

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Javanese Language and Literature Education Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE			Co	ourse	Fam	ily		Credit Weight			SE	MEST	ER		npilat	ion	
Javanese Pra	agmatics		8820202103			St	udy P	rogra	m		T=2	P=0	EC	FS=3.1	8	5		Dat July	e / 17, 2	024
AUTHORIZAT	ΓΙΟΝ		SP Develope	er		Ele	ective	Cou		Cours	e Clu	ister (Coord	linator	St	udy Pr	ogram		rdinat	or
			Prof. Dr. Surana, S.S., M.Hum				C	Drs. Sugeng Adipitoyo, M.Si				La	Latif Nur Hasan, S.Pd., M.Pd.			Pd.				
Learning model	Case Studies		<u>_</u>												_					
Program	PLO study pro	gran	n that is char	ged 1	to th	e co	urse													
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-3		velop logical, cr l in accordance												fic wo	rk in th	neir fiel	d of e	xpertis	е
	PLO-5	Mas	stering the thec	retica	al cor	ncept	s of J	avan	ese	langu	age,	literati	ure, cı	ulture a	nd res	search	metho	ds;		
	PLO-12		ble to conduct research on Javanese language, literature, culture and learning in accordance with the concept f the scientific method;																	
	Program Object	ctive	s (PO)																	
	PO - 1	polit	Jtilizing science and technology to obtain, collect and process various Javanese language speech acts and politeness in order to improve language analysis skills and their application in Javanese language learning at school.																	
	PO - 2	form	Mastering theoretical concepts about speech acts and politeness in the Javanese language, and being able to formulate solutions to various problems to improve language analysis skills and their application in procedural Javanese language learning at school.																	
	PO - 3	Mak alter	te strategic dec rnative solution	s in r	s bas esolv	sed o ving a	n ana nd re	alysis solvir	of ir ng pi	nform robler	ation ns in	and c learni	lata, a ng Jav	and prov vanese	vide g pragr	juidano natics	ce in cl at scho	hoosir ool.	ng vari	ous
	PO - 4		e a commitme ous problems i										n and	l group	lear	ning p	erform	ance	in solv	/ing
	PLO-PO Matrix	c																		
			P.0		Ρl	_0-3			PLC	D-5		PL	.0-12							
			PO-1			1														
			PO-2						1											
			PO-3										1							
			PO-4			•							1							
	PO Matrix at th	ne en	d of each lea	rnin	g sta	ige (Sub-	PO)												
																				1
			P.0		i —	i	ı –					Wee	ek							
		-		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
			PO-1																	
			PO-3																	
			PO-4																	
			т т			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>									
Short Course Description	Study of Javane language learnin system of assign	g at s	school by utilizi	ng so	cienc	e'anc	d tech	nolog	gy ba	ased	on a	rĕspo								

References	1. Black, E 1999. Pri dan Pen	agmatik, sebuah Ielitian Pragmatil	Perspektif Multidisiplin	er . New York: Ilmu Wijana, I	burgh University Press. Oxford University Press Dewa Putu. 1996. Da rbit Andi Yogyakarta.	Inc. Nadar, F.X. 20	09. Pragmatik
	Supporters:						
Supporting lecturer	Prof. Dr. Surana,	S.S., M.Hum.					
Week- ea	nal abilities of ch learning age	Ev	valuation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	ub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (online)	[References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
0	now the material rientation and bjectives of rectures	Can explain the orientation of the material and objectives of the lecture	Criteria: 1.Test Questions: 2.A indicates a Perfect grade 3.B indicates a Good value 4.C indicates a Fair value 5.D indicates Less value 6.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures and questions and answers 2 X 50		Material: Material orientation and lecture objectives Reader: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	5%

2	Linderstand the	Can ovelain	Critoria	Loctures	Matorial	E04
2	Understand the concepts, history and theory of pragmatics	Can explain the concepts, history and theory of pragmatics	Criteria: 1.A indicates a Perfect grade 2.B indicates a Good value 3.C indicates a Fair value 4.D indicates Less value 5.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures and questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: concepts, history and theory of pragmatics References: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills.	5%
3	Understand the concepts, history and theory of pragmatics	Can explain the concepts, history and theory of pragmatics	Criteria: 1.A indicates a Perfect grade 2.B indicates a Good value 3.C indicates a Fair value 4.D indicates Less value 5.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Lectures and questions and answers 2 X 50	Andi Publisher Yogyakarta. Material: concepts, history and theory of pragmatics References: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatics Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatics Skills.	5%

4	Understand philosophical	Can explain philosophical	Criteria: 1.A indicates a	Presentation and	Material: Philosophical	5%
	aspects, psychological approaches & social approaches	aspects, psychological approaches, & social approaches	1.A indicates a Perfect grade 2.B indicates a Good value 3.C indicates a Fair value 4.D indicates Less value 5.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	2 X 50	Priniosophilical aspects, psychological approaches & social approaches & <i>Reader: Black,</i> <i>Elizabeth.</i> 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	
5	Understanding the Classification of Speech Acts	Can explain about. classification of speech acts	Criteria: 1.A indicates a Perfect grade 2.B indicates a Good value 3.C indicates a Fair value 4.D indicates Less value 5.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Presentation and discussion 2 X 50	Material: Classification of speech acts References: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	5%

6 Understand the concepts of pragmatics and inference Can explain about. Pragmatics and inference Presentation and and Discussion 2 × 50 Material: Pragmatics and Inference concepts 1 A. indicates a Good value 3.6 indicates a Good value Presentation and and Discussion 2 × 50 Bialock, Elizabeth. 2011, Elizabeth. 2012, Eliiiii: Elizabeth. 2012, Elizabeth. 2012, Eliz
Pragmatics and Creative Larguage Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andt Publisher Yogyakarta: Andt Publisher Yogyakarta: Heteratical concepts Bibliography: Bibliograp
Yogyakarta.

