

Supporters:

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Bachelor of Management Study Program

Document Code

| Courses Operational Management | | CODE | | | | | Course Family | | | | Credit Weight | | | | SEMESTER | | Compilation Date January 5, 2024 | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|--------|----------|
| | | 61201030 | 068 | | | | Compulsory Study Program Subjects | | | T=3 P=0 ECTS=4.77 | | 4.77 | 4 | | | | | | |
| AUTHORIZATION | | SP Developer | | | | С | Course Cluster Coordinator | | | ator | Study Program Coordinate | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Widyastu | ti., S.S | Si., M. | .Si | | | | | | | vijanto ST, M | | | Yuyur | ı Isban | ah, S. | E., M.SN |
| earning nodel | Case Studies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | PLO study program that is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| earning Outcomes | PLO-5 | PLO-5 (PLO 7) Graduates are able to manage organizations ethically | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PLO) | PLO-9 | (PLO 9) Graduates are able to work well for self-development | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-14 (PLO 1) Graduates are able to master management theory as a whole | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Program Objectives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | C4. Students are able to analyze company operational activities for appropriate operational decisions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 2 | A5. Students are able to show thorough, insightful and intelligent character in Operational Management learnin activities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O PLO-5 | | | PL | .0-9 | | PLO-14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-2 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | J | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O | | | | | Week | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| | | PO-: | 1 | - | | | -T | | J | , | - | | 10 | 1 | | 10 | | | 10 |
| | | PO-2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | • | | | | | |
| Short Course Description | This course dis methods startin layout, work de are carried out | g from esta sign , qual | ablishing a ity control, | nd exp and n | oloring nainte | g prodi enance | uction e. The | locati learn | ions, ing a | produ pplica | iction ation i | forec | asting ough a | , planni nalysis | ng raw of cas | <i>i</i> materi | ial and | invent | ory need |
| References | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Jay He 2. S. Anil 3. Adam . Singap 4. M. Nur | Kumar dar Jr, Everett ore: Prenti Nasution, | n N. Suresh e E. and E ce Hall, Sir | n, 2009 Ebert, mon & | 9. Ope Rona Schu | eratior ald J., ıster (<i>F</i> | ns Ma 1996 Asia). | nager . Prod | nent. Iuctio | New n an | Delhi d ope | : New eration | Age I man | nternati agemer | ional. nt, Coi | ncepts, | Mode | | |

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Andre Dwijanto Witjaksono, S.T., M.Si. Dr. Nadia Asandimitra Haryono, S.E., M.M. Widyastuti, S.Si., M.Si. Tias Andarini Indarwati, S.E., M.M. Dwi Yuli Rakhmawati, S.Si., M.Si., Ph.D. Yuyun Isbanah, S.E., M.SM. Fandi Fatoni, S.Pd., M.SM. Ina Uswatun Nihaya, S.E., M.Sc. Rasyidi Faiz Akbar, S.E., M.M. Dr. Riedel Paulus Jacobis, S.E., M.M.

| Week- | Final abilities of each learning stage | Evaluat | ion | Lear Stude | elp Learning, rning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time] | Learning materials | Assessment Weight (%) |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| | (Sub-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (online) | - [References] | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | 1.Able to discuss the meaning and role of operations management in various organizations 2.Able to understand the development of operations management | 1.Able to discuss the meaning and role of operations management in various organizations 2.Able to understand the development of operations management | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lecture method, discussion and case study 3 X 50 | | Material: understanding, development and importance of operations management in various types of organizations. Reference: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 2% |
| 2 | Able to explain and analyze, as well as determine factory/branch locations | 1. Able to explain the factors considered in determining factory location 2. Able to explain factory determination using the ranking procedure method (qualitative method) 3. Able to explain factory determination using the center of gravity method (quantitative method) 4. Able to explain factory determination using the center of gravity method) 4. Able to explain factory determination using the Brown-Gibson method | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lecture and discussion method Lecture and assignment method 3 X 50 | | Material: Factory location Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 5% |
| 3 | Able to make production planning based on production forecasting | 1.Able to apply time series forecasting methods in planning production 2.Able to apply regression and correlation forecasting methods in planning production | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lecture Method and Assignment 3 X 50 | | Material: Forecasting Methods Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 3% |
| 4 | Able to plan raw material requirements (Material Requirement Planning) | 1.Able to prepare a Master Production Schedule for a single product 2.Able to prepare Master Production Schedules for multiple products | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Lecture Method and Assignment 3 X 50 | | Material: MRP Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 5% |

