

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Cosmetology Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

AUTHORIZATION SP Developer Course Cluster Coordinator Coordinator Coordinator Coordinator Nia Kusstianti, S.P.d., M.I. Program Ceaning Case Studies Program Dearning Ceaning CPLO) PLO Study program that is charged to the course Program Objectives (PO) PLO-PO Matrix P.O PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning each stage	ONES	•												
School Curriculum Separation Separation					SEM	IESTEF	R LEA	ARNI	NG	PL	NΑ			
AUTHORIZATION SP Developer Course Cluster Coordinator Coordinator Coordinator Coordinator Coordinator Coordinator Program Objectives (PO) PLO-PO Matrix P.O PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) Week Sub-PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage s	Courses			C	CODE		Course	Family		Cred	it Wei	ght	SEMESTER	
Learning model Program Description Conduct studies and provide an understanding of the basic theory of the curriculum and the Cosmetology Skills Vocational School curriculum which consists of curriculum concepts, curriculum planning, curriculum, and the Cosmetology Skills Vocational School curriculum which consists of curriculum concepts, curriculum planning, curriculum, and the Cosmetology Skills Vocational School curriculum which consists of curriculum concepts, curriculum planning, curriculum, and the Cosmetology Skills Vocational School curriculum of the prototype curriculum, prototype curriculum, and the Cosmetology Skills Vocational School curriculum of the Vocational School of the 2013 curriculum, prototype curriculum, and the prototype, structure of of the Vocational School Skills syldaus Cosmetology, learning outcomes of Cosmetology Skills Vocational School. The method curriculum development philosophy, curriculum developmentation of the prototype curriculum differences between the 2013 curriculum, 2013 Vocational School. The method curriculum development philosophy, curricu	School C	urriculum		8	8321302004	1				T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	2	July 18, 2024
Learning model Program Dearning Program that is charged to the course Program Objectives (PO) PLO-PO Matrix Program Object	AUTHOR	IZATION		5	SP Developer Co			Cours	e Clus	ter Co	ordinator	Study Progr	am	
PLO study program that is charged to the course Program Cautiones (PLO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at th														
Program Objectives (PO) PLO-PO Matrix PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) Week PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) Week PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) Week PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) Week PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) Week PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) Week PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) Week PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the controlling intercets been seal to curriculum and the Cos		Case Stud	lies											
Program Objectives (PO)			ly progr	am th	hat is char	ged to the o	course							
P.O Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) P.O Week P.O 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Outcom		Objectiv	ves (I	PO)									
PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) P.O	(PLO)	PLO-PO I	Matrix											
P.O 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16			P.O											
Short Course Description Conduct studies and provide an understanding of the basic theory of the curriculum and the Cosmetology Skills Vocatio School Curriculum which consists of curriculum concepts, curriculum planning, curriculum development philosophy, curriculum development principles, curriculum sesence of the 2013 Curriculum, the content standards of the 2013 Curriculum, 2013 Vocational School curriculum, prototype curric		PO Matrix	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)											
School Curriculum which consists of curriculum concepts, curriculum planning, curriculum development principles, curriculum design, curriculum implementation, success factors for curriculum implementation, essence of the 2013 Curriculum, the content standards of the 2013 Curriculum, 2013 Vocational School curriculum, implementation and innovation of the 2013 curriculum, prototype curriculum, differences between the 2013 curriculum, and the prototype curriculum implementation of the prototype curriculum, differences between the 2013 curriculum, and the prototype curriculum differences between the 2013 curriculum, and the prototype curriculum differences between the 2013 curriculum, and the prototype, structure of Cosmetology Skills Vocational School curriculum, Core Competencies and Basic Competencies, syllabus concept, and analy of the Vocational School Skills syllabus Cosmetology, learning outcomes of Cosmetology Skills Vocational School. The method used are discussions, paper assignments, and presentations. References Main: 1. Arifin,Zainal. 