

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Bachelor of Management Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

| Courses | | | CODE | | | Co | Course Family | | | Cre | Credit Weight | | | MEST | ER | Con Date | npilati e | on |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------|---------|--------|-------------|--------------|------|
| Entrepreneurship Practice | | | 6120102132 | | Co | mpuls | ory S Subi | tudy | T=2 | P=0 | ECTS=3.1 | 3 | 6 | | July | 18, 20 | 024 | |
| AUTHORIZATION | | | SP Develo | per | | | grant | Subj | | e Clu | ster C | coordinator | Stu | idy Pro | ogram | Coord | inator | |
| | | | Dra. Hj. Anik Lestari Andjan | | | jarwati | wati, M.M Dr. Yessy Artanti, SE., M.Si. | | | Ŋ | Yuyun Isbanah, S.E., M.SM. | | | | | | | |
| Learning model | Project Based L | earning | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | PLO study prog | aram t | hat is chard | aed t | o the c | ourse | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes | PLO-14 (PLO 1) Graduates are able to master management theory as a whole | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (PLO) | Program Objectives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Program Objectives (PO) PO - 1 C6. Students are able to implement business plans correctly in business practice. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 2 | | udents are a | | • | | | · | | | | • | nrac | tice | | | | |
| | PO-2 PO-3 | | udents are a | | | | | | | | | • | . 1140 | | | | | |
| | PO-3 | | udents are a | | | | • | | 0 | | 0 0 | | re hr | nestv | caring | and re- | silienc | e in |
| | - | Advan | iced Entrepre | | | | charac | | , iaitii, | n ne III (| jence, | muepenuen | , пС | nesty, | carny | | SINCHU | GIII |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.0 | | PLO-1 | .4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at th | e end | of each lear | rninc | n etano | (Sub- | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FO Matrix at th | e enu | of each lea | ming | J Slaye | (Sub- | -0) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O Week | | | | | | | | | | ī | | | | | |
| | | | P.0 | | | | | | | _ | | | | 10 | | | | ł |
| | | | | 1 | 2 3 | 3 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | ŀ |
| | | PC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ŀ |
| | | PC |)-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ |
| | | PC |)-3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ |
| | | PC |)-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | l |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Course Description | This course cont Starting Strategy entrepreneurship integrated work le | y, Sale practic | smanship, E e courses ar | Busino e disc | ess Per cussions | formar , assig | nce M nments | easu s, dire | rement | , and | Busi | ness Plans | The | learn | ing me | thods | used | l in |
| References | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tim Kewirausahaan Unesa. 2016. Kewirausahaan. UNIPRESS. Surabaya Tim Kewirausahaan FE Unesa 2015. Kewirausahaan. UNIPRESS. Surabaya Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balance Scorecard. Working Paper. Harvard Business School. Harvard University :10-0744. David, Fred R. 2011. Manajemen Strategik: Konsep. Jakarta: Salemba Empat Robinson& Pearce. 2008. Manajemen Strategik Edisi 10 Jakarta : Salemba Empat Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic Marketing, 8 th edition. McGraw-Hill. | | | | | | | | rard | | | | | | | | | |
| | Supporters: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Support lecturer | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| Week- | Final abilities of each learning stage | Evalu | uation | Lear Studer | Ip Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time] | Learning materials | Assessmen Weight (%) |
| | (Sub-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (<i>online</i>) | [References] | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | Formulate a Strategy for Starting a Business | Differentiate between forms of business Identify the advantages and disadvantages of business forms Formulate techniques | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Discussion and Assignment 2 X 50 | | Material: strategies for starting a business Reference: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya | 5% |
| | | and strategies for starting a business | | | | Material: strategies for starting a business Reference: Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya | |
| 2 | Salesmanship | Describe the concepts and elements in selling Mention the character of the seller's requirements Mention the types of buyers Review the sales process | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Direct Learning Model and 2 X 50 Simulation | | Material: salesmanship Reference: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya Material: salesmanship Reference: Unesa FE Entrepreneurship Team 2015. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya | 2% |
| | | | | | | Material: salesmanship Bibliography: Cravens, David W. & Nigel F. Pearcy. 2006. Strategic Marketing, 8 th edition. McGraw- Hill. | |
| 3 | Evaluation of Business Performance Measurements | Analyze financial reports Analyzing break even point analysis Analyze cash flow | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 2 X 50 Direct Learning Model | | Material: business performance Reference: Unesa Entrepreneurship Team. 2016. Entrepreneurship. UNIPRESS. Surabaya | 2% |
| | | | | | | Material: performance measurement Reference: Kaplan, Robert S. 2010. Conceptual Foundation of The Balance Scorecard. Working Papers. Harvard Business School. Harvard University :10- 0744. | |

| | | | | 1 | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|--|-----|
| 4 | Analyze business plans | Able to formulate a business plan Able to prepare marketing plans Able to prepare HR plans Able to prepare operational plans Able to draw up a financial plan | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 2 X 50 cooperative learning model | | 2% |
| 5 | Learn to work integrated (internship) in MSMEs | Exploring business practices in MSMEs | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Group Investigation 2 X 50 learning model | | 2% |
| 6 | Learn to work integrated (internship) in MSMEs | Exploring business practices in MSMEs | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Group Investigation 2 X 50 learning model | | 3% |
| 7 | Learn to work integrated (internship) in MSMEs | Exploring business practices in MSMEs | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Group Investigation 2 X 50 Iearning model | | 2% |
| 8 | Final Semester Examination (UAS) | | Form of Assessment : Test | 2 X 50 | | 20% |
| 9 | Carrying out Field Practice | Prepare sponsorship proposals Identify business problems Planning an entrepreneurial event | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Out Class 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 10 | Carrying out Field Practice | Prepare sponsorship proposals Identify business problems Planning an entrepreneurial event | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Out Class 2 X 50 | | 2% |
| 11 | Carrying out Field Practice | Prepare sponsorship proposals Identify business problems Planning an entrepreneurial event | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Out Class 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 12 | Carrying out Field Practice | Prepare sponsorship proposals Identify business problems Planning an entrepreneurial event | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Out Class 2 X 50 | | 5% |

| 13 | Carrying out Field Practice | Prepare sponsorship proposals Identify business problems Planning an entrepreneurial event | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Out Class 2 X 50 | | 5% |
|----|---|--|---|---|--|-----|
| 14 | Carrying out Field Practice | 1.Prepare sponsorship proposals 2.Identify business problems 3.Planning an entrepreneurial event | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Out Class 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 15 | Learn to work integrated (internship) in MSMEs | Exploring business practices in MSMEs | Criteria: holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Group Investigation 2 X 50 Iearning model | | 5% |
| 16 | FINAL SEMESTER EXAMINATION (UAS) | | Form of Assessment : Test | 2 X 50 | | 30% |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 50% |
| 2. | Test | 50% |
| | | 100% |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points
- and sub-topics. 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the
- II. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.