

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Japanese Language Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			col	DE			0	Course	e Family	у	Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Microteachin	g		8820502296						T=0 P=0 ECTS=0			6	July 18, 2024		
AUTHORIZA	TION						Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Progr Coordinator	am				
								Rusr			Rusmiyati,	S.Pd., M.Pd.			
Learning model	Project Based	oject Based Learning													
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course														
Learning Outcomes	PLO-5 Have good values, morals, ethics and personality in completing his duties														
(PLO)	PLO-8														
	PLO-11	PLO-11 Able to analyze and apply theories, concepts, approaches in learning Japanese; and produce innovative learning designs for Japanese language learning.													
	PLO-14 Mastering basic language concepts, language learning, language skills, language research and Japanese language education.														
	Program Objectives (PO)														
	PLO-PO Matri	PLO-PO Matrix													
				P.O			PLO-			LO-8		PL	0-11	PLO-14	
	PO Matrix at t	he er	nd of	each	1 lear	ning s	stag	e (Sub	9-PO)						
		P.O					١	Week							
				1	2	3	4	5 6	6 7	8	9 1	10	11 12	13 14	15 16
Short Course Description	Discussion abo includes making of scenarios tha microteaching.	a Le	arnin	g Imp	lemei	ntation	Plan	(RPP)	along v	with tea	ching	mater	ials and m	edia, carrying	out simúlations
References	Main :														
	 Ghazali, Syukur (2010). Pembelajaran Keterampilan Berbahasa dengan pendeka komunikatif-interaktif . Bandung: Refika Aditama Johnson, Elaine B (2007). Contextual Teaching & Learning: menjadikan Kegiatan Ber Mengajar Mengasikkan dan Bermakna . Bandung: MLC Kunandar (2013). PENILAIAN AUTENTIK (Penilaian Hasil Belajar Peserta E berdasarkan Kurikulum 2013) . Depok: Rajagrafindo Persada, PT. Sanjaya, Wina (2012). Perencanaan dan Desain Sistem Pembelajaran , Jakarta: Kend Prenada Media Group Susanto (2010). Silabus dan RPP . Surabaya: FBS UNESA 								atan Belajar serta Didik						
	Supporters:														
			1												

Support lecturer	Dra. Yovinza Be Dra. Parastuti, N Dr. Mintarsih, S Dr. Roni, M.Hur Amira Agustin K Joko Prasetyo, Rusmiyati, S.Pd	.S., M.Pd. n., M.A. (ocimaheni, S.Pd S.Pd., M.Pd.	wakan, M.Pd. ., M.Pd.				
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	E	valuation	Learn Studen	p Learning, ing methods, t Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials	Assessmen Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	References]	weight (%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand the 2013 curriculum (K13) and KTSP curriculum	Able to explain the 2013 curriculum (K13) and KTSP curriculum	 Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Lectures and discussions 2 X 50			0%

2	Understand the 2013 curriculum (K13) and KTSP curriculum	Able to explain the 2013 curriculum (K13) and KTSP curriculum	 Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Lectures and discussions 2 X 50		0%
3	Understand lesson plans, assessments, learning media, teaching materials	Able to explain and create lesson plans, assessments, learning media, teaching materials	 Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Lectures and discussions 2 X 50		0%

4	Understand lesson plans, assessments, learning media, teaching materials	Able to explain and create lesson plans, assessments, learning media, teaching materials	 Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Lectures and discussions 2 X 50		0%
5	Understand lesson plans, assessments, learning media, teaching materials	Able to explain and create lesson plans, assessments, learning media, teaching materials	 Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Lectures and discussions 2 X 50		0%

			1		1	
6	Mastering Japanese Ianguage learning	Able to carry out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10	Simulation and discussion 2 X 50		0%
7	Mastering Japanese language learning	Able to carry out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	 Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Simulation and discussion 2 X 50		0%

			1		1	
8	Mastering Japanese language learning	Able to carry out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10	Simulation and discussion 2 X 50		0%
9	Mastering Japanese language learning	Able to carry out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x3) (UTS value (3) divided by 10	Simulation and discussion 2 X 50		0%

10	Mastering	Able to carry	Criteria:	Simulation		0%
	Japanese language learning	out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	 The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: Participation during lectures (weight 2) Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	and discussion 2 X 50		
11	Mastering Japanese language learning	Able to carry out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	 Criteria: The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: Participation during lectures (weight 2) Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Simulation and discussion 2 X 50		0%

12	Mastering Japanese language learning	Able to carry out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	 Criteria: The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: Participation during lectures (weight 2) Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Simulation and discussion 2 X 50		0%
13	Mastering Japanese language learning	Able to carry out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	 Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Simulation and discussion 2 X 50		0%

14	Mastering Japanese language learning	Able to carry out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	 Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Simulation and discussion 2 X 50		0%
15	Mastering Japanese language learning	Able to carry out micro teaching and introspection of micro teaching content	 Criteria: 1. The assessment is carried out on the following aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures (weight 2) 3.2. Create a complete lesson plan (weight 3) 4.3. Opinion on the RPP presented by the group, substitute for UTS (weight 2) 5.4. Teaching practice using the complete RPP that he has made, as a substitute for UAS (weight 3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 	Simulation and discussion 2 X 50		0%
16						0%

 Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

 No
 Evaluation

 Percentage

 0%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.