| _ | | | | | T | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|---|---|-----|
| 5 | Able to plan raw material requirements (Material Requirement Planning) | Able to carry out analysis as a production department head responsibly and ethically in preparing production scheduling | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Lecture and discussion method 3 X 50 | | Material: MRP Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 5% |
| 6 | Able to analyze raw material inventory planning | 1.Able to explain the costs that arise as a result of inventory 2.Able to explain deterministic inventory control 3.Able to explain inventory control in relation to discounts | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lecture and discussion method Lecture and Assignment Method 3 X 50 | | Material: Raw Material Planning Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 5% |
| 7 | Able to analyze supply chain | Able to explain supply chain management, long-term relationships with customers | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lecture Method and Assignment 3 X 50 | | Material: supply chain Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 5% |
| 8 | UTS | - | Criteria: - Form of Assessment: Test | 3 X 50 test | | | 20% |
| 9 | Able to analyze the layout of production facilities | 1.Able to explain the basic concepts and strategic role of determining layout in the production process 2.Be able to explain layout types: layout based on fixed positions and process-oriented layout | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lecture and discussion method 3 X 50 | | Material: layout Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 2% |
| 10 | Able to analyze the layout of production facilities | 1.Able to explain process-oriented layout for batch and continuous systems 2.Able to explain the layout of offices, retail and warehouses/storage areas, production processes | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Lecture and discussion method 3 X 50 | | Material: layout Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 3% |
| 11 | Able to analyze work design | Able to explain Job Design Elements: Job Analysis, Required Employee Qualifications, and Required Work Environment | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Lecture Method and Assignment 3 X 50 | | Material: work design Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 3% |

| 12 | Able to analyze work design | Able to explain the Job Analysis method: Process Flowchart | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Lecture Method and Assignment 3 X 50 | Material: work design Bibliography: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 2% |
|----|--|---|--|---|---|-----|
| 13 | Able to analyze problems responsibly, honestly and ethically by implementing statistical quality control | Able to explain the types of data and diagrams that cause problems using Pareto diagrams, cause and effect diagrams, histograms | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lecture Method and Assignment 3 X 50 | Material: statistical quality control References: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. Material: statistical | 2% |
| | | | | | quality control References: Montgomery, Douglas C., 1996. Introduction to Statistical Quality Control. Fourth Edition Translation. Gadjah Mada University Press Yogyakarta. | |
| 14 | Able to analyze problems responsibly, honestly and ethically by implementing statistical quality control | Able to carry out analysis in quality control with control charts (X-Bar, R, U, P Chart) | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lecture Method and Assignment 3 X 50 | Material: statistical quality control References: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 5% |
| | | | | | statistical quality control References: Montgomery, Douglas C., 1996. Introduction to Statistical Quality Control. Fourth Edition Translation. Gadjah Mada University Press Yogyakarta. | |
| 15 | Able to explain equipment maintenance and reliability | 1. Able to explain the importance of maintenance in supporting the production process 2. Able to explain the importance of equipment reliability in supporting the production process | Criteria: Perfect score if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lecture and Discussion Method 3 X 50 | Material: Library Maintenance: Jay Heizer and Barry Render, 2011. Operations Management, Tenth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education. | 3% |
| 16 | UAS | | Form of Assessment : Test | TEST 3X50 | | 30% |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

| Lva | Evaluation refeemage Recap. Case of | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| No | Evaluation | Percentage | | | |
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 50% | | | |
| 2. | Test | 50% | | | |
| | | 100% | | | |

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

 Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to
- the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.