

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Japanese Language Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

UNES		Japane	se	Langua	ge Edi	ucation	1 Ui	nderg	rac	duate St	udy Pr	ogram	
				SEI	MEST	ER LI	ΕAI	RNIN	IG	PLAN			
Courses				CODE		Cours	se Fai	mily		Credit Weig	ht	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Shokyu [Dokkai			882050225	2	Comp	ulsory	/ Study		T=2 P=0 I	ECTS=3.18	1	July 17, 202
AUTHOR	RIZATIO	DN		SP Develop	per	- 1 10gH	 		ours	e Cluster Co	ordinator	Study Progr	am Coordinato
				Amira A. Ko	ocimaheni,	S.Pd., M.F	Pd.	R	usmi	yati, S.Pd., M	.Pd.	Rusmiyati	, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Learning model	ı C	Case Studies											
Program	n P	PLO study prog	ıram	n which is ch	narged to	the cours	se						
Learning Outcom (PLO)		PLO-8 Able to plan, implement and evaluate Japanese language learning, linguistics, educational science and research oriented towards process standards using science and technology-based Japanese language learning resources and learning media.											
	Р	PLO-14 Mastering basic language concepts, language learning, language skills, language research and Japanese language education.											
	P	Program Objectives (PO)											
	P	PO - 1 Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help solve linguistic problems through knowledge and understanding of linguistics											
	Р	PLO-PO Matrix											
			_										
				P.O	Р	LO-8	-	PLO-14					
				PO-1									
	Р	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)											
				P.O						Week	· · · · · · ·		
					1 2	3 4	5	6 7	8	9 10	11 12	13 14	15 16
			LF	PO-1	<u> </u>								
Short Course Descript	tion so	nitial provision to chedules (mean: f interesting the formation to inderstanding va ctivities in the fo	s of t mes creas rious	transportation) to lay the fou se comprehen basic level Ja), annound Indation fo Ision abilit apanese s	ements. In or the comp ies which entence pa	stilling betend includ tterns	the imp by 1C to les unde in line w	ression read rstan	on that learning correctly and ding words, p	ng to read 1 I well 1D is ohrases, se	.C is fun 1D th the main targ ntences as w	rough the choicet. Absorption of et. Absorption of ell as training
Referen	ces N	/lain :											
		Gaikokug 2. Hiroko, It	o Se o dkl	kugo Senmon enmon Gakkou k. 1992. Yomi o dkk. 2000. Mi	ı. e no Chou	ısen . Chiyo	oda: K	uroshio.		ŕ	· ·	•	i . Tokyo: Bunk
	S	Supporters:											
Support lecturer	J D	or. Ina Ika Pratita Didik Nurhadi, M. Imira Agustin Ko	Pd.,	M.A., Ph.D.	1.Pd.								
Week-	each stage			Evaluation			Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [References	Assessmer Weight (%			
	(Sub-	PO)		Indicator	Crite	ria & Form		Offline) (Online (online)	J	3 (//

Offline (offline)

(5)

(6)

(8)

(7)

(4)

(3)

(1)

(2)

1	1.1. Understand	1.Explain the	Criteria:	Peer	Material:	2%
_	the content of	content of	1.The assessment is	teaching,	themes 1-2	2,0
	the related	the reading	carried out on the	Discussion	References:	
	theme reading	in each	following aspects:	Discussion,	Makino, Akiko	
	well.	learner's	2.1. Participation	question	et al. 2000.	
	2,2. Get	language.	during lectures,	and answer	Minna no	
	information	2.Mention	attendance,	Presentation	Nihongo I:	
	from the	the	activeness is	2 X 50	Shokyuu de	
	reading	information	carried out through		Yomeru My Topic 25.	
	regarding the	in the	observation		Tokyo: 3A	
	themes and	reading.	(weight 2)		Corporation.	
	messages	Skilled in	3.2. Assignment			
	conveyed	making	grades, weekly			
	through the	conclusions	exam grades, and			
	sentences and	about	presentations are			
	expressions	reading	averaged into a			
	used.	content	weighted			
	3.3. summarize	from	assignment grade (3)			
	the content of	related themes	4.3. The			
	the reading in simple	well and	subsummative test			
	language and	smoothly.	(UTS) is carried			
	expressions but	Sillootilly.	out once			
	cover the		assessing all			
	overall content		relevant indicators			
	well.		through a written			
			exam given a			
			weight (2)			
			5.4. Summative			
			tests (UAS) are			
			carried out to			
			measure several			
			indicators that have been			
			selected			
			proportionally,			
			given weights (3)			
			6.The final NA is			
			(participation value			
			x2) (assignment			
			value x 3) (UTS			
			value x 2) UAS			
			value (3) divided			
			by 10			
			Form of Assessment :			
			Participatory Activities			

	-		1	ı	1		
2	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2. 1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3. 2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4. 3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5. 4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6. The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	problem based learning, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer presentation 2 X 50		Material: themes 1-2 Bibliography: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakko edt. 2005. Tanoshiku Yomou I Bunka Shokyu Nihongo Dokkai Kyouzai . Tokyo: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakkou. Material: themes 3-4 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation.	8%

