Docum



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Javanese Language and Literature Education Study Program

| | | | | | SE | MES | STER | LEAR | NING | PLA | .N | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|-----|---------------------------|---------------|----|---------------|
| Courses | CODE | | | (| Course Family | | | | | Credi | it Weight | | SEMESTER | 2 (| Compilation | | | |
| Javanese Semantics | 8820202129 | | | (| Compulsory Study Program Subjects | | | | | | T=2 | P=0 EC1 | S=3.18 | 4 | | luly 17, 2024 | | |
| AUTHORIZATION | | | SP Developer | | | | Course Cluster C | | | | uster Cod | oordinator | | | Study Program Coordinator | | | |
| | | | Drs. Suger | ng Adipito | /o, M.Si | | | | | | | | | | | | | san, S.Pd., N |
| earning model | Case Studies | | <u>l</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program Learning Outcomes (PLO) | PLO study pro | gram which is ch | arged to tl | ne cours | е | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-1 | Able to demonstra | trate religious, national and cultural values, as well as academic ethics in carrying out their duties | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-2 | Demonstrate the character of being tough, collaborative, adaptive, innovative, inclusive, lifelong learning and entrepreneurial spirit | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-5 | Mastering the theoretical concepts of Javanese language, literature, culture and research methods; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Program Objectives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | Utilizing science and technology to obtain, collect and process various types, relationships, changes and fields of meaning in order to improve semantic analysis skills and for application language learning at school. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 2 | Mastering theoretical concepts about types, relations, changes and fields of meaning and being able to formulate solutions to various problems in learning Javanese semantics at school manner. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 3 | Make strategic decisions based on information and data analysis, and provide guidance in choosing various alternative solutions in resolving and resolving problems in learning Javanes shoot. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 4 Have an attitude of responsibility for your own and group learning performance in solving various problems in learning Javanese semantics at school. PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PLO | 2.1 | PLO-2 | - 1 | PLO-5 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | P.O | PL | J-1 | PLU-2 | _ | PLU-5 ✓ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-1 PO-2 | - | | | _ | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-3 | | _ | | | -/ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at th | e end of each lea | arning stag | je (Sub-F | PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | P.O | Week | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | П | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| | | PO-1 | 1 | _ | - | | - | | | | | 10 | - | | | 10 | | 10 |
| | | PO-2 | | | 1 | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-3 | | | 1 | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | F0-4 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| Short Course Description | Understanding th | e essence, types, r | elationships | , changes | and fields of | word me | aning as we | ell as the ex | pression s | ystem in | Javanese. | | | | | | | |
| References | Main: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Aminudin, 1988. Semantik; Pengantar Studi tentang Makna . Bandung: Angkasa 2. Djajasudarma, Fatimah. 2009. Semantik 1-2. Jakarta: Refika Aditama 3. Frawley, William. 1992. Linguistic Semantics . New Jersey: Lawrenc 4. Lappin (ed.), Shalom. 1997. The Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory . Oxford: Blackwell publishers Ltd. 5. Leech, Geoffrey. 1974. Semantics Volume I-II . Cambridge LOP 7. Padmosoekotjo, S. 1953. Ngrengrengan Kasoesastran Djawi . Djogjakarta: Hien Ho Sien 8. Parera, Jos Daniel. 1998. Semantik. Jakarta: Gramedia 9. Pateda, Mansoer. 1988. Semantik Leksikal . Bandung: Angkasa 10. Rahyono, FX. 2012. Studi Makna . Jakarta: Penaku 11. Slametmuljana, 1959. Semantik. Djakarta: Djambatan 12. Sudaryanto, 1997. Diatesis dalam Bahasa Jawa . Jakarta Pusat Pembinaan dan Pengembangan Bahasa 13. Ullman, Stephen. 1977. Semantics: an Introduction to athe Science of Meaning . Oxford: Basil Blachwell | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supporting lecturer | Drs. Sugeng Adip Prof. Dr. Surana, | oitoyo, M.Si. S.S., M.Hum. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Week | Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | E | Evaluation | Help Learning, Learning methoc Student Assignme [Estimated time | Learning materials [References] | |
|------|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| | , | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (online) | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1 | Understand tebane pasinaon, satuwane (meaning, intent, lan werdi/meaning), lan jinise (lexical and grammatical) Javanese language semantics | Understand tebane pasinaon, satuwane (meaning, intent, lan werdi/meaning), lan jinise (lexical and grammatical) Javanese language semantics | Criteria: ContentsReasoningLanguage/dhiksiWriting system Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Classical & Individual/Disovery Learning/Scientific/Discussion, Questions and Answers, Performances, Assignments 2 X 50 | | Material: Understanding tebane pasinaon, satuwane (meaning, intent, lan werd/imeaning), lan jinise (lexical and grammatical) Javanese language semantics Library: Aminudin, 1988. Semantics; Introduction to the Study of Meaning. Bandung: Space |
| 2 | Understand the definition of language (affective, associative, descriptive, descriptive, descriptive, descriptive, entarfigurative/connotative, entarfigurative/connotative, reflective, grammatical, ideational, idiomatic, intentional, descriptive, cognitive and collocative) | Understand the meaning of words (affective, associative, descriptive, extensional, emotive, electriptive, extensional, emotive, reflective, grammatical, ideational, idiomatic, intentional, descriptive, cognitive and collocative) | Criteria: ContentsReasoningLanguage/dhiksiWriting system Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Classical & Individual/Inquiry/Scientific/Discussion, Question and Answer, Performance, Assignment 2 X 50 | | Material: Types of language (affective, associative, ciyut, descriptive, extension, emotive, entarfigurative/connotative, reflective, grammatical, ideational, idhiomatical, intention, jembar, cognitive, lanklocatif) Library: Djajasudarma, Fatimah. 2009. Semantics 1-2. Jakarta: Refika Aditama |

