

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Mechanical Engineering Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

lanca	Engineering	Ondergraduale	Sluuy	Flogial

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		COL	DE			Course Family		ily Credit Weight				SEM	ESTEF	≷ C D	ompila ate	ation				
Ergonom	ics			2120102019						T=2		P=0	ECTS=3	18		7	Jı	ıly 18,	2024	
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer			Course Cluster Coordinator			or	Study Program Coordinator											
												Ir. Priyo Heru Adiwibowo, S.T., M.T.								
Learning model		Case Studies																		
Program	1	PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
Learning Outcomes) es	Program Objec	tives	(PO))															
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix																		
				F	Þ.O															
		PO Matrix at the	e end	l of e	ach le	arning	stage	(Sub	o-PO)											
			F	P.O Week																
				İ	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11 1	2	13	14	15	16	_
			L			l	1 1													
Short Course Descript	ion	This course prov environments wit being able to desi healthy, safe, con analyze the physi	ides a h hun ign pr nforta cal re	an un nan a oduct ble ar lation	derstar bilities s and v nd effic ship be	nding of and limi vork syst ient envi etween h	ergono tations tems th ronmer umans	omics in th nat co nt wh and	s as a neir ef omply nich ul work f	forts forts with tima acil	ience s to in ergor itely cr ities.	about crease iomic i reates	the har com rules s produ	armony of fort in the to that wor ctivity at v	tool ir wo king vork.	ls, w ork e conc The	ork me nvironn litions a approa	thod nent, are a ich r	s and as we chieve nethod	work ell as d & a is to
Reference	ces	Main :																		
	 Nurmianto, E. Ergonomi. 2004. Konsep Dasar dan Aplikasinya . Penerbit Guna Widya Surabaya Sedarmayanti. 1996. Tata Kerja & Produktivitas Kerja . Penerbit Mandar Maju Bandung. Niebel, B; Freivalds, A. 2004. Methods, Standards & Work Design . McGraw Hill. Syafei, Y. 2007. Aplikasi Konsep Ergonomi Dalam Pengembangan Desain Produk akan Memberikan Jual Pro Yang Tinggi & Keunggulan Bersaing. Seminar Nasional : Ergonomic in Product Development Effendi, F. 2007. Ergonomi Bagi Pekerja Informa I. Cermin Dunia Kedokteran. No.154. Mc. Cormic EJ. 1971. Human Factor in Engineering . Mc, Graww Hill Book company New York AS. Bridger, RS. 1995. Introduction to Ergonomic . Mc, Grawhill. 								oduk											
		Supporters:																		
				•																
Supporting Dyah Riandadari, S.T., Iecturer Muamar Zainul Arif, S.			S.T., rif, S.F	M.T. Pd., N	1.Pd.															
Week- Stay (Su		nal abilities of ch learning ge ub-PO) I		Evaluation						Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]			Lea ma Refe	arning terials [erences	Assessment Weight (%)					
				ndica	tor	Criter	ria & F	orm		fflir	ne (ne)	0	niine	(oniine)]				
(1)	(2)			(3)			(4)			(5)		((6)			(7)		(8)	

1	Can describe Ergonomics	1. Explain the meaning of ergonomics 2. State the scope of ergonomics.	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Reading literature and listening to students' explanations 2 X 50		0%
2	Describing Human Machine Systems	1. Explain the meaning 2. Mention 3. Explain the relationship	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Reading literature and discussions. 2 X 50		0%
3	Can describe Motion Study & Work Design	1. Explain the meaning 2. State 3. Explain the principles of economic movement 4. Explain the procedures	Criteria: Excellent marks if answered correctly	Reading literature and listening to learner explanations and discussions 2 X 50		0%
4	Can describe Anthropometry	1. Explain the meaning 2. Mention the types of anthropometric data 3. Explain anthropometric procedures 4. Measure using anthropometric methods	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Read literature and listen to learner explanations and discussions. 2 X 50		0%
5	Can describe Anthropometry	1. Explain the meaning 2. Mention types of anthropometric data 3. Explain anthropometric procedures 4. Measure using anthropometric methods	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Read literature and listen to learner explanations and discussions. 2 X 50		0%
6	Can describe Ergonomics Applications for Workplace Design	 Explaining the description Mentioning Explaining the procedure 	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Read literature and listen to learner explanations and discussions. 2 X 50		0%
7	Can describe Ergonomics Applications for Workplace Design	1. Explain the procedure 2. Apply ergonomics	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Read literature and listen to learner explanations and discussions. 2 X 50		0%
8	Midterm Examination (UAS)			2 X 50		0%
9	Can describe Energy Consumption for Heavy Work Activities	1. Explain the meaning 2. Mention	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Reading literature and listening to learner explanations and discussions 2 X 50		0%
10	Can describe Work Methodology Optimization	1. Explain the meaning 2. State 3. Explain the procedure	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Reading literature and listening to learner explanations and discussions 2 X 50		0%
11	Able to understand and describe biomechanics.	Can explain biomechanics.		Lectures, discussions, exercises. 2 X 50		0%

12	Can describe work environment factors	Explain the meaning of work environment factors that support performance	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Reading literature and listening to learner explanations and discussions 2 X 50		0%
13	Can describe Workplace Design	1. Explain the meaning of workplace design 2. State the importance of designing the workplace 3. Identify the need for workplace design	Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly	Reading literature and listening to learner explanations and discussions 2 X 50		0%
14	Can describe work fatigue & rest time	1. Explain the meaning of fatigue and rest time. 2. Identify the causes of fatigue and the time needed for rest		Reading literature and listening to learner explanations and discussions 2 X 50		0%
15						0%
16	Final Semester Examination (UAS)			2 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage	
		0%	

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.