

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Mechanical Engineering Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

			SEN	IES	STE	RI	LE.	AR	N	INC	G I	PL	_A	Ν									
Courses			CODE			C	Cours	se Fa	mil	У	C	Crec	lit V	/eigl	nt		S	EMES	STER		om ate	pilati	on
Kinematics and Dynamics			8320302051			Compulsory Study			т	T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18			2 J		uly	17, 20)24						
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develop	er		- 14	2rogra	am Si	ubje	Cour	se (Clu	ster	Coc	ordina	ator	S	tudy	Prog	ram (Coo	rdina	tor
			Ali Hasbi Ra Nur Jannah,	imad S.Po	ani, S. d., M.T	Pd., N	И.Pd.	; Ika		ka Ni	ur Ja	ann	ah,	S.Pd	., M .1	7.		Ir. Wa		Dwi k d., M			n,
Learning model	Case Studies								1														
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course																						
Learning Outcomes	PLO-5																						
(PLO)	PLO-6	Able to apply and analyze pedagogical competencies in mechanical engineering education continuously throughout life																					
	PLO-8	Able to carry out maintenance and repairs in the automotive engineering field (automotive concentration) or able to operate various production equipment and machines in the manufacturing sector (production concentration)																					
	PLO-10 Have an understanding of mathematics and basic mechanical engineering																						
	Program Objectives (PO)																						
	PO - 1	motio	ents can mas n, Newton's v ts, degrees of	worki	ing prir	nciple	, the	princ	iple	e of m	ome	entu	ım i	n pa	rticles	the	prir	nciple	of m	iomei	าtun	n in ri	ane igid
	PLO-PO Matrix	¢																					
			P.O		PLC	D-5		P	PLO	9-6			ΡL	O-8		F	PLC	D-10					
			PO-1																				
	PO Matrix at th	ne end	of each lea	rnin	ıg staç	ge (S	ub-P	0)															
	P.0			P.O						Week													
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10	11	. 1	2	13	14	15	5	16	
		PC	D-1																				
											•												
Short Course Description	Understanding a principle, principle principle, brinciple determining spectrum	ole of	momentum ii	n pa	rticles,	prine	ciple	of m	om	entun													
References	Main :																						
	 Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics dan Dynamics of Mechanics , 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill. Russel C, Hibbeler. 1995. EngineeringMechanics : Dynamics. Prentice Hall. Hirchorn J. 1962. Kinematics and Dynamics of Plane Mechanism . McGraw Hill Book Company. Ferdinand P Beer, E Russel Johnston Jr. 1998. V ector Mechanism for Engineers, Dynamics, 3rd Edition . McGraw Hill. Priyo Heru Adiwibowo. 2013. Kinematika dan Dinamika, Bagian 1 Kinematika . Unesa University Press. 																						
	Supporters:																						
	1. semua r	nateri y	ang menduki	ung t	ermas	uk me	edia y	ang t	bert	oasis	digit	al											
Supporting lecturer	Ali Hasbi Ramac	lani, S.	Pd., M.Pd.																				

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eval	uation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, nt Assignments, <mark>stimated time]</mark>	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	1		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Students are able to explain their understanding of the problem that will be designed to design the mechanism	Know the problem to be designed	Criteria: Compliance with the answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	case studies, discussions, questions and answers, exercises, and assignments 2 X 50	Material: mechanisms Bibliography: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	5%	
2	Students are able to explain their understanding of the stages in designing a mechanism	Know the stages of design	Criteria: Compliance with the answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: mechanisms Bibliography: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	5%	
3	Students are able to use physical quantities, symbols and units	Use physical quantities, symbols and units	Criteria: Compliance with the answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: quantities in kinematics and dynamics Reference: Priyo Heru Adiwibowo. 2013. Kinematics and Dynamics, Part 1 Kinematics. Unesa University Press.	5%	
4	Students are able to use the basics of vectors	Identifying scalar and vector quantities Drawing vectors Skilled in using addition, subtraction and resultant vectors Skilled in using vector decomposition	Criteria: Compliance with the answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: vector Bibliography: Ferdinand P Beer, E Russel Johnston Jr. 1998. Vector Mechanism for Engineers, Dynamics, 3rd Edition . McGraw Hill.	5%	
5	Students are able to use the basics of vectors	Skilled in using vectors in the Cartesian axis system Skilled in using multiplication of vectors with scalars Skilled in using multiplication of vectors with vectors	Criteria: Compliance with the answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	case studies, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	case studies, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: vector Bibliography: Ferdinand P Beer, E Russel Johnston Jr. 1998. Vector Mechanism for Engineers, Dynamics, 3rd Edition . McGraw Hill.	5%	