7	Understand the	Can explain	Criteria:	Presentation	Material:	5%
	concept of Relevance theory	the concept of Relevance theory	1.A indicates a Perfect grade 2.B indicates a Good value 3.C indicates a Fair value 4.D indicates Less value 5.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	and Discussion 2 X 50	Theoretical concepts Relevance Bibliography: <i>Black,</i> <i>Elizabeth.</i> 2011, <i>Pragmatic</i> <i>Stylistics</i> (translation). <i>Edinburgh</i> <i>University</i> <i>Press.</i> <i>Cummings,</i> <i>Louise</i> (trans.). 1999. <i>Pragmatics, a</i> <i>Multidisciplinary</i> <i>Perspective.</i> <i>New York:</i> <i>Oxford</i> <i>University</i> <i>Press Inc.</i> <i>Nadar, FX</i> 2009. <i>Pragmatics and</i> <i>Pragmatics and</i> <i>Pragmatics and</i> <i>Pragmatics and</i> <i>Pragmatics and</i> <i>Pragmatics and</i> <i>Pragmatics and</i> <i>Creative</i> <i>Language</i> <i>Towards</i> <i>Pragmatic</i> <i>Skills.</i> <i>Yogyakarta:</i> <i>Andi Publisher</i> <i>Yogyakarta.</i>	
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS Form of Assessment : Test	UTS 2 X 50	Material: UTS Reader: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: New York: New York: New York: New York: New York: New York: New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	10%

9	Understand about	Can you	Criteria:	Presentation	Material: The	5%
	hubs. pragmatics and thought	expláin about the hub? pragmatics and thought	 1.Can Answer Correctly, Score: 2.A indicates a Perfect grade 3.B indicates a Good value 4.C indicates a Fair value 5.D indicates Less value 6.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	and discussion 2 X 50	relationship between pragmatics and thought. Reader: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	
10	Understand the principles of cooperation in pragmatics	Can explain the principles of cooperation in pragmatics	Criteria: 1.Can Answer Correctly, Score: 2.A indicates a Perfect grade 3.B indicates a Good value 4.C indicates a Fair value 5.D indicates Less value 6.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Principles of Cooperation in pragmatics References: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	5%

11	Understand Habermas theory and pragmatics	Can explain Habermas theory and pragmatics	Criteria: 1.Can Answer Correctly, Score: 2.A indicates a Perfect grade 3.B indicates a Good value 4.C indicates a Fair value 5.D indicates Less value 6.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Habermas theory and pragmatics References: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Pragmatics Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	5%
12	Understand direct and indirect discourse	Can explain direct and indirect discourse	Criteria: 1.Can Answer Correctly, Score: 2.A indicates a Perfect grade 3.B indicates a Good value 4.C indicates a Fair value 5.D indicates Less value 6.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Direct and indirect discourse Reader: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	5%

13	Understanding aspects of interference in pragmatics	Can explain aspects of disorders in pragmatics	Criteria: 1.Can Answer Correctly, Score: 2.A indicates a Perfect grade 3.B indicates a Good value 4.C indicates a Fair value 5.D indicates Less value 6.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Aspects of interference in pragmatics Reader: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Pragmatics Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher	5%
14	Understand the concept of pragmatic research literature review	Explains the concept of Pragmatics Research Literature review	Criteria: 1.Can Answer Correctly, Score: 2.A indicates a Perfect grade 3.B indicates a Good value 4.C indicates a Fair value 5.D indicates Less value 6.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Yogyakarta. Material: concept of Pragmatics Research Library study : Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	10%

				1		
15	Understand the study of pragmatics and speech acts of requests	Can explain the study of pragmatics and speech acts of requests	Criteria: 1.Can Answer Correctly, Score: 2.A indicates a Perfect grade 3.B indicates a Good value 4.C indicates a Fair value 5.D indicates Less value 6.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Material: study of pragmatics and speech acts of requests. References: Black, Elizabeth. 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatics Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatics Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	10%
16	Understand the study of pragmatics and speech acts of requests	Can explain the study of pragmatics and speech acts of requests	Criteria: 1.Can Answer Correctly, Score: 2.A indicates a Perfect grade 3.B indicates a Good value 4.C indicates a Fair value 5.D indicates Less value 6.E indicates a Very Poor value Form of Assessment : Test	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Material: study of pragmatics and speech acts of requests. References: Black, 2011, Pragmatic Stylistics (translation). Edinburgh University Press. Cummings, Louise (trans.). 1999. Pragmatics, a Multidisciplinary Perspective. New York: Oxford University Press Inc. Nadar, FX 2009. Pragmatics and Pragmatic Research. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu Wijana, I. Dewa Putu. 1996. Basics of Pragmatics and Creative Language Towards Pragmatic Skills. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher Yogyakarta.	10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	10%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	25%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	45%
4.	Test	20%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.