| 3 | Understand the meaning of language (conceptual, contextual, construction, lexical, pictorial, propositional, presuppositional, central, referential, stylistic, thematic, and wantah/straightforward/denotative) | Understand the meaning of language (conceptual, contextual, construction, lexical, pictorial, propositional, presuppositional, central, referential, stylistic, thematic, and wantah/straightforward/denotative) | Criteria: ContentsReasoningLanguage/dhiksiWriting system Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Classical & Individual/Problem Base Learning/Scientific/Discussion, Question and Answer, Performance, Assignment 2 X 50 | Material: Types of language (conceptual, contextual, construction, lexical, pictorial, propositional, presuppositional, central, referential, stylistic, thematic, and wantah/straightforward/denotat References: Frawley, William. 1992. Linguistic Semantics. Ne Jersey: Lawmence. | tive) |
|----|--|--|--|---|--|---------------------------|
| 4 | Understand synonyms (dasanama, kosokbalen, soranama/homonymy, soraswara/homophone, sora-aksara/homograph, polysemy, redundancy, ambiguity, hyponymy, taxonomy, complementarity, meronymy, and metonymy) | Understand synonyms (dasanama, kosokbalen, soranama/homophone, soranama/homophone, sora-aaksara/homophone, sora-dasara/homophone, sora-yaksara/homophone, sora-yaksara/homopho | Criteria: ContentsReasoningLanguage/dhiksiWriting system Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Classical & Individual/Disovery Learning/Scientific/Discussion, Questions and Answers, Performances, Assignments 2 X 50 | Material: Sesambungane tege: (dasanama, kosokbalen, soranama/homonymy, soraswara/homophone, sora- aksara/homograph, polysemy, redundancy, ambiguity, hyponymy, taxonomy, complementarity, meronymy, ai metonymy) Bibliography: Lappin (ed.), Shalom. 1997. The Handbook Contemporary Semantic Theor Oxford: Blackwell publishers Lin | and of ry. |
| 5 | Understand owah-owahane teges (surasane, region, drajat, and penganggone) | Understand owah-owahane teges (surasane, region, drajat, and penganggone) | Criteria: ContentsReasoningLanguage/dhiksiWriting system Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Classical & Individual/Inquiry/Scientific/Discussion, Question and Answer, Performance, Assignment 2 X 50 | Material: Owah-owahane tege: (surasane, region, drajat, lan penganggone) References: Leech, Geoffrey. 1974. Semantics. London: Penguin | |
| 6 | Understand semantic relations (paradhikmatic lhyponym; cohyponym, and hypernym; cohypernym; and syntagmatic (distribution and encapsulation)) | Understand semantic relations (paradhikmatic (hyponym; cotyponym, and hypernym; cotypenym) and syntagmatic (distribution and encapsulation)) | Criteria: ContentsReasoningLanguage/dhiksiWriting system Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Classical & Individual/Disovery Learning/Scientific/Discussion, Questions and Answers, Performances, Assignments 2 X 50 | Material: Semantic relations (paradhykmatic [hyporym; cohyponym, and hypernym; cohypernym] and syntagmatic (ldistribution and encapsulation) Bibliography: Leech, Geoffrey 1974, Semantics. London: Penguin |]) |
| 7 | Understand tebane pasinaon, satuwane (meaning, intent, lan werdi/meaning). Ian jinise (lexical and grammatical) Javanese language semantics | Understand tebane pasinaon, satuwane (meaning, intent, lan werdi/meaning), lan jinise (lexical and grammatical) Javanese language semantics | Criteria: ContentsReasoningLanguage/dhiksiWriting system Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Classical & Individual/Disovery Learning/Scientific/Discussion, Questions and Answers, Performances, Assignments 2 X 50 | Material: Understanding teban pasinaon, satuwane (meaning, intent, lan werd/meaning), lan jinise (lexical and grammatical) Javanese language semantics Reader: Lyons, John 1977. Semantics Volumes I-II. Cambridge: Cambridge UP | ,) |
