Document Code



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Javanese Language and Literature Education Study Program

		SEMES	STE	ER L	EAF	RN	ING	P	LA	N				
Courses		CODE		Course	Family	/			Cred	it We	ght	:	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Teaching Eva	luation	8820203038							T=3	P=0	ECTS=4.	77	4	July 18, 2024
AUTHORIZAT	TION	SP Developer	•				Cour	se C	luste	Coo	rdinator		Study Progra Coordinator	am
													Latif Nur Hasan, S.Pd., M.Pd.	
Learning model	Case Studies													
Program Learning	PLO study program that is charged to the course													
Outcomes	Program Objective	es (PO)												
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix													
		P.O												
	PO Matrix at the e	nd of each learning	stag	e (Sub-	-PO)									
		P.O Week												
		<u> </u>	T .			- T	_		1	Τ.,	1 10	4.0		5 40
		1 2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1:	1 12	13	3 14 1	.5 16
Short Course Description	develop evaluation to evaluation problems	This course contains a pols to assess and imp and their application This course is present	rove in Jav	the Java anese l	anese la anguag	angua je lea	ige lea	arning in scl	proc nools	ess to by uti	improve t	he re	esolution of values	arious learning gy based on a
References	Main :													
	1. Arifin, Zainal. 2012. Evaluasi Pembelajaran. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. 2. Nurgiyantoro, Burhan. 2001. Penilaian Dalam Pengajaran Bahasa dan Sastra Indonesia. Yogyakarta: BPFE. 3. Tuckman, Bruce W. 1975. Measuring Educational Outcomes: Fundamentals of Testing. USA: Horcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc. 4. McNamara, T.F. 1996. Measuring Second Language Performance. London and New York: Longman. 5. Purwanto, Ngalim. 1986. Prinsip-Prinsip dan Teknik Evaluasi Pengajaran. Bandung: Remaja Karya. 6. TIM.2014. Permendikbud tentang penilaian													
	Supporters:													

Support lecturer		RI SULISTIANI					
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Ev	/aluation	Learnir Student	D Learning, ing methods, Assignments, imated time] Learning materials [References		Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)

					I	
Assess Proces Learnir Outcon course Master	t context context orientation of the sment s and sessment learning outcomes. I principles actional context orientation of the course sessment process and learning outcomes. Explain the differences in the concepts	cont orier Asse Prod Lear Outd cour Mas gene of ed	Criteria: 1. The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2. Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3. Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x 2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS score x 2) ILAS	Contextual, Direct Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%
			score x 3) (UTS score x 2) UAS score (3) divided			
			by 10			

	I		I			
2	Mastering the general principles of educational assessment. Mastering assessment categories and being able to develop assessment tools.	Explaining the position of assessment in the learning process. Explaining the purpose of assessment in learning.	Criteria: 1. The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2. Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3. Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x 2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS score x 2) UAS score (3) divided by 10	Contextual, Direct Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%

Assessment categories and develop develop develop (1). (a). (b). (c). (c). (c). (d). (d). (d). (d). (d). (d). (e). (e). (d). (e). (e).	assessment categories and being able to develop assessment tools (1). (1). assessment void fassessm in learning. Explain the differences between te and non-ter assessment tools in the learning
score x 2) UAS score (3) divided	

	1		1	1	,	
4	Mastering assessment categories and being able to develop assessment tools (2).	Explain the differences between test and non-test assessment tools in the learning process. Explain the criteria for preparing assessment tools. Identify competency-based assessment tools.	Criteria: 1.The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2.Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3.Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4.Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5.Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6.The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS score x 2) UAS score (3) divided by 10	Contextual, Direct Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%

5 Mastering general principles in developing competency-based assessment tools.(1)	Identify competency- based assessment tools. Differentiating competency- based assessment tools.	Criteria: 1.The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2.Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3.Assignments are	Contextual, Direct Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%
		accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) (assignment			
		score x2)			
		score x 2) UAS score (3) divided by 10			

	T T		ī			
6	Mastering general principles in developing competency-based assessment tools (2).	Identify competency- based assessment tools. Differentiating competency- based assessment tools. 2013 KTSP-based assessment Identifying assessment based on Permendikbud	Criteria: 1. The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2. Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3. Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS	Contextual, Direct Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%
			score (3) divided by 10			

