

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Music Arts Study Program

Document Code

Courses		CODE			Cou	rse Fa	mily			Credit Weight				SEME	STER	Co Da	mpilat te	
Research me	thods	9122104121									T=4	P=0	ECTS	=6.36		3		y 18, 2
AUTHORIZA	ΓΙΟΝ	SP Develop	er						Cours	se Clu	ister (Coor	dinator		Study	Progra	m Coc	ordinat
															Agus S	uwahyo	ono, S.	Sn., M
Learning model	Project Based L	earning																
Program	PLO study prog	gram that is char	ged t	o the	cour	rse												
earning Dutcomes	PLO-26 Internalize academic values, norms and ethics																	
(PLO)	Program Objectives (PO)																	
	PO - 1	Able to design research with the correct methodology																
	PO - 2	Able to identify, formulate and solve problems Have knowledge of current issues and broad insight related to the field of music																
	PO - 3	Have knowledge	of curre	ent iss	sues a	and bro	bad ins	sight r	related	d to th	e field	l of m	nusic					
	PO - 4	Have professiona	respo	nsibil	ity and	d ethic	S											
	PO - 5	Able to communic	ate eff	fective	ely													
	PLO-PO Matrix																	
	PO Matrix at th	P.0 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5 e end of each lea P.0 PO-1 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5	arning		ge (Si	4 4) 5	6	7	8	Wee 9	ek 10			13	14	15	
Short Course Description	variables, operat population and sa	of research, type ional definitions of amples and researc	i varia	bles,	resea	arch d	esigns	s, arti	stic th	neorie	proble s, dat	ems, a co	problem llection 1	formu echnic	lation, ł jues, da	iypothe ata ana	ses/as lysis t	sumpti echniq
References	Kencana 2. Sugiono, 3. Soedarso 4. Spradley 5. Tim Peny 6. Tim Peny	H.M.Burhan. 200 2005, Memahami ono, 2001, Metodol , James P., 2007, I yusun. 2006. Pandu yusun. 2005. Ped an Nasional.	Peneli ogi Pe Metode Jan Pe	tian K nelitia e Etno enulisa	ualita In Ser Igrafi. An dar	tif . Ba ni Perti Yogya n Penil	ndung unjuka akarta: aian S) : CV an dar Tiara Skrips	. Alfat n Seni a Wac i Unive	oeta. Rupa ana. ersitas	i. Banı s Negi	dung eri Si	: MSPI. urabaya .				ŗ	

	Supporters:						
Support lecturer		in., M.Pd.					
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)		valuation	Learning Student As	earning, methods, ssignments, ated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(300-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Explain the nature of art research	Able to explain the nature of art research. Able to explain the meaning and types of research	Criteria: 1.Students are able to explain the nature of art research well 2.Students are able to explain the meaning of Art Research correctly 3.Students are	Lectures and Questions and Answers 2 X 50		Material: the nature of arts research Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing Arts and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	3%
			able to name the type of research correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities			Material: definition and types of research Literature: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing Arts and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	
2	Mastering topic selection, preliminary studies and interesting research titles	Students are able to choose topics, preliminary studies and interesting research titles	Criteria: Students are able to choose topics, preliminary studies and interesting research titles Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 4 X 50		Material: topic, preliminary study and research title References: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	3%
3	Mastering topic selection, preliminary studies and interesting research titles	Students are able to choose topics, preliminary studies and interesting research titles	Criteria: Students are able to choose topics, preliminary studies and interesting research titles Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 4 X 50		Material: topic, preliminary study and research title Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing Arts and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	3%
4	Understand the preparation of qualitative and quantitative research proposals and research reports	Students are able to differentiate between qualitative and quantitative research proposals	Criteria: Students are able to explain the differences between quantitative research and qualitative research correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lecture, Discussion, question and answer 1 X 1		Material: qualitative and quantitative research proposals Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	3%
5	Understand the preparation of qualitative and quantitative research proposals and research reports	Students are able to differentiate between qualitative and quantitative research proposals	Criteria: Students are able to explain the differences between quantitative research and qualitative research correctly Form of Assessment Portfolio Assessment	Lecture, Discussion, question and answer 1 X 1		Material: qualitative and quantitative research proposals References: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	3%

6	Mastering the writing of the Introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research). Mastering the writing of literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in the thesis	Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem, formulation, objectives and benefits of research). Students are able to write a literature review, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis	Criteria: 1.Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research 2.Students are able to compile literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers and assignments 6 X 50	Material: writing introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of research.Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.Material: writing literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in theses. Literature: Drafing Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	3%
7	Mastering the writing of the Introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research). Mastering the writing of literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in the thesis	Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of research). Students are able to write a literature review, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis	Criteria: 1.Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research 2.Students are able to compile literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis Form of Assessment Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers and assignments 6 X 50	Material: writing literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in theses. Literature: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya. Material: writing introduction (background of the problem formulation, objectives and benefits of research. Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	3%