| 8 | Understand lexical semantics. | Understand lexical semantics. | Criteria: ContentsReasoningLanguage/dhiksiWriting system Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment | Classical & Individual/Disovery Learning/Scientific/Discussion, Questions and Answers, Performances, Assignments 2 X 50 | Material: Lexical semantics Reference: Padmosoekotjo, S. 1953. Ngrengrengan Kasoesastran Djawi . Djogjakar Hien Ho Sien | |
| 9 | Understand Grammatical Semantics: Dhiathesis (Horn, Responsive, Baliangga, and Responsive fighting) | Understanding Grammatical Semantics: Dhiathesis (Horns, Responsiveness, Ballangga, and Fighting Response) | Criteria: Contents of the topicBasa/dhiksiReasoningPresentation Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment | Individual and Classical/Discovery Learning/Scientific/Question and Answer, Discussion, 2 X 50 | Material: Grammatical Semant Dhiathesis (horn, responsivene baliangga, and responsive fighting) References: Parera, Jos Danie 1998. Semantic Theory. Jakarts Gramedia | ess, iel. |
| 10 | Understand Grammatical Semantics: Form/Intent (Pakon, Takon, Pangarep-Arep, lan Pepindhan) | Understanding Grammatical Semantics: Form/intent (Pakon, Takon, Pangarep-Arep, and Pepindhan) | Criteria: Contents of the topicBasa/dhiksiReasoningPresentation Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Individual and Classical/Problem Base Learning/Scientific/Question and Answer, Discussion, 2 X 50 | Material: Grammatical Semant Form/intent (Pakon, Takon, Pangarep-Arep, lan Pepindhan References: Pateda, Mansoer. 1988, Lexical Semantics. Bandung: Space | 1) |
| 11 | Understand Grammatical Semantics: content/meaning (Progressive, Stative, Intensiveness, Extensiveness, Qualification, Quantification, and Frequency) | Understanding Grammatical Searndisc: content/meaning (Progressive, Stative, Intensiveness, Extensiveness, Qualification, Quantification, and Frequency) | Criteria: Contents of the topicBasa/dhiksiReasoningPresentation Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Individual and Classical/Inquiry/Scientific/Question and Answer, Discussion, 2 X 50 | Material: Grammatical Semant content/meaning (Progressive, Stative, Intensiveness, Extensiveness, Qualification, Quantification, and Frequency) References: Pateda, Mansoer. 1988, Lexical Semantics. Bandung: Space Material: Grammatical Semant |) r. |
| | | | | | Modhality (Intentional, Epistem Deontic, Dynamic, and Aletical) References: Rahyono, F.X. 20: Meaning Studies. Jakarta: My I | nic, I) 112. Pen |
| 12 | Understand Grammatical Semantics: Modhality (Intentional, Epistemic, Deontic, Dynamic, and Aletical) | Understanding Grammatical Semantics: Modhality (Intentional, Epistemic, Deontic, Dynamic, and Aletical) | Criteria: Contents of the topicBasa/dhiksiReasoningPresentation Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment | Individual and Classical/Discovery Learning/Scientific/Question and Answer, Discussion, 2 X 50 | Material: Grammatical Semant Modhality (Intentional, Epistem Deontic, Dynamic, and Aletical) References: Rahyono, FX. 20. Meaning Studies. Jakarta: My F | nic, I) 112. |
| 13 | Understand Grammatical Semantics: Dheiksis (Personal, Spatial, Temporal, Social and Discourse) | Understanding Grammatical Semantics: Dheiksis (Personal, Spatial, Temporal, Social and Discourse) | Criteria: Contents of the topicBasa/dhiksiReasoningPresentation Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Individual and Classical/Inquiry/Scientific/Question and Answer, Discussion, 2 X 50 | Material: Grammatical Semant Dheiksis (Personal, Spatial, Temporal, Social and Discours Library: Slametmuljana, 1959. Semantics. Djakarta: Dbridge | se) |