7 Mastering concepts and being able to develop linguistic and literary assessment tools. 8 Participation during lectures through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the fall semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are
averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS score x 2) UAS score (3) divided

		1	T	T	1	
8	UTSAll abilities end of meetings1-7	All indicators confluence 1-7	Criteria: 1. The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2. Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3. Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x 2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS score x 2) UAS score (3) divided by 10	Contextual, Direct Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%

	T			ı	<u> </u>	ı
9	Mastering assessment tool assessment techniques	Explain the concept of assessment tool assessment.	Criteria: 1. The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2. Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3. Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) (assignment score x 2) (assignment score x 2) UAS score (2) divided	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%
			score (3) divided by 10			

		I = 1 ·				
10	Mastering the preparation of	Explain the concept of	Criteria: 1.The assessments	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative		0%
	standardized	constructing	carried out on	Learning,		
	tests	standardized	aspects of	Questions and		
		tests	attitude,	Answers,		
			knowledge and	Discussions,		
			skills are as	Exercises,		
			follows:	Presentation		
			2.Participation	Assignments		
			during lectures	3 X 50		
			through			
			observation			
			(weight 2)			
			3.Assignments are			
			accessed through			
			presentations and			
			reports on the			
			results of group			
			discussions, and			
			individual case			
			study reports.			
			Values are			
			averaged and			
			weighted (3)			
			Subsummative			
			tests are carried			
			out to access all			
			indicators during			
			the half semester			
			through written			
			exams and case			
			analysis			
			assignments.			
			Scores are			
			averaged and			
			weighted (2)) 5.Summative tests			
			are carried out			
			simultaneously			
			according to the			
			schedule. The			
			exam is carried			
			out in writing and			
			given weightage			
			(3).			
			6.The final score			
			(NA) is			
			(participation			
			score x2)			
			(assignment			
			score x 3) (UTS			
			score x 2) UAS			
			score (3) divided			
			by 10			
	ļ		<u> </u>	ļ		

	1		T		<u> </u>
11	Mastering the technique of writing the Student Learning Sheet (LKPD) book Student Worksheet books (LKPD Writing Student Worksheet books (LKPD Worksheet bo	Criteria: 1.The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2.Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3.Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4.Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5.Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6.The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS score x 2) UAS score (3) divided by 10	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%

			I			
12	Mastering the technique of writing Student Worksheets (PKPD) 2.	Identify and explain book writing techniques Student Worksheets Writing LKPD books	Criteria: 1. The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2. Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3. Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) (assignment score x 2) (assignment score x 2) UAS score x 2)	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%
1			score (3) divided			

	_			,		
13	Mastering techniques for analyzing test result data.	Differentiate the meaning of the concepts of score and value. Differentiate between the concepts of PAK/PAP and PAN	Criteria: 1. The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2. Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3. Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS score x 2) UAS score (3) divided by 10	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%

	Manakani ii	Emiles d				
14	Mastering the calculation of standard values.	Explain the concept of percentile levels. Explain the concept of z-score. Explain the concept of T-score	Criteria: 1. The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2. Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3. Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and weighted (3) 4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x 2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS score x 2) UAS score (3) divided by 10	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussions, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 3 X 50		0%

Make reports on student success in the success of t
score (3) divided by 10

All indicators confluence 9- 15 All indicators confluence 9- 15 All indicators confluence 9- 15 All indicators confluence 9- 15 Assessments carried out on aspects of antitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2-Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3-Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are and weighted (3) 4-Subsummable leasts are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Soores are averaged and weighted (2) 5-Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) UNS score x3) Urus score x4) Urus urus urus urus urus urus urus urus	confluence 9- 15 1.The assessments carried out on aspects of attitude, knowledge and skills are as follows: 2.Participation during lectures through observation (weight 2) 3.Assignments are accessed through presentations and reports on the results of group discussions, and individual case study reports. Values are averaged and
	4. Subsummative tests are carried out to access all indicators during the half semester through written exams and case analysis assignments. Scores are averaged and weighted (2)) 5. Summative tests are carried out simultaneously according to the schedule. The exam is carried out in writing and given weightage (3). 6. The final score (NA) is (participation score x2) (assignment score x 3) (UTS score x 2) UAS

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

<u> </u>									
	No	Evaluation	Percentage	-					
			00%						

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
 unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.

 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.