	I				1	
8	Sub Summative Exam	Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem, problem, formulation, objectives and benefits of research). Students are able to write a literature able to write a literature review, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis	Criteria: 1.Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research 2.Students are able to compile literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers and assignments 6 X 50	Material: Introduction (Background of the problem, problem formulation, research objectives and benefits.Reference: Spradley, James P., 2007, Ethnographic Method. Yogyakarta: Tiara Wacana.Material: Literature Review, Bibliography, Quotations and other writing systems in thesis Literature: Drafting Team. 2005. General Guidelines for Improved Indonesian Spelling, Jakarta: Language Center of the Department of National Education.	20%
9	Understanding Research Methods/CHAPTER III Research Proposals which include Research Approaches, data sources, research variables, sampling techniques, various data collection techniques, data analysis and data validity	Students are able to explain in their proposals matters related to research methods, which include research approaches, data sources, research variables, sampling techniques, various data collection techniques, data analysis and data validity.	Criteria: Students are able to understand the parts of research methods well and organize them in a research proposal Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Material: parts of research methods and preparation of research proposalsReferences: Bungin, HMBurhan. 2008. Qualitative Research, Communication, Economics, Public Policy and Other Social Sciences. Jakarta : Kencana.Material: parts of research methods and preparing research proposals Reference: Sugiono, 2005, Understanding Qualitative Research. Bandung: CV. Alphabet.	3%

10	Understanding Research Methods/CHAPTER III Research Proposals which include Research Approaches, data sources, research variables, sampling techniques, various data collection techniques, data analysis and data validity	Students are able to explain in their proposals matters related to research methods, which include research approaches, data sources, research variables, sampling techniques, various data collection techniques, data analysis and data validity.	Criteria: Students are able to understand the parts of research methods well and organize them in a research proposal Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	re m in a d d re v v s s t e v v c c t e d a v v R S S 2 v M P P B B	Material: esearch nethods, which nclude esearch pproaches, lata sources, esearch variables, aampling echniques, arious data sollection echniques, lata analysis und data validity. Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing and inne Arts. Bandung: ASPI.	3%
					re m in a di re vi S S c c t e d a a vi S C C E P a a S S J a	Material: esearch nethods, which nclude esearch pproaches, lata sources, esearch variables, ampling echniques, various data collection echniques, lata analysis und data alidity. References: Bungin, 4MBurhan. 2008. Qualitative Research, Communication, Economics, Public Policy und Other Social Sociences. lakarta : Kencana.	
					re m in a du re va si te va si te va si te du a v v R B S U Q Q R B	Aaterial: esearch nethods, which nelude esearch pproaches, lata sources, esearch variables, ampling echniques, various data ollection echniques, lata analysis und data alidity. Reference: Sugiono, 2005, Junderstanding Qualitative Research. Bandung: CV. Nphabet.	

11	Understanding the preparation of at research proposals (Chapters I to III) (Used as UTS)	Students are able to prepare art research proposals well	Students are able to prepare art research proposals well Form of Assessment Portfolio Assessment		Material: arts research proposal (Chapters I to III) References: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing Arts and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI. Material: art research proposal (Chapters I to III) References: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya. Material: art research proposal (Chapters I to III) References: Drafting Team. 2005. General Chapters I to III) References: Drafting Team. 2005. General Guidelines for Improved Indonesian Spelling. Jakarta: Language Center of the Department of National Education.	3%
12	Understanding arts research proposals	Students can review art research proposals well	Criteria: Students can correct art research proposals in detail and well. Form of Assessment Portfolio Assessment	proposal that has been prepared 2 X 50	Material: art research proposal (Chapters I to III) References: Bungin, HMBurhan. 2008. Qualitative Research, Communication, Economics, Public Policy and Other Social Sciences. Jakarta : Kencana. Material: art research proposal (Chapters I to III) Reference: Sugiono, 2005, Understanding Qualitative Research. Bandung: CV. Alphabet. Material: art research Bandung: CV. Alphabet. Material: art research Material: art research Proposal (Chapters I to III)	3%

13	Understand the importance of the substance of the content and correct writing in an arts research proposal	Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. Students are able to add important points to their artistic research proposal. Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks	 Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. Students are able to add important points to their art research proposal carefully Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposals, both from scientific journals and textbooks that 	DiscussionAssignment 6 X 50	Material: literature that supports the research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date. Library: Drafting Team. 2005. General Guidelines for Improved Indonesian Spelling. Jakarta: Language Center of the Department of National Education.	3%
		that are relevant and up to date	are relevant and up to date Form of Assessment Participatory Activities			
14	Understand the importance of the substance of the content and correct writing in an arts research proposal	Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. Students are able to add important points to their artistic research proposal. Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date	Criteria: 1. Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. 2. Students are able to add important points to their art research proposal carefully 3. Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposals, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date Form of Assessment	DiscussionAssignment 2 X 50	Material: literature that supports the research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date. Library: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	3%

15	Understand the importance of the substance of the content and correct writing in an arts research proposal	Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. Students are able to add important points to their artistic research proposal. Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date	 Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. Students are able to add important points to their art research proposal carefully Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposals, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date Form of Assessment Portfolio Assessment 	DiscussionAssignment 6 X 50	Material: literature that supports the research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date. Library: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	3%
16	Able to understand the Preparation of Art Research Proposals (UAS)	students are able to submit a revised research proposal	Criteria: students are able to submit revised research proposals in a timely manner Form of Assessment Portfolio Assessment	Gathering proposals and reflecting on the process of preparing proposals 2 X 50	Material: preparation of a research proposal References: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage	
1.	Participatory Activities	21%	
2.	Portfolio Assessment	71%	
		92%	

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.