

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences, Bachelor of Laws Study Program

Document Code

(7)

(8)

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																		
Courses		CODE	CODE		Course Family				Credit Weight			SEME	STER	Compilation Date				
TUN Judicial Procedure Law		7420104279	7420104279		Compulsory Study				T=4	T=4 P=0 ECTS=6.36			5	July 17, 2024				
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develope	SP Developer		subjec		Cours	e Clu	ster (	Coordin	ator	Study	/ Program	Coordinator			
			Dr. Hananto V	Dr. Hananto Widodo, S.H., M.H							Vita Mahardhika, S.H., M.H.							
Learning model		Case Studies		·														
Program Learning		PLO study program that is charged to the course																
Outcome		PLO-20	Act	as a citizen who	is proud	and Ic	ves tl	he co	untry b	y ob	peying	the !	aw ar	d being	discipli	ined in	social and	state life;
(PLO)		Program Objec	tive	s (PO)														
		PO - 1	Stu	dents master the	substanc	e of th	ne TU	N Juc	dicial P	roce	edure	Law	course	9				
	-	PLO-PO Matrix																
			_				_											
			Ļ	P.O	PLC	)-20												
			L	PO-1														
	-																	
		PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																
			_															
				P.O		1	1	1			1	W	eek		-	,		
			L		1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	) 11	12	13	14	15 16
				PO-1														
Short Course Description  This course is a contin Administrative Court La analysis, presentations		Law is a formal	law that															
Reference	ces	Main:																
1. Jo 2. H 3. N , l 4. R 5. P		<ol> <li>HR, Ridw</li> <li>Nur Basu</li> <li>Laksbar</li> <li>R. Wiyon</li> </ol>	van, I ik Mi ng M o, H	eneral Principles Hukum Administra narno, Penyalahg ediatama, Yogyal ukum Acara Pera adjon, Hukum Adı	asi Negar gunaan W karta, 200 dilan Tata	ra , Ja /ewer 08. a Usa	ıkarta nang d ha Ne	: PT. dalam egara	RajaG Penge , Sinar	rafir elola Gra	ndo P aan Ko afika,	ersad euand Jaka	la, 200 gan Da rtaa, 2	06. aerah ta 008.	ng Ber	implika	si Tindak I	Pidana Korupsi
Supporting lecturer  Dr. Sulaksono, S.H., M. Dr. Hananto Widodo, S. Muh. Ali Masnun, S.H., Intan Lovisonnya, SH.,N		S.H., M.H. ., M.H.																
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage			Evaluation					Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]				ma	arning terials erences ]	Assessment Weight (%)			
(Su	(Sul			Indicator	Crit	eria &	For	n		fline			Onlin	e ( onlir	ie)	Likeli	rences ]	

1	Understand the background, aims and objectives of establishing PTUN	1.Explain the background to the formation of PTUN 2.Explain the aims and objectives of establishing PTUN	Criteria: good, enough, less Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: Understanding the background, aims and objectives of establishing PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
2	Demonstrates Absolute PTUN Competence	1.Categorizing PTUN dispute subjects 2.Categorizing dispute objects 3.Concluding the absolute competency limits of the PTUN	Criteria: GOOD, ENOUGH, POOR  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer assignment 2 X 50	Material: Demonstrating the Absolute Competence of PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, State Administrative Court Procedure Law, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
3	Demonstrates Absolute PTUN Competence	1.Categorizing PTUN dispute subjects 2.Categorizing dispute objects 3.Concluding the absolute competency limits of the PTUN	Criteria: OK, enough, not enough  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer assignment 2 X 50	Material: Demonstrating the Absolute Competence of PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, State Administrative Court Procedure Law, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
4	Addressing the Relative Competency of PTUN	1.Formulate the filing of a lawsuit 2.Demonstrate inspection at the first level	Criteria: OK, enough, not enough  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, presentations, Q&A 2 X 50	Material: Addressing the Relative Competence of PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
5	Addressing the Relative Competency of PTUN	1.Formulate the filing of a lawsuit 2.Demonstrate inspection at the first level	Criteria: goodmediumbad Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, presentations, Q&A 2 X 50	Material: Addressing the Relative Competence of PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
6	Drafting a Lawsuit and Answering a Lawsuit	1.Categorize the format and content of the lawsuit 2.Categorize the format and content of the lawsuit response	Criteria: OK, enough, not enough  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: Addressing the Relative Competence of PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%

7	Drafting a Lawsuit and Answering a Lawsuit	1.Categorize the format and content of the lawsuit 2.Categorize the format and content of the lawsuit response	Criteria: OK, enough, not enough  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: Designing a Lawsuit and Answers to a Lawsuit Reference: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
8	carrying out the U.S.S	working on the U.S.S	Criteria: good and bad Form of Assessment : Test	open book 2 X 50	Material: Mid- Semester Exam References: R. Wiyono, State Administrative Court Procedure Law, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	15%
9	Designing Replicas and Duplicates	1.Categorize the format and content of replicas     2.Categorize the format and content of duplicates	Criteria: GoodMediocreBad Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: Designing Replicas and Duplicates References: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
10	Designing the Evidence process at PTUN	1.Shows the relationship between evidence     2.Design technical and proof strategies	Criteria: GoodMediocreBad  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: Designing the Evidence process in PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
11	Designing the Evidence process at PTUN	1.Shows the relationship between evidence 2.Design technical and proof strategies	Criteria: good, enough, less Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: Designing the Evidence process in PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
12	Drafting Conclusions and Decisions as well as determinations at the PTUN	1.Categorize the format and content of the conclusion 2.Categorize the types and characteristics of decisions and/or determinations	Criteria: GoodMediocreBad Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: Designing Conclusions and Decisions as well as determinations in PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%

13	Drafting Conclusions and Decisions as well as determinations at the PTUN	1.Categorize the format and content of the conclusion     2.Categorize the types and characteristics of decisions and/or determinations	Criteria: OK, enough, not enough  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: Designing Conclusions and Decisions as well as determinations in PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
14	Comparing Legal Efforts and Implementation of Decisions and/or Determinations in PTUN	1.Choose between resistance, appeal, cassation, judicial review 2.Sequencing the implementation of PTUN Decisions and/or Determinations	Criteria: GoodMediocreBad  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: comparing legal efforts and implementation of decisions and/or determinations in PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
15	Comparing Legal Efforts and Implementation of Decisions and/or Determinations in PTUN	1.Choose between resistance, appeal, cassation, judicial review 2.Sequencing the implementation of PTUN Decisions and/or Determinations	Criteria: GoodMediocreBad  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: comparing legal efforts and implementation of decisions and/or determinations in PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	5%
16	Comparing Legal Efforts and Implementation of Decisions and/or Determinations in PTUN	1.Choose between resistance, appeal, cassation, judicial review 2.Sequencing the implementation of PTUN Decisions and/or Determinations	Criteria: GoodMediocreBad Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lecture, Question and Answer 2 X 50	Material: comparing legal efforts and implementation of decisions and/or determinations in PTUN Library: R. Wiyono, Procedural Law for State Administrative Courts, Sinar Graphics, Jakartaa, 2008.	15%

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	85%
2.	Test	15%
		100%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study
  program obtained through the learning process.
- program obtained through the learning process.

  The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is
  the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.