

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Bachelor of Mathematics Education Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE			Co	Course Family			Credit Weight				SEM	ESTE	R C	ompilation ate			
Introduction to the School Field I			842	8420201004							T=	1	P=0	ECTS	6=1.59		4	Ju	ly 18, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION			SP	SP Developer						С	Course Cluster Coordinator					Study Program Coordinator				
																	Dr. Endah Budi Rahaju, M.Pd.			
Learning model	Project Based Learning																			
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																			
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix																			
	P.O																			
	PO Matrix at the	e en	d of e	each	learn	ing sta	age	e (Su	b-PC))										
			P.O									W	eek	[
				1	2	3 4	1	5	6	7	8	9		10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		L		LI														1		I
Short Course Description	This course provides an understanding of the concept of general characteristics of students who will later become responsibilities in educational practice, organizational structure and school work procedures, school rules and regulations, ceremonial-formal activities at school, routine activities in the form of curricular , co-curricular and extra-curricular, and positive practices and habits in schools.																			
References	Main :																			
	1.																			
	 Arend, R.I., 2012. Learning to Teach . New York: Mc Grow-Hill International Edition. Hyland, Ken., & Wong, Lilian L. C. 2016. Innovation and Cange in English Language Education . London: Ruthledge. Muliawan, Jasa Ungguh. 2017. 45 Model Pembelajaran Spektakuler . Jogjakarta: AR-Ruzz Media. Mulyasa, E., 2004. Manajemen Berbasis Sekolah: Konsep, Strategi, dan Implementasi . Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. Sani, Ridwan Abdullah. 2016. Inovasi Pembelajaran . Jakarta: Bumi Aksara. Taniredja, Tukiran dkk. 2015. Model-Model Pembelajaran Inovatif dan Efektif . Bandung: Alfabeta. Wena, Made. 2016. Strategi Pembelajaran Inovatif Kontemporer: Suatu Tinjauan Konseptual Operasional . Jakarta: Bumi Aksara. 																			
Supporting lecturer	Prof. Rooselyna E	Ekaw	/ati, Pł	n.D.																

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eval	uation	He Lean Studer [Es	lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	J	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Identifying the general characteristics of students who will later become responsibilities in educational practice	Able to explain the general characteristics of students who will later become responsibilities in educational practice		Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 1 X 50			0%
2							0%
3							0%
4							0%
5							0%
6							0%
7							0%
8							0%
9							0%
10							0%
11							0%
12							0%
13							0%
14							0%
15							0%
16							0%

 Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

 No
 Evaluation

 Percentage

0%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are

consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.

- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%. 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.