

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences, Bachelor of Laws Study Program

Document Code

SEIVIESTER LEARINING PLAIN																			
Courses			CODE				Course Family			Cred	it Weigl	ht	SEMES	TER	Compile Date	ation			
LAND USE AND SPATIAL PLANNING			NG 742010225	7420102257 Con			Compul	pulsory Study Program Subjects			T=2	P=0 E	CTS=3.18	6	õ	July 17,	2024		
AUTHOR	IZAT	ION		SP Develo	per						Course	Cluste	er Coor	dinator		Study F	Program	Coordin	nator
			Dita Perwit	Dita Perwitasari, S.H.,M.Kn.						Indri Fogar S.,S.H.,M.H.			Vita Mahardhika, S.H., M.H.						
Learning model		Case Studies																	
Program		PLO study prog	gran	n that is charg	ed to	the c	ourse												
Learning Outcome		Program Objectives (PO)																	
(PLO)		PO - 1	PO -1  After studying this course, students are able to understand that space as a forum for realizing the activities mentioned above requires planning, organization and sustainability so that it can create a sense of authenticity, safety, security, comfort, productivity and sustainability. Land/space management, including utilization, management and preservation, is the state's authority to regulate it in order to realize prosperity for the Indonesian people.																
		PLO-PO Matrix																	
			E	P.O PO-1	]														
	-	PO Matrix at the	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																
			l –													_			
			1	P.O	<del>                                     </del>						т.	Week	1		T 40 T				_
			1 -	DO 1	1	2	3	4	5 6	5 7	8	9	10	11	12	13 1	.4 15	5 16	-
			<u>L</u>	PO-1															
Short Course Descript	ion	Space includes la activities and mai can create a sens preservation, is the	intair se of	n their survival. S f authenticity, sat	Space fety, se	e as a for ecurity,	orum fo comfo	or realizir ort, produ	ng the ac ctivity an	tivities r d sustai	nentione nability. I	ed abov Land/sp	e requi pace ma	res plan	ıning, regula	ation and	l sustaina	ability so	that it
Reference	ces	Main:																	
		2. Arzandi F 3. Erman Ri 4. Hasni, 20 5. Hadi Sab 6 7. Herman I 8. Johara. 2 9. Muhamm 10. Rustiadi,	ii. 2009. Tinjauar ama, dkk. 2015. adi, dkk. 2011. P Hukum Penataa Yunus. 2006. Me 2008. Dinar ayat. 2011. Politi b. Pembangunan Akib. 2014. Huku . 2011. Perencai Nur. 2010. Bank	Menat derencian Rua degapoli mika V k Lingl Desa um Lin naan c	ta Kota anaan ang dar litan (Ko Wilayah kungan a dalam ngkunga dan Pei	Melalu dan Penal onsep, n Peri U n: Yaya Perena an Pres ngemb	ui Rencar engembar tagunaan Problem Jrban Det san Pust canaan: I sfektif Glo angan W	na Detail ngan Wil n Tanah: natika dar terminan taka Obo Penerbit oal dan N /ilayah. C	Tata Ru layah:Ya Rajagra n Prospe i Masa D or Indone ITB, Ba Nasional Crespent	uang (TD ayasan P findo Pe ek). Pust Depan Ko esia. Jaka ndung. . PT. Ra t Press d	Pustaka ersada, taka Pe ota: Pus arta ijagrafin dan Yay	Obor Ir Jakarta Iajar, Yo staka Po ndo Pers vasan P	ndonesia ogyakar elajar. Y sada, Ja ustaka (	a, Jakarta. ta. ′ogyakarta. akarta. Obor Indone			s. Publis	shing,	
		Supporters:																	
Supporti lecturer	ing	Indri Fogar Susilo Dita Perwitasari, S																	
Week- each		nal abilities of ch learning age ub-PO)		n learning Evaluation					Learn Studen Est	timated	thods, nment time]				rning erials ences]	Assess Weigh			
	Loui	,		landin adam		0	:- 0	F		Affling /	afflina \		0.	alima ( a	anlina \				

Indicator

(3)

(2)

(1)

Criteria & Form

(4)

Offline ( offline )

(5)

Online ( online )

(6)

(7)

(8)

1	Students are able to recognize and explain the meaning, basis of land law, land use & spatial planning	Level of understanding and ability to remember prerequisite course material (Agrarian Law)	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of  Assessment: Participatory  Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50	Material: v Reader: Adrian Sutedi. 2009. Land Law Review: PT Tama Print, Jakarta.	3%
2	Explain and provide examples of the application of the principles and objectives of Spatial Planning	Level of understanding and ability to understand by providing examples	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50	Material: application of the principles and objectives of library space planning: Adrian Sutedi. 2009. Land Law Review: PT Tama Print, Jakarta.	3%
3	Explain and categorize land use activities from an agrarian law perspective	Ability to classify land use activities from an agrarian law perspective	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignmentdiscussion/analysis of decisions 2 x 50	Material: Land Use Activities in the Perspective of Agrarian Law Reader: Adrian Sutedi. 2009. Land Law Review: PT Tama Print, Jakarta.	5%
4	Explain and classify various land use plans in Spatial Planning activities	Ability to classify land use planning in Spatial Planning activities	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50	Material: land use planning in Spatial Planning activities Library : Erman Rustiadi, et al. 2011. Regional Planning and Development: Indonesian Obor Library Foundation, Jakarta.  Material: land use planning in Spatial Planning activities Library : Arzandi Pratama, et al. 2015. Organizing Cities Through Detailed Spatial Planning (TDTR): CV Andioffset, Yogyakarta.	5%

