terang 2008. Pustaka Nada, Kumpulan lagu anak. Jakarta: PT Garasindo
	Supporters:	
Supporting	Drs. Heri Murbivantoro.	M Pd

Supporting lecturer Drs. Heri Murbiyantoro, M.Pd. Dhani Kristiandri, S.Pd., M.Sn.

lecturer	Dilani Kristianun,	3.Fu., IVI.311.						
Week-	Final abilities of each learning	Εν	valuation	Stu	Help Learning, earning methods, dent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment	
Week	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>onlin</i> e )	[ References ]	Weight (%)	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Able to understand the meaning of the Science of Musical Forms and the elements that make up music (songs)	Students are able to explain the meaning of the Science of Musical Forms and the elements that make up music (songs)	Criteria: discussion, question and answer.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 100		Material: Understanding the science of musical form and the elements that make up music. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center	4%	
2	Able to understand the meaning of the elements that make up music (motifs)	Students are able to explain the meaning of the elements that make up music (motifs).	Criteria: discussion, question and answer.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 100		Material: understanding motifs References: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center	5%	
3	Able to understand the meaning of the elements that make up music (motives and their development).	Students are able to explain the meaning of the elements that make up music (motives and their development).	Criteria: discussion, question and answer.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 100		Material: Understanding motifs and their development. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center	5%	

4	Able to create	Students are	Criteria:	offline		Material:	5%
*	motifs and their development.	able to create motifs and develop them.	discussion, demonstration.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	100		Understanding motifs and their development. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center	5%
co	Understanding interrogative sentences from a song melody.	1. 2.Students understand the meaning of interrogative sentences from a song melody.	Criteria: discussion, question and answer.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	offline 2 x 50		Material: understanding interrogative sentences in the melody of a song. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center  Material: harmony to the melody of a song. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 2009. The Science of Harmony. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center	5%
6	Able to make interrogative sentences from a song melody.	Students are able to make question sentences from a song melody.	Criteria: discussion, question and answer, demonstration.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	offline 2 x 50		Material: understanding interrogative sentences in the melody of a song. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center  Material: harmony to the melody of a song. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 2009. The Science of Harmony. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center	5%

7	Able to make question sentences and answer sentences from a song melody.	Students are able to make question sentences and answer sentences from a song melody.	Criteria: discussion, question and answer, demonstration.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	offline 2 x 50	Materia underst interrog sentend the mel a song. Referet Prier, K Edmund 1991. T Science Musica Yogyak Liturgic Music O  Materia harmon the mel a song. Referet	anding pative ces in ody of ces in ody	
					Prier, K Edmun 2009. T Science Harmon Yogyak Liturgic Music C	Carl. d SJ. the e of ny. auta: al	
8	Able to create a 1 part song.	Students are able to create a 1-part song form.	Criteria: Test  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Tests	offline 2 x 50	Materia underst interrog sentend the mel a song Referet Prier, K Edmun 1991. T Science Musical Yogyak Liturgic Music C	tanding lative ces in ody of mce: farl. d SJ. The e of I Form. rarta: al Center	
					harmon the mel a song. <b>Referei</b> Prier, K Edmun 2009. T Science Harmon Yogyak Liturgic Music C	ny to ody of  nce: farl. d SJ. The e of ny. farta: al	
9	Able to create song motifs based on harmony IV.	Students are able to create song motifs based on harmony IV.	Criteria: discussion, demonstration  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	offline 2 x 50	Materia underst interrog sentend the mel a song. Refere Prier, K Edmun 1991. T Science Musical Yogyak Liturgic Music O	tanding lative ces in ody of ces. Carl. d SJ. The e of latern.	
					Materia harmon the mel a song. Referei Prier, K Edmuni 2009. T Science Harmon Yogyak Liturgic Music C	ny to ody of  nce: (arl. d SJ. The e of ny. (arta: al	

		I	T	1			
10	Able to make interrogative sentences from a song with V - I or IV - I harmony cadences.	Able to make interrogative sentences from a song with V - I or IV - I harmony cadences.	Criteria: discussion, demonstration  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Tests	offline 2 x 50	unde inter sent the r a so Refe Pries Edm Mus Mate harm the r a so Refe Pries Edm 2005 Scie Harr Yog Litur	erence: r, Karl. nund SJ. 1. The ence of icical Form. yakarta: rgical ic Center erial: mony to melody of	5%
11	Able to make interrogative sentences from a song with V - I or IV - I harmony cadences.	Able to make interrogative sentences from a song with V - I or IV - I harmony cadences.	Criteria: discussion, demonstration.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	offline 2 x 50	unde inter sent the r a so Refe Pries Edm 1993 Scie Mus Yogg Litur Mus Mate harn the r a so Refe Pries Edm 2003 Scie Harr Yogg Litur Yogg Litur	erence: r, Karl. nund SJ. 1. The ence of ence of encel Form. yakarta: rgical eic Center erial: nony to melody of	5%
12	Able to make answer sentences from a song with harmony cadences I - V or IV - V.	Students are able to make answer sentences from a song with harmony cadences I - V or IV - V.	Criteria: discussion, demonstration.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	offline 2 x 50	Mate under inter sent the real as so Reference Muss Yogy Litur Mus Mate harm the real as so Reference Edmand 2003 Sciee Harm Yogy Litur Yogy Litur Edmand 2004 Sciee Harm Yogy Litur Yogy Litur	erial: erstanding rrogative tences in melody of ong. erence: r, Karl. nund SJ. 1. The ence of cical Form. yakarta: rgical cic Center erial: mony to melody of	5%

	T		T	1	T	
13	Able to make answer sentences from a song with harmony cadences I - V or IV - V.	Students are able to make answer sentences from a song with harmony cadences I - V or IV - V.	Criteria: discussion, demonstration.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	offline 2 x 50	Material: understanding interrogative sentences in the melody of a song. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center	5%
					Material: harmony to the melody of a song. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 2009. The Science of Harmony. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center	
14	1.Able to understand the meaning and creation of a 3-part song form 2.Able to create motifs and question sentences for song form part 3.	1.Students are able to understand the meaning and creation of a 3-part song form. 2.Students are able to create motifs and question sentences for part 3.	Criteria:     discussion,     demonstration.  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	offline 2 x 50	Material: Understanding motifs, and interrogative sentences in part 3. References: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center  Material: Harmony in song part 3. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 2009. The Science of Harmony. Yogyakarta: Liturgical	5%
15	1.Able to understand the meaning and creation of a 3- part song form. 2.Able to create motifs and answer sentences for part 3.	1.Students are able to understand the meaning and creation of a 3-part song form. 2.Students are able to create motifs and answer sentences for part 3.	Criteria:     discussion,     demonstration.  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	offline 100	Music Center  Material: Understanding motifs, and interrogative sentences in part 3.  References: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center  Material: Harmony in song part 3. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 2009. The Science of Harmony. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center	5%

16	Able to compose a 3-part song.	Students are able to create a 3-part song form.	Criteria: discussion, demonstration.  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Tests	offline 2 x 50	Material: Understanding motifs, and interrogative sentences in part 3. References: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 1991. The Science of Musical Form. Yogyakarta: Liturgical Music Center  Material: Harmony in song part 3. Reference: Prier, Karl. Edmund SJ. 2009. The Science of Harmony. Yogyakarta:	20%
					Liturgical Music Center	

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	55.67%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	24.17%
3.	Practice / Performance	7.5%
4.	Test	11.67%
		99.01%

#### Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
  and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. **Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.