

Document Code

			S	SEI	MES	STE	ΞR	LEA	ARN	IN	G F	PLA	N							
Courses			CODE				Course Family			Credit Weight			SEMESTER		Co	mpilati	on Date			
Engineering drawings			2120102128				,		+	T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18			1	-		nuary 3,				
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator		dinator	Study	Study Program Coordinator								
			Akhmad Hat Vinaya Wijal	fizh A		asyid,	Diast	ian	Agun M.T.	g Pijo	o Budi	jono, S	6.Т.,						Т., М.Т.	
Learning model	Project Based L	earnin	g																	
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcomes	PLO-14	Scienc	ce and engin	eerin	g know	ledge	;													
(PLO)	Program Object	ctives	(PO)																	
	PO - 1	Able to	make real c	bject	s into i	mage	:S													
	PO - 2	Able to	plan images	3																
	PO - 3	Skilled	l in using dra	wing	equipn	nent														
Short	PO Matrix at the	PO PO	P.O	1	2	3	4	5	6 ects, p	7	8	Wee 9	10		12	13	14	15	16	ng
Course Description	forms in the form based learning.																			
1. Anwari, 1978. Menggambar Teknik Mesin 2. Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan dan kebudayaan 2. Baharudin Yakob. 1979. Menggambar Mesin 3. Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan 3. Juhana Ohan, Suratman. M. 2000. Menggambar Teknik Mesin. Bandung: Pustaka Grafika 4. Marbun, Moyn. 1993. Menggambar Teknik Mesin. Bandung: Penerbit M2S 5. Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Menggambar Mesin. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita 6. Yogaswara, Eka. 2004. Membaca Gambar Teknik SMK. Bandung: Armico																				

- www.teachertube.com/video/orthographic-projection-252358
   https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h1jRXwlSQXs

## Supporting lecturer

Agung Prijo Budijono, S.T., M.T. Akhmad Hafizh Ainur Rasyid, S.T., M.T. Diastian Vinaya Wijanarko, S.T., M.T.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning	Evaluation	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment
110011				[ References ]	Weight (%)

	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (	Online ( online )		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to explain the function of technical drawings	Accuracy in explaining the function of technical drawings	Criteria: conformity with the observation rubric  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: image function Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	3%
2	Able to mention various technical drawing tools, geometric constructions, and letters	1.Accuracy in identifying at least 4 main drawing tools 2.Accuracy of identifying geometric constructions 3.Accuracy of identifying letters according to ISO standards	Criteria: conformity with the answer key  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: drawing equipment References: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	3%
3	Able to create geometry	Accuracy in creating geometric images	Criteria: conformity with the assessment rubric  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: geometric drawing Reader: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	4%
4	Able to explain the various lines and their uses in technical drawings	1.Accuracy in explaining various lines 2.Accuracy of applying lines to images according to ISO standards	Criteria:     conformity with     the observation     rubric  Form of     Assessment:     Participatory     Activities, Project     Results     Assessment /     Product     Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: line Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	8%
5	Be able to explain the rules of pictorial projection	Accurate understanding of pictorial projection rules	Criteria: conformity with the answer key  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: projection Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	3%
6	Able to create images of objects using pictorial projection rules	1.Accuracy of creating images using isometry projection rules 2.Accuracy of creating images using dimetric projection rules	Criteria: conformity with the assessment rubric  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: projection Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita  Material: projection Library: https://www.youtube.com/	8%
7	Be able to explain the rules of orthogonal projection	1.The accuracy of understanding the American orthogonal projection rule 2.The accuracy of understanding the European orthogonal projection rules	Criteria: conformity with the observation rubric  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, practice 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, practice 2 X 50	Material: projection Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita  Material: projection Library: https://www.youtube.com/	3%
8	sub summative exam	able to solve USS questions	Criteria: Complete USS questions according to the assessment rubric	solve the USS 2 X 50 problem	solve the USS 2 X 50 problem	Material: all material Reader: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	5%

10	Able to create images of objects using American orthogonal projection rules  Able to create images of objects	Accuracy of creating images using American projection rules  Accuracy of creating images	Criteria: conformity with the assessment rubric  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment Criteria:	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: projection Library: https://www.youtube.com/  Material: projection Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	8%
	using European orthogonal projection rules	using European projection rules	conformity with the assessment rubric  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita  Material: projection Library: https://www.youtube.com/	
11	Able to explain the rules of cut drawings	Accurate understanding of the rules for creating cut drawings	Criteria: conformity with the answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, practice 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, practice 2 X 50	Material: pieces Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	4%
12	Able to create projection images equipped with cuts	Accuracy of creating images with cuts	Criteria: conformity with the assessment rubric  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: pieces Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	10%
13	Able to create 3D to 2D images using projection rules and equipped with cuts	The accuracy of creating 3D images into 2D using projection rules and equipped with cuts	Criteria: conformity with the assessment rubric  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: projection Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita  Material: projection Library: https://www.youtube.com/  Material: pieces Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	10%
14	Able to create 2D to 3D images using projection rules and equipped with cuts	The accuracy of creating 2D to 3D images using projection rules and equipped with cuts	Criteria: suitability of answers to the key  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: projection Library: https://www.youtube.com/ Material: pieces Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	10%
15	Able to evaluate the use of projections and cuts in images	Accuracy of evaluating images	Criteria: suitability of answers to the key	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, practice 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, practice 2 X 50	Material: projection Library: https://www.youtube.com/ Material: pieces Bibliography: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	8%
16	summative exam	able to solve our problems	Criteria: Complete the questions according to the assessment rubric	do the US 2 X 50 problem	do the US 2 X 50 problem	Material: all material Reader: Sato Takhesi, Sugiarto. 1986. Drawing Machines. Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita	5%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

Evaluation refeemage Necap. Project based Learning						
No	Evaluation	Percentage				
1.	Participatory Activities	20%				
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	62%				
		82%				

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
  graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
  obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.