2011. Konsep dan Model Pengembangan Kurikulum Bandung: Remark Rosdakarya. Mulyasa 2014. Pengembangan dan Implementasi Kurikulum 2013. Bandung: PT. Remark Rosdakarya. Mulyasa 2014. Pengembangan dan Implementasi Kurikulum 2013. Bandung: PT. Remark Rosdakarya. Mulyasa 2004. Kurikulum Berbasis Kompetensi. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. Mulyasa 2004. Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. Supangat.2022. Kurikulum 2022. Depok: Jawab Barat Wina Sanjaya.2010. Kurikulum Dan Pembelajaran, (KTSP) Jakarta: Prenada Media Gruop. Yani Ahmad.2014. Mindset Kurikulum 2013. Bandng: Alfabeta. Supporting Pr. Maspiyah, M.Kes. Novia Restu Windayani, S.Pd., M.Pd. Final abilities of each learning stage Week- Supporting Pr. Maspiyah, M.Kes. Novia Restu Windayani, S.Pd., M.Pd. Help Learning methods, Student Assignments, Estimated time] References Assessm: Weight (**				P.0		2 3 4	5 6	5 7			10	11 12	13 14	15 16
1. Arifin,Zainal. 2011. Konsep dan Model Pengembangan Kurikulum .Bandung: Rema Rosdakarya. Muhammad Busro dan Siskandar, 2017, Perencanaan dan Pengembangan Kurikulu Yogjakarta: Media Akademik Mulyasa 2014. Pengembangan dan Implementasi Kurikulum 2013. Bandung: PT. Rema Rosdakarya. Mulyasa. 2004. Kurikulum Berbasis Kompetensi . Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. Mulyasa. 2004. Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan . Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. Supangat. 2022. Kurikulum 2022 . Depok: Jawab Barat Wina Sanjaya. 2010 . Kurikulum Dan Pembelajaran, (KTSP) Jakarta: Prenada Media Gruop. Yani Ahmad. 2014. Mindset Kurikulum 2013 . Bandng: Alfabeta. Supporting lecturer Dr. Maspiyah, M.Kes. Novia Restu Windayani, S.Pd., M.Pd. Final abilities of each learning lecturer Final abilities of each learning methods, Student Assignments, Earning materials [Estimated time] Week- Weight (% St. P.C)	Course	School Cu developme essence of implement implement Cosmetolo of the Voca	ent prince of the 2 ation an ation of ation of ation ation of ational Settional Settio	which iples, 013 (od innot the part of the	n consists of curriculum Curriculum, lovation of prototype cutional School Skills syllate	of curriculum design, cur the content the 2013 curriculum, dit ol curriculum	concepts, riculum in standard urriculum, fferences , Core Co logy, learr	curricu mplements of the prototy betweend ing outo	lum plar ntation, ne 2013 pe curri n the 2 cies and	nning, succe Curri iculum, 2013 c I Basic	curricuss factions fa	ulum develop ctors for cur , 2013 Vo ementation of lum and the petencies, sy	oment philosopy riculum imple ocational School of the prototy of prototype, s rllabus concep	ohy, curriculum mentation, the ool curriculum, pe curriculum tructure of the t, and analysis
Arifin,Zainal. 2011. Konsep dan Model Pengembangan Kurikulum .Bandung: Rema Rosdakarya. Muhammad Busro dan Siskandar, 2017, Perencanaan dan Pengembangan Kurikulu Yogjakarta: Media Akademik Mulyasa 2014. Pengembangan dan Implementasi Kurikulum 2013. Bandung: PT. Rema Rosdakarya. Mulyasa. 2004. Kurikulum Berbasis Kompetensi . Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. Mulyasa. 2004. Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan . Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. Supangat. 2022. Kurikulum 2022 . Depok: Jawab Barat Wina Sanjaya. 2010 . Kurikulum Dan Pembelajaran, (KTSP) Jakarta: Prenada Media Gruop. Yani Ahmad. 2014. Mindset Kurikulum 2013 . Bandng: Alfabeta. Supporting lecturer Dr. Maspiyah, M.Kes. Novia Restu Windayani, S.Pd., M.Pd. Final abilities of each learning stage Final abilities of each learning stage Week- Week- Week- Week- References Assessm Weight (**)	Reference	ces Main:												
Supporting lecturer Dr. Maspiyah, M.Kes. Novia Restu Windayani, S.Pd., M.Pd. Final abilities of each learning seach learning		AI R M Y M R M M S S	osdaka uhamm ogjakar ulyasa osdaka ulyasa. ulyasa. upanga fina Sai	irya. nad rta: M 201 irya. . 200 . 200 njaya	Busro o Media Aka 14. Penge 14. Kuriku 14. Kuriku 22. Kuriku 3.2010 . K	dan Siska demik embangan Ilum Berba Ilum Tingk ulum 2022 Curikulum	ndar, 2 dan Ir asis Kor at Satu . Depok Dan Per	2017, mplem mpete an Pe k: Jawa mbela	Peren entasi nsi . B ndidik ab Bara jaran,	icana i Ku i andui an . E at (KTS	an d r ikulu ng: R Bandu P) Ja	dan Peng u m 2013. temaja Ros ung:Remaj ıkarta: Prei	embangan Bandung: sdakarya. a Rosdakar	Kurikulum, PT. Remaja ya.
Restu Windayani, S.Pd., M.Pd. Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] References Re		Supporter	rs:											
Restu Windayani, S.Pd., M.Pd. Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] References Re														
Final abilities of each learning Week- Week- Week- Week- Week- Week- Evaluation Evaluation Evaluation Evaluation Evaluation Student Assignments, [Estimated time] References Weight (6) Weight (7)					S.Pd., M.Po	d.								
_	Week-	each learning stage			Eva	aluation			Lear Stude	ning n nt Ass	nethod ignme	ds, ents,	materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)

