Document Code



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Javanese Language and Literature Education Study Program

					SEN	ΛES	STE	R L	EΑ	RN	IN	G F	PL/	AN									
Courses				CODE			C	Course	Fam	ily			Cı	redit	Weig	nt	Ş	SEMES	TER	Cor Dat	mpilatio e	n	
Met.pene Literature		nbelj.bhs and		88202	02076						T=	=2 F	P=0 E	CTS=3.	18	6		July	/ 18, 20	24			
AUTHOR	RIZAT	ION		SP De	velope	r					(Cours	se C	luste	r Coc	rdinato	r s	Study I Coordi	orogra nator	am			
																		Latif		lasan .Pd.	ı, S.Pd.,		
Learning model	ı	Case Studies																					
Program		PLO study pr	ogram	which	is cha	rged t	to the	cour	se														
Outcome (PLO)		Program Objectives (PO)																					
,		PLO-PO Matri	IX																				
				P.O																			
		PO Matrix at t	he en	d of eac	h lear	ning s	stage	(Sub-	PO)														
			P	1.0	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	We		10	11	12	13	14	$\overline{\top}_{1}$	15	16		
			<u> </u>		2	3	4	J	U	'	0	9		10	11	12	13	14		.5	10		
Short Course Descript	tion	Study of the batheir application learning in scheasignments, pro	n is link ools by	ced to the utilizing	factua scienc	al prep ce and	aratio I techr	n of th	e des base	ign an d on a	d imp	oleme	ntati	on as	s well	as its ap	oplica	tion in	Javai	nese	langua	ge	
Reference	ces	Main :																				_	
1. Arikunto, S 2. Sunarto. 2 3. Ali, Muhar 4. Branenn, Yogyakart 5. Moleong, 6. Indarti, Implement 7. Aqib, Zain 8. Sukardi. 2		o. 2001 hamad in, Jul karta: P ig, Lexy Titik. nentasir ainal. 2	. Metodo . 1987. F lia. 2002 Pustaka F y J. 1994 2008. Pe nya . Sur 2007. Pel	ologi Pe enelitia 2. Mem elajar . Metod enelitian nelitian	enelitia an Kep adu M de Pen n Tind Lemba Tindal	n Ilmu endidi Metode elitian akan aga Pe kan Ke	-Ilmu S kan Pr Pen Kualit Kelas enerbit elas . E	Sosial rosedu elitian atif . E (PTK) an FB	& Pen Ir & St Kual Sandur I dan S Une ng: Yr	didika rategi itatif ng: Re Penu sa. ama \	an . Si i . Bar dan emaja ilisan Widya	urab Idun Kua Ros Ilmia	ig: Ar antitai sda K	igkasa iif . To arya.	ı. erjemaha	an H	. Nuk						
		Supporters:																				uage em of urde.	
Supporti lecturer	ing	SRI WAHYU W	'IDAYA	TI.																			
Week- eac stag		al abilities of h learning ge			Eva	aluatio	n				;	Lea Stude	rnin ent <i>A</i>	Ässig	thods	ts,		Learn mater	ials		sessme		
		ik DO)		icator Criteria				Form	orm Off				Online (online)			References]							

(3)

(2)

(4)

(6)

(8)

(7)

	T			1		
1	Definition, scope of language and literature learning research.	able to explain the meaning and scope of language and literature learning research.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
2	The difference between descriptive quantitative research, comparative quantitative research	able to identify the differences between descriptive quantitative research, comparative quantitative research	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
3	Research variables in literature learning research and language learning research.	able to explain research variables in literature learning research and language learning research.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
4	Basic assumptions and hypotheses in language and literature learning research.	able to explain basic assumptions and hypotheses in language and literature learning research.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
5	Research design	able to explain the research design	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		Ο%
6	Population and samples in language and literature learning research.	able to explain populations and samples in language and literature learning research.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		Ο%
7	Data and data sources in learning research.	able to explain data and data sources in learning research!	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%

9	Developing instruments and	able to explain	Criteria:	Contextual,		0%
	instruments and testing instruments	explain Preparing instruments and testing instruments	1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		
10	Data collection steps	able to explain the steps for collecting data	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
11	Data analysis steps.	able to explain the steps of data analysis.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
12	Draw a conclusion	able to draw conclusions	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		O%
13	Compiling Reports.	Able to prepare reports.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		O%
14	Prepare experimental proposals	Able to prepare experimental proposals	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
15	Prepare development proposals	Able to prepare development proposals	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Coperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.