6	Students are able to use particle motion on a flat plane	Distinguish between absolute and relative vectors Skilled in using Cartesian coordinates Skilled in using polar coordinates Skilled in using motion reference axis systems Skilled in using absolute and relative particle motion	Criteria: Compliance with the answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	case studies, exercises, and 2 X 50 assignments	case studies, exercises, and 2 X 50 assignments	Material: particle motion Reference: Priyo Heru Adiwibowo. 2013. Kinematics and Dynamics, Part 1 Kinematics. Unesa University Press.	5%
7	Students are able to use particle motion on a flat plane	Distinguish between absolute and relative vectors Skilled in using Cartesian coordinates Skilled in using polar coordinates Skilled in using motion reference axis systems Skilled in using absolute and relative particle motion	Criteria: Compliance with the answer key Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	case studies, exercises, and 2 X 50 assignments	case studies, exercises, and 2 X 50 assignments	Material: particle motion Reference: Priyo Heru Adiwibowo. 2013. Kinematics and Dynamics, Part 1 Kinematics. Unesa University Press.	5%
8	UTS	Accuracy with answer key	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	evaluation 2 X 50		Material: Material 1-7 Bibliography: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	10%
9	Students are able to use the images of speed and acceleration	 Skilled at using Shadows of speed and acceleration Skilled at completing Speed & Acceleration 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: calculating speed and acceleration References: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	5%
10	Students are able to use the poles of speed and acceleration	 Skilled at using Shadows of speed and acceleration Skilled at completing Speed & Acceleration 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: calculating speed and acceleration References: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	5%
11	Students are able to use the poles of speed and acceleration	 Skilled at using Shadows of speed and acceleration Skilled at completing Speed & Acceleration 	Criteria: according to theory and answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: calculating speed and acceleration References: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	5%

12	Students are able to use the poles of speed and acceleration	 Using Relative Motion of Two Planes and Relative Velocity Poles Using Speed Poles on Mechanisms. 	Criteria: according to theory and answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: calculating speed and acceleration References: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	5%
13	Students are able to analyze the speed of mechanisms in various ways	 Analyze the speed of the mechanism using the Speed Pole Analyzing Mechanism Speed by means of Orthogonal Speed Analyzing Speed With Relative Speed 	Criteria: according to theory and answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: calculating speed and acceleration References: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	5%
14	Students are able to analyze the speed of mechanisms in various ways	 Analyze the speed of the mechanism using the Speed Pole Analyzing Mechanism Speed by means of Orthogonal Speed Analyzing Speed With Relative Speed 	Criteria: according to theory and answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: calculating speed and acceleration References: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	5%
15	Students are able to analyze the speed of mechanisms in various ways	 • Analyzing Acceleration Using Relative Acceleration • Explain the Corriolis Component of Normal Acceleration • Explain the Guidelines for determining the direction of the Corriolis Acceleration Component 	Criteria: according to theory and answer key Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	case studies, discussions, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: calculating speed and acceleration References: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	5%
16	UAS	Compliance with the answer key	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Evaluation 2 x 50	Evaluation 2 x 50	Material: Material 8-15 Bibliography: Martin, George H. 1982. Kinematics and Dynamics of Mechanics, 2nd Edition. McGraw Hill.	20%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

INO	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	69.17%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	1.67%

3.	Test	29.17%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.