5	Explain and differentiate land stewardship models carried out in urban and rural areas	Ability to describe and compare with examples of land stewardship models carried out in urban and rural areas	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50	Material: Land stewardship models carried out in urban and rural areas Reference:	5%
6	Understand and analyze several issues regarding Access Rights and Community Participation in land/space stewardship activities	1.describe, compare and analyze problems related to lecture material 2.Activeness in class discussions	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50	Material: issues regarding Access Rights and Community Participation in land/space stewardship activities. Reader: Hadi Sabari Yunus. 2006. Megapolitan (Concept, Problems and Prospects). Student Library, Yogyakarta.  Material: issues regarding Access Rights and Community Participation in land/space stewardship activities. Reference: 2008. Dynamics of Urban Areas, Determinants of the Future of Cities: Student Library. Yogyakarta.	5%
7	Explain, compare and analyze problems that arise as a result of function transfer	Ability to describe, compare and analyze problems related to lecture material	Criteria:  1.OK, if you can answer all the questions correctly  2.Enough, if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Less, if you are able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of  Assessment:  Participatory  Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50	Material: problems arising from the transfer of functions. Reference: Hasni, 2016. Spatial Planning and Land Use Law: Rajagrafindo Persada, Jakarta.	5%

	Т		1	1	<u> </u>		
8	итѕ	1.Good 2.Enough 3.Not enough	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Test	2 X 50		Material: UTS Library:	20%
9	Students are able to explain and examine forms of providing green open space	Ability to describe and examine/analyze various problems related to lecture material     Activeness in class discussions	Criteria:  1.OK, if you can answer all the questions correctly 2.Enough, if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly 3.Less, if you are able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50		Material: examining forms of providing green open space Library: Herman Hidayat. 2011. Environmental Politics: Pustaka Obor Indonesia Foundation. Jakarta	5%
10	Students can describe and discuss the implementation of Land Consolidation	Ability to describe, compare and examine/analyze various problems related to lecture material     Activeness in class discussions	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50		Material: implementation of Land Consolidation Library: Adrian Sutedi. 2009. Land Law Review: PT Tama Print, Jakarta.	5%
11	Students can compare and criticize Land/Space Management related to Environmental Protection and Management (PPHL)	Ability to describe, compare and examine/analyze various problems related to lecture material	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50		Material: Land/Space Management related to Environmental Protection and Management (PPHL) Library: Herman Hidayat. 2011. Environmental Politics: Pustaka Obor Indonesia Foundation. Jakarta	5%

12	Students are able to explain and compare Land/Space Management related to Conservation Areas and National Park Management	Ability to describe, compare and analyze various problems related to lecture material 2. Activeness in class discussions	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 x 50	Material: Land/Space Management related to Conservation Areas and National Park Management Reader: Herman Hidayat. 2011. Environmental Politics: Pustaka Obor Indonesia Foundation. Jakarta	3%
13	Students can discuss, criticize and combine various regulations regarding spatial planning (UUPR)-Land Use Management-UUPA and UUPPLH	Collaboration ability	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignment discussion/case analysis 2 X 50	Material: Students can discuss, criticize and combine various regulations regarding spatial planning (UUPR) - Land Use Management - UUPA and UUPPLH Library: Sri Susyanti Nur. 2010. Alternative Land Bank for Solving Land Provision Problems for Sustainable City Development. US. Publishing, Makassa	3%
14	Discuss and analyze land use conflicts for various activities Students discuss and analyze cases related to land use activities	1.Ability to work together in groups 2.Actualization of problems raised in group assignments 3.Accuracy in providing answers/analyzing in group discussions 4.Activeness in class discussions	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignmentdiscussion/case analysis 2 X 50	Material: Conflicts over the use of land space for various activities. Students discuss and analyze cases related to land use activities. Literature:	3%
15	Discuss and analyze land use conflicts for various activities Students discuss and analyze cases related to land use activities	1. Ability to work together in groups 2. Actualization of problems raised in group assignments 3. Accuracy in providing answers/analyzing in group discussions 4. Activeness in class discussions	Criteria: 1.Good 2.currently 3.not enough Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	face to face assignmentdiscussion/case analysis 2 X 50	Material: Conflict over the use of land space for various activities. Students discuss and analyze cases related to land stewardship activities. Reader: Herman Hidayat. 2011. Environmental Politics: Pustaka Obor Indonesia Foundation. Jakarta	5%

16	analyze and understand related to land and space stewardship	analyze and understand related to land and space stewardship	Criteria:  1.Good; if you are able to answer all the questions correctly  2.Medium; if you are able to answer most of the questions correctly  3.Not enough; if you are only able to answer a small part of the questions correctly  Form of  Assessment:	2x50	Material: UAS Literature:	20%
			Test			

## **Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study**

Evaluation Fercentage Recap. Case St						
No	Evaluation	Percentage				
1.	Participatory Activities	58.5%				
2.	Test	41.5%				
		100%				

## Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the
- formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

  3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.