



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Javanese Language and Literature Education Study Program

			5	SEN	1ES	STE	R L	EA	RΝ	IIN	IG	PI	L <b>A</b> l	N								
Courses			CODE			C	Course	e Fam	ily				Crec	lit We	eight		s	EMES	TER	R Co Da	mpila te	ation
Educational F	Research Method	s i	882020	3071									T=3	P=0	EC	TS=4.	77	6		Jul	y 17,	2024
AUTHORIZAT	TON	:	SP Dev	elope	r						Course Cluster Coordinator					Study Program Coordinator						
																		Latif		Наsaı И.Pd.	n, S.P	d.,
Learning model	Project Based Learning																					
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO study program that is charged to the course																					
	Program Objectives (PO)																					
	PLO-PO Matrix																					
	P.O																					
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																					
	PO Matrix at the end of each featiling stage (Sub-PO)																					
		P.O										Weel	k									
		1.0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10	1	1	12	13	14	Т	15	16	
Short Course Description	Study of the basic their application i learning in school assignments, pra	s linked ols by u	to the	factua scienc	al prep e and	aration techr	n of th ology	e des base	ign ar d on a	nd im	nple	ement	ation	as w	ell ă	s its a	oplicat	ion in	Java	anese	e lang	juage
References	Main :																					
	<ol> <li>Arikunto, Suharsimi. 1992. Prosedur Penelitian. Yogyakarta: Rineka Cipta.</li> <li>Sunarto. 2001. Metodologi Penelitian Ilmu-Ilmu Sosial &amp; Pendidikan . Surabaya: Unesa University Press.</li> <li>Ali, Muhamad. 1987. Penelitian Kependidikan Prosedur &amp; Strategi . Bandung: Angkasa.</li> <li>Branenn, Julia. 2002. Memadu Metode Penelitian Kualitatif dan Kuantitatif . Terjemahan H. Nuktah Artawie Kurde. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar .</li> <li>Moleong, Lexy J. 1994. Metode Penelitian Kualitatif . Bandung: Remaja Rosda Karya.</li> <li>Indarti, Titik. 2008. Penelitian Tindakan Kelas (PTK) dan Penulisan Ilmiah: Prinsip-Prinsip Dasar, Langkah-Langkah, dan Implementasinya . Surabaya: Lembaga Penerbitan FBS Unesa.</li> <li>Aqib, Zainal. 2007. Penelitian Tindakan Kelas . Bandung: Yrama Widya</li> <li>Sukardi. 2008. Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan . Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.</li> </ol>																					
	Supporters:																					
Supporting lecturer	Prof. Dr. Surana, S.S., M.Hum. Latif Nur Hasan, S.Pd., M.Pd.																					

	Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage		Evaluation	Lear Studer	lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	]			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	

1	Definition, scope	able to	Criteria:	Contextual,		0%
	of language and literature learning research.	explain the meaning and scope of language and literature learning research.	1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		
2	The difference between descriptive quantitative research, comparative quantitative research	able to identify the differences between descriptive quantitative research, comparative quantitative research	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
3	Research variables in literature learning research and language learning research.	able to explain research variables in literature learning research and language learning research.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
4	Basic assumptions and hypotheses in language and literature learning research.	able to explain basic assumptions and hypotheses in language and literature learning research.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
5	Research design	able to explain the research design	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
6	Population and samples in language and literature learning research.	able to explain populations and samples in language and literature learning research.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
7	Data and data sources in learning research.	able to explain data and data sources in learning research!	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria:  1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%)  2 UHS value (30%)  3 UTS value (20%)  4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%

9	Developing instruments and testing instruments	able to explain Preparing instruments and testing instruments	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
10	Data collection steps	able to explain the steps for collecting data	Criteria:  1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%)  2 UHS value (30%)  3 UTS value (20%)  4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
11	Data analysis steps.	able to explain the steps of data analysis.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
12	Draw a conclusion	able to draw conclusions	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
13	Compiling Reports.	Able to prepare reports.	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
14	Prepare experimental proposals	Able to prepare experimental proposals	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
15	Prepare development proposals	Able to prepare development proposals	Criteria: 1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%) 2 UHS value (30%) 3 UTS value (20%) 4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria:  1 Assignment value (30%), including structured assignments (10%), group assignments/presentations (10%), independent final assignments (10%)  2 UHS value (30%)  3 UTS value (20%)  4 Participation Value (20)	Contextual, direct learning, questions and answers, presentations and discussions, assignments. 3 X 50		0%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage	ľ
		0%	

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
  Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.