| 14 | Understand Grammatical Semantics: Aspectuality (Argumentative, Diminutive, Frequentative, Habituative, Imperfective, Inchoative, Inceptive, and Continuative) | Understanding Grammatical Semantics: Aspectuality (Argumentative, Dhiminutive, Frequentative, Habituative, Imperfective, Inchoative, Inceptive, and Continuative) | Criteria: Contents of the topicBasa/dhiksiReasoningPresentation Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Individual and Classical/Problem Base Learning/Scientific/Question and Answer, Discussion, 2 X 50 | Material: Grammatical Semant Aspectuality (Argumentative, Diminutive, Frequentative, Habituative, Imperfective, Inchoative, Inceptive, and Continuative) References: Sudaryanto, 1997 Diathesis in Javanese. Jakarta Center for Language Developm and Development | 7. I ment |
| | | | | | Material: Grammatical Semant Aspectuality (Momentary, Perfective, Permansive, Progressive, Repetitive, Simulfactive, Punctiliar, and Incomplete/Completive/Sessati References: Frawley, William. 1992. Linguistic Semantics. Ne Jersey: Lawence | tive) ew |
| 15 | Understand Grammatical Semantics: Aspectuality (Momentary, Perfective, Permansive, Progressive, Repetitive, Simulfactive, Punctilious, and Incompletive/Completive/Sessative) | Understanding Grammatical Sesenatics: Aspectuality (Momentary, Perfective, Permansive, Progressive, Repetitive, Simulfactive, Punctilious, and Incomplete/Completive/Sessative) | Criteria: Contents of the topicBasa/dhiksiReasoningPresentation | Individual and Classical/Discovery Learning/Scientific/Question and Answer, Discussion, 2 X 50 | Material: Grammatical Semant Aspectuality (Momentary, Perfective, Permansive, Progressive, Repetitive, Simulfactive, Punctiliar, and Incomplete/Completive/Sessati References: Pateda, Mansoer. 1988, Lexical Semantics. Bandung: Space | tive) |
| 16 | Understand Grammatical Semantics | Understanding Grammatical Semantics | Criteria: Contents of the topicBasa/dhiksiReasoningPresentation Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests | Individual and Classical/Discovery Learning/Scientific/Question and Answer, Discussion, 2 X 50 | Material: Grammatical Semant Reader: Rahyono, FX. 2012. Meaning Studies. Jakarta: My F | |

| Evaluation Fercentage Recap. Case Study | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No | Evaluation | Percentage | | | | | |
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 22.5% | | | | | |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 20% | | | | | |
| 3. | Portfolio Assessment | 45% | | | | | |
| 4. | Test | 12.5% | | | | | |
| | • | 10006 | | | | | |

- Notes

 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.

 2. The PLO imposed are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.

 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.

 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.

 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.

 - assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
 - Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
 - 7. 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent
 - Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutonal, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Suduo Practice, Workshop Practice, Practice, Response, Tutonal, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Suduo Practice, Workshop Practice, Response, Tutonal, Seminar or equivalent methods.
 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
 Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
 The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.