

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Bachelor of Mathematics Education Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE				Со	urse F	amil	v	С	red	it We	ight		SEM	ESTER	Co	mpilatior
									-		-				Da	te			
Learning Theory		8420202004	8420202004 Compuls Program											2 July 17, 2024					
AUTHORIZATION		SP Develop	SP Developer				Course Cluster Coordinator				Study Program Coordinator								
							Dr. Ismail, M.Pd.				Dr. Endah Budi Rahaju, M.Pd.								
Learning model	Case Studies																		
Program	PLO study pro	gra	m which is ch	arge	d to t	he c	oui	rse											
Learning Outcomes	PLO-13	D	emonstrate peda	igogi	cal kn	owled	dge	in des	ignin	ıg, im	pleme	enti	ng an	d eval	uating r	nather	natics I	earnir	ıg.
(PLO)	Program Object	ctiv	es (PO)																
	PO - 1		ole to demonstration														ory, co	gnitiv	e learning
	PO - 2	At th	ble to provide ex eory, cognitive le arn, in designing	amp earni	les of ng the	the a	appl con	licatior structi	n of o vist l	conce earni	pts re	ega eor	rding y, as	behav well a	ioral le	arning			
	PLO-PO Matrix	(
			P.0		PL	O-13													
			PO-1																
			PO-2																
	PO Matrix at th	ie e	nd of each lea	rnin	a sta	ae (S	Sub	-PO)											
					9 0 10.	90 (0		,											
			P.O							Week									
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9) 11	12	13	14	15	16
			PO-1	-	2	0	-	5	U	'	0	5	10	, 11	- 12	10	14	15	10
			PO-2										_	_					
			PO-2																
Short Course Description	Examining theori theory, construct learning through	ivist	t learning theory	, and	d theo	ries o	of m	notivat											
References	Main :																		
	 Hergenh Prenada Ismail. 2 	ahr Me 2017	. 2017. Educatio n, B. R. & Olson dia Group. 7. Teori Belajar I tematika FMIPA	, Ma Mate	utthew matika	H. 2 a. (M	012 emt	2. The	ories	of Le	earnir	ng (Teori	Belaja	ar). Edi				
	Supporters:																		
			2010. Educatior W. 2008. Educa												ersey: F	earsor	n Educa	ation.	

Support lecturer		, M.Pd. S.Pd., M.Pd.					
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluation		Lear Stude [E	elp Learning, rning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(SuĎ-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	 Understanding behavioral learning theory according to Ivan Pavlop (CLO-1) Able to provide examples of the application of concepts regarding behavioral learning theory, in designing, implementing and evaluating CLO-2 Mathematics learning) 	 I.Identifying the development of behavioral learning theory Comparing behavioral learning theories according to Ivan Pavlop, EL Thorndike and BF Skinner Give an example of the application of behavioral theory in mathematics learning 	Criteria: Giving assignments and tests Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lecture, Respond to Group Assignments 2 x 50 minutes	Lecture, Responsive, using LMS Vinesa/Google Classroom Asynchronus or Synchronus Giving Group Assignments 2 x 50 minutes	Material:behaviorallearningtheoryaccording toIvan Pavlop,ELThorndikeand BFSkinnerReferences:[1] Slavin,RE 2017.EducationalPsychologyTheory andPractice.TweffthEdition.Pearson.Material:Behaviorallearningtheoryaccording toIvan Pavlop,ELThorndikeand BFSkinnerReferences:[2]Hergenhahn,BR & Olson,Matthew H.2012.Theories ofLearning.SeventhEdition.Jakarta:KencanaPrenadaMediaGroup.SkinnerReference:[3] Ismail.2017.MathematicsLearningtheoriesrelated tomathematicslearning).MathematicsJepartment,FMIPAUnesaSurabayaMaterial:	15%

						Behavioral learning theory according to Ivan Pavlop, EL Thorndike and BF Skinner Reference: [5] Santrock, JW 2008. Educational Psychology. Third Edition. Boston: McGraw-Hill.	
2	1.Underst behavior learning accordin Thorndik BF Skini (CLO-1) 2.Able to p example applicati concepts regardin behavior learning in desigr impleme and eval CLO-2 Mathem learning)	ral the theory development of seand behavioral learning theory or of seand behavioral learning theory 2. Comparing behavioral on of learning seand learning seand learning seand learning seand learning seand learning theories geaccording to ral lvan Pavlop theory, EL throndike and BF luating Skinner 3. Give an atics example of	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lecture, Respond to Group Assignments 2 x 50 minutes	Lecture, Responsive, using LMS Vinesa/Google Classroom Asynchronus or Synchronus Giving Group Assignments 2 x 50 minutes	Material: behavioral learning theory according to Ivan Pavlop, EL Thorndike and BF Skinner References: [1] Slavin, <i>RE</i> 2017. Educational Psychology Theory and Practice. Twelfth Edition. Pearson. Material: Behavioral learning theory according to Ivan Pavlop, EL Thorndike and BF Skinner References: [2] Hergenhahn, BR & Olson, EL Thorndike and BF Skinner References: [2] Hergenhahn, BR & Olson, Seventh Edition. Jakatta: Kencana Prenada Media Group. Material: Behavioral learning theory according to Ivan Pavlop, EL Thorndike and BF Skinner Reference: [3] Ismail. 2017. Mathematics Learning theory. (Helps understand learning theories related to mathematics Department, Mathematics Department,	15%

			FMIPA Unesa Surabaya Material: Behavioral learning theory according to Ivan Pavlop, EL Thorndike and BF Skinner Reference: [5] Santrock, JW 2008. Educational Psychology. Third Edition. Boston: McGraw-Hill.	
3				0%
4				0%
5				0%
6				0%
7				0%
8				0%
9				0%
10				0%
11				0%
12	 			0%
13				0%
14			 	0%
15				0%
16				0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	30%
		30%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.

- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.