		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to understand curriculum concepts	- Examining the rationale for the urgency of curriculum in education - Examining curriculum theory - Identifying the function and role of the curriculum	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6.Student Final Grade: 7.Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50			0%
2	· Students are able to understand curriculum planning Students are able to understand curriculum concepts	- Describe the concept of curriculum planning - Function of curriculum planning - Curriculum planning model - Principles and principles - Describe the objectives of the curriculum - Describe the role and function of the curriculum - The essence of educational objectives - Describe the concept of the curriculum based on the philosophy of its developer - Identify the concept of the curriculum in accordance with existing educational institutions	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	· Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50			0%

	0. 1		- · ·	I		
3	Students are able to understand the organization of the curriculum	- Describe the concept of curriculum organization - Describe the types of curriculum organization - Describe the factors related to curriculum organization Describe the principles of curriculum organization	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
4	Students are able to understand the curriculum as a system	- Describe. System, systematic and component concepts - Describe the components in the curriculum	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6.Student Final Grade: 7.Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%

5	Students are able to understand coaching, development, changes and renewal of the curriculum	- Describe the concepts, principles, foundations, approaches to curriculum development - Describe the forms, types, steps and levels of curriculum development	Criteria: 1. The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
6	Students are able to understand curriculum development models	- Describe Curriculum Development Models - Describe the steps for Competency Based Curriculum Development	Criteria: 1. The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%

7	Students are able to understand curriculum development models	- Describe Curriculum Development Models - Describe the steps for Competency Based Curriculum Development	Criteria: 1. The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
8	UTS		Form of Assessment: Test	UTS 2 X 50		0%

		T	T	T	Г	
9	Students are able to understand the 2013 Curriculum	- Describe the rationale for changes in the development of the 2013 Curriculum - Describe the basis for the development of the 2013 Curriculum - Describe the objectives of the 2013 Curriculum development - Describe the objectives of the 2013 Curriculum development - Describe the competency-based Curriculum 2013 - Describe the development of the 2013 curriculum structure	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
10	Students are able to understand the 2013 Curriculum	- Describe the rationale for changes in the development of the 2013 Curriculum - Describe the basis for the development of the 2013 Curriculum - Describe the objectives of the 2013 Curriculum development - Describe the objectives of the 2013 Curriculum development - Describe the competency-based Curriculum 2013 - Describe the development of the 2013 curriculum structure	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6.Student Final Grade: 7.Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%

	Otrada i II	D	a			22.
11	Students are able to understand the implementation of the 2013 Curriculum	- Designing effective and meaningful learning - Organizing learning - Selecting and determining learning approaches - Building Competency (KI) and integrated character	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
12	Students are able to understand the 2013 Curriculum innovations	- Describe the advantages of the 2013 Curriculum - Describe the assumptions of the 2013 Curriculum - Describe the comparison of the 2013 Curriculum and KTSP - Describe the differences in the essence of the 2013 Curriculum and KTSP - Describe the Core Competencies of Vocational Schools	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%

		7			1	,
13	Students are able to understand the prototype curriculum	- Describe the meaning of a prototype curriculum - Describe the advantages of a prototype curriculum - Various learning techniques in a prototype curriculum - Various types of prototype curriculum - Application of a prototype curriculum curriculum - Application of a prototype curriculum	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
14	Students are able to understand the concepts of the syllabus	- Describe the meaning of a syllabus - Describe the stages of syllabus development - Describe the principles and components of a syllabus	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%

15	Students are able to understand the skills syllabus analysis	- Describe the syllabus for various subjects in vocational school - Describe the syllabus for vocational school subjects according to the academic calendar	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, paper assignments, presentations and questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Lever Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	UAS 2 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage	
		0%	

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
 and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO** (**Sub-PO**) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.