

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Mechanical Engineering Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN											
Courses			CODE		Course	e Famil	у	Credit Weight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
Learning Evaluation			8320303029	9				T=3 P=0 ECTS=4.77	5	July 18, 2024	
AUTHOR	IZAT	ION		SP Developer			Course Cluster Coordinator		Study Program Coordinator		
									Ir. Wahyu Dwi Kurniawan, S.Pd., M.Pd.		
Learning model		Project Based Lo	earnin	ng							
Program Learning		PLO study pro	gram	that is charged to the course							
Outcome		Program Objectives (PO)									
(PLO)	-	PLO-PO Matrix									
				P.O							
		PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)									
	P.O Week 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14					13 14	15 16				
Course study, pl		study, planning th	e imp	lementation (	of various type	es of test	s, princ	iples o	easuring and assessing le of validity and reliability, at r aspects, measuring psyc	nalyzing and ir	iterpreting test
Reference	ces	Main :									
1.  Ari Kunto, Suharsimi. 1986. Dasar-DasarEvaluasi Pendidikan . Jakarta: Bina Ak: Tuckman, BW. 1976. MeasuringEducational Outcomes . New York: Harcarl B. J Suparman. 1994. BagaimanaMengembangkan Tes Hasil Belajar . Surabaya Surabaya.				arl B. Javar	orics.Kardi,						
		Supporters:									
Supporting lecturer Prof. Dr. H. Muchlas, Dr. Mochamad Cholik											
Week- eac stag (Su		- K DO)		Evaluation  Criteria & Form		Form	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]  Offline ( Online ( online )		Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)	
							offlin		, ,	,	
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)		(5	)	(6)	(7)	(8)

1	able to carry out measurements, assessments and evaluations, able to know the subject of evaluation, object of evaluation and target of evaluation	able to distinguish between measurement, assessment and evaluation. able to differentiate the subject of evaluation, object of evaluation and target of evaluation	Criteria: 1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good, 5.Bad	Using lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3 X 50 exercises		0%
2	able to carry out evaluation principles, able to create evaluation tools, able to create good tests	able to understand the principles of evaluation, able to distinguish between types of evaluation tools, able to analyze good tests	Criteria: 1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good, 5.Bad	Using a scientific approach, lecture method, inquiry learning model, and underline point learning strategy. 3 X 50		0%
3	able to carry out the validity of measuring instruments, able to carry out the validity of questions or items.	able to understand the validity of measuring instruments, able to understand the validity of questions or items.	Criteria: 1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good, 5.Bad	Using a scientific approach, lecture method, inquiry learning model, and underline point learning strategy. 3 X 50		0%
4	able to make a reliable test, able to carry out and test a reliable test.	able to understand a reliable test, able to identify a reliable test.	Criteria: 1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good, 5.Bad.	Using lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3 X 50 exercises		0%
5	able to make a reliable test, able to carry out and test a reliable test.	able to understand a reliable test, able to identify a reliable test.	Criteria: 1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good, 5.Bad.	Using lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3 X 50 exercises		0%
6	Able to perform scoring and value conversion. Able to process values. Able to arrange value conversions	1.Perform scoring and value conversion 2.Processing values 3.Arranging indigo conversions	Criteria: very good, good, fair, not so good, bad	Using a scientific approach, lecture method, inquiry learning model, and underline point learning strategy. 3 X 50		0%
7	Able to perform scoring and value conversion. Able to process values. Able to arrange value conversions	1.Perform scoring and value conversion     2.Processing values     3.Arranging indigo conversions	Criteria: very good, good, fair, not so good, bad	Using a scientific approach, lecture method, inquiry learning model, and underline point learning strategy. 3 X 50		0%

standardized elests, ship to use teacher-made tests ship to use ship to use teacher-made tests ship to use ship to use teacher-made tests ship to use teacher-made tests ship to use ship to make specification tables.  3							
able to make tests in the affective psychomotor domain, able to interest and the psychomotor domain tests able to make tests in the psychomotor domain, able to interest able to able to make tests in the psychomotor domain tests able to make tests and the psychomotor domain tests able to make the psychomotor domain tests able to psychomotor domain	8	standardized tests, able to make teacher-made tests, able to use standardized tests. able to use	understand the difference between standardized tests and teacher-made tests. able to differentiate the uses of standardized tests and teacher-made	1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good,	lecture, discussion, observation and presentation methods as well as 3 X 50		0%
Midderm Exam   understand the material that has been studied   1. Very good, 3. Enough, 4. Anot good, 5. Bad   Using understand the forms of assessment   1. Very good, 1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. Anot good, 5. Bad   Using lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3. X 50 exercises   1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. Anot good, 5. Bad   Using lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3. X 50 exercises   1. Very good, 2. Good, 4. Anot good, 5. Bad   Using lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3. X 50 exercises   1. Very good, 4. Anot good, 5. Bad   Very good, 3. Enough, 4. Anot good, 5. Bad   Very good, 4. Anot good, 5.	9	objective tests, able to make tests in the affective domain, able to make tests in the psychomotor domain, able to make specification	understand objective tests able to understand affective domain tests able to understand psychomotor domain tests able to understand specification	1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good,	lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3 X 50		0%
Classroom research   Understand classroom research able to understand forms of assessment   Classroom research able to understand forms of assessment   Classroom research able to understand the meaning of scores and values, able to differentiate between norm-referenced and criterion-referenced and criterion-referenced, able to know how to but the group   Criteria:	10		understand the material that has been	1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good,			0%
scores able to do norm-referenced and criteria-referenced Able to process values  13 able to determine the position of students in the group  14 able to do about the final value  15 able to to about the final value  16 able to write the final semester Exam  17 able to write the Final Semester Exam  18 able to to write the Final Semester Exam  19 corresponding to the firal semester Exam  10 criteria:  10 c	11		understand classroom research. able to understand forms of	1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good,	lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3 X 50		0%
the position of students in the group  how to determine students' positions in groups  1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  Criteria: 1. Very good, 4. not good, 5. Bad  1. Very good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  3 × 50 exercises	12	scores able to do norm- referenced and criteria - referenced Able to	understand the meaning of scores and values, able to differentiate between norm-referenced and criterion-referenced, able to know how to process	1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good,	lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3 X 50		0%
the final value  the factors taken into account in the assessment. able to know how to determine the final grade  1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  1. Very good, 2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad  2. Good, 3. Enough, 4. not good, 5. Bad	13	the position of students in the	how to determine students' positions in	1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good,	50 lecture, discussion and presentation		0%
Final Semester Exam  understand the material that has been studied  1.Very good, 2.Good, 3 X 50 testing  3.Enough, 4.not good, 5.Bad	14		the factors taken into account in the assessment. able to know how to determine the	1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good,	lecture, discussion and presentation methods as well as 3 X 50		0%
16 0%	15	Final Semester	understand the material that has been	1.Very good, 2.Good, 3.Enough, 4.not good,			0%
	16						0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
NO	⊏valuali∪ii	reiteillage
		0%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
  skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or
  observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the
  course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.