	T		1		,		
3	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4.3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5.4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	problem based learning, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer presentation 2 X 50	the Bi Bu Ga Ga 20 Ta Yo Bu Ni Do Ky Ta Ga Ga Ga Ga Ga Mi the Mi the Mi Si Yo Ta Mi Ta	laterial: lemes 3-4 ibliography: unka alkokugo enmon akko edt. 2005. anoshiku omou I unka Shokyu iihongo okkai youzai . okyo: Bunka alkokugo enmon akkou. laterial: lemes 5-6 eferences: lakino, Akiko e al. 2000. iinna no iihongo I: hokyuu de omeru My opic 25. okyo: 3A orporation.	5%
			no.pato. y / tonvinos	L			

	1		T	1	1	1	1
4	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4.3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5.4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6. The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	problem based learning, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer presentation 2 X 50		Material: themes 3-4 Bibliography: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakko edt. 2005. Tanoshiku Yomou I Bunka Shokyu Nihongo Dokkai Kyouzai Tokyo: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakkou. Material: themes 5-6 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation. Material: theme 7 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation.	6%

	 		T	Г	<u></u>		
5	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4.3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5.4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6. The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	problem based learning, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer presentation 2 X 50		Material: theme 8 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation.	5%

			T	1		
7	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4.3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5.4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6. The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	problem based learning, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion question and answer presentation 2 X 50	Material: theme 10 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation. Material: theme 6 Bibliography: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakko edt. 2005. Tanoshiku Yomou I Bunka Shokyu Nihongo Dokkai Kyouzai Tokyo: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakko edt.	5%
8	1.able to understand reading content in Japanese 2.able to complete Japanese reading correctly 3.able to describe the content of reading in Japanese in the student's own words	Students can solve the problem of the message conveyed by the reading author	Criteria: test instrument assessment indicators Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	UTS 2 X 50	Material: themes 1-10 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation. Material: 1-5 Bibliography: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakko edt. 2005. Tanoshiku Yomou I Bunka Shokyu Nihongo Dokkai Kyouzai . Tokyo: Bunka Gaikokugo	20%

r	, ,		T	1	T	,	
9	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1.The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4.3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5.4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6.The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	case method, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer Presentation 2 X 50		Material: themes 11-12 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation.	4%

	T T		T	ı	T		
10	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1.The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4.3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5.4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6.The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	case method, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer Presentation 2 X 50		Material: themes 13-14 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation.	5%

	,		1		,		
11	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2. 1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3. 2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4. 3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5. 4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6. The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	case method, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer Presentation 2 X 50	tt F A A S S S Y 7 7 C C	Material: hemes 15-16 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Wihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation. Material: heme 5 References: Hiroko, Ito et al. 1992. Yomi e no Chousen Chiyoda: Kuroshio.	5%

	, .		1	ı	1		
13	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1.The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4.3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5.4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6.The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	case method, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer Presentation 2 X 50		Material: themes 19-20 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation. Material: theme 10 References: Hiroko, Ito et al. 1992. Yomi e no Chousen . Chiyoda: Kuroshio.	5%

	<u> </u>		T	Т	T	T	
14	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4.3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5.4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6. The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	case method, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer Presentation 2 X 50		Material: themes 21-22 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation. Material: theme 12 Bibliography: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakko edt. 2005. Tanoshiku Yomou I Bunka Shokyu Nihongo Dokkai Kyouzai . Tokyo: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakko edt.	5%

	T -					
15	1.1. Understand the content of the related theme reading well. 2.2. Get information from the reading regarding the themes and messages conveyed through the sentences and expressions used. 3.3. summarize the content of the reading in simple language and expressions but cover the overall content well.	1.Explain the content of the reading in each learner's language. 2.Mention the information in the reading. 3.skilled at making conclusions about reading content from related themes well and smoothly.	Criteria: 1.The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, attendance, activeness is carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. Assignment grades, weekly exam grades, and presentations are averaged into a weighted assignment grade (3) 4.3. The subsummative test (UTS) is carried out once assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam given a weight (2) 5.4. Summative tests (UAS) are carried out to measure several indicators that have been selected proportionally, given weights (3) 6. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	case method, Peer teaching, Discussion Discussion, question and answer Presentation 2 X 50	Material: theme 12 Bibliography: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakko edt. 2005. Tanoshiku Yomou I Bunka Shokyu Nihongo Dokkai Kyouzai . Tokyo: Bunka Gaikokugo Senmon Gakkou. Material: themes 23-25 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation.	5%
16	1.able to understand reading content in Japanese 2.able to retell the contents of the reading in his own language 3.able to find the main idea, message from the reading	UAS	Criteria: UAS Form of Assessment : Test	UAS 2 X 50	Material: themes 15-25 References: Makino, Akiko et al. 2000. Minna no Nihongo I: Shokyuu de Yomeru My Topic 25. Tokyo: 3A Corporation.	10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	58.5%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	10%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	7.5%
4.	Practice / Performance	14%
5.	Test	10%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.

- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.