Document Code

(8)

(7)



(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Japanese Language Education Undergraduate Study Program

ONES	`													
SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN														
Courses				CODE	Course F	amily	nily		Credit Weight		SEME	STER	Comp Date	ilation
Innovativ	Innovative Learning Planning			8820502310				T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18		4	July 18	8, 2024
AUTHOR	AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer	•		Course C	Cluste	Coord	dinator		Study Program Coordinator		
								Rusmiyati, S.Pd., M.Pd.						
Learning model		Case Studies									-			
Program		PLO study prog	gram	which is charged to t	he course									
Learning		Program Objectives (PO)												
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix												
			P.O											
		PO Matrix at the	e end	of each learning stag	ge (Sub-PO))								
			F	P.O			Week							
				1 2 3	4 5	6 7	8 9	10	11	12	13 1	L4 :	15 1	L6
Short Course Descript	tion	innovative learning knowledge and sk	ng mo kills in	g will guarantee success odels in preparing Learn developing innovative le ng, stages of learning pla	ning Implema arning plans	entation F . The knov	Plans (RPF vledge and	P). The I skills	erefore, that pro	, prospectivospectivos Espective te	e teach achers	ners m must le	ust hav	e aood
Reference	ces	s Main:												
		 Al-Tabany, Trianto Ibnu Badar. 2015. Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif, Progresif, dan Kontekstual. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group Amri, Sofan. 2013. Pengemabangan & Model Pembelajaran dalam Kurikulum 2013. Jakarta: Prestasi Pustaka Djamarah, Syaiful Bahri dan Zain, Aswan. 2006. Strategi Belajar Mengajar. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta Jaya, Farida. 2019. Perencanaan Pembelajaran. UIN Sumatera Utara. Jonson, Elaine B. 2007. Contextual Teaching & Learning: Menjadikan Kegiatan Belajar Mengajar Mengasyikkan dan Bermakna. Bandung: MLC Joyce, Bruce dkk. 2009. Models of Teaching. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar. Ratumanan dan Rosmiati, Imas. 2018. Perencanaan Pembelajaran. Jakarta: Rajawali Press Sanjaya, Wina. 2006. Strategi Pembelajaran Berorientasi Standar Proses Pendidikan. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group 												
		Supporters:												
Supporti lecturer	ing	Amira Agustin Kocimaheni, S.Pd., M.Pd.												
Week- ead sta		ıĥ-PO)		Evaluation	0.5	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Optime (optime) Optime (optime)								
			100	dicator Critoria	x. Earm	I Ottline	Offline \	_ ^	nlino /	online \		4		

1	Students Master	Students can	Criteria:	Through a		0%
-	the Basic Concepts of Learning System Development	classify learning variables	1.Concepts assessed: 2.Basic Concepts of Curriculum & Learning Development. If answered correctly for each question, the maximum score obtained is 20.	problem based learning model using lecture methods, questions and answers, and individual structured assignments 2 X 50		070
2	Students can master the basic concepts of learning system planning	Mastering Learning System Planning	Criteria: 1.Concept assessed: Learning System Planning 2.If each question is answered correctly, the maximum score obtained is 25.	Collaborative Learning Learning Model with Question and Answer, Assignment and Discussion methods. 2 X 50		0%
3	Students can master the basic concepts of learning system planning	Mastering Learning System Planning	Criteria: 1.Concept assessed: Learning System Planning 2.If each question is answered correctly, the maximum score obtained is 25.	Collaborative Learning Learning Model with Question and Answer, Assignment and Discussion methods. 2 X 50		0%
4	Students can master the basic concepts of learning system planning	Mastering Learning System Planning	Criteria: 1.Concept assessed: Learning System Planning 2.If each question is answered correctly, the maximum score obtained is 25.	Collaborative Learning Learning Model with Question and Answer, Assignment and Discussion methods. 2 X 50		0%
5	Mastering the conceptual characteristics of instructional development models.	Compare and provide an assessment of instructional development models put forward by experts.	Criteria: If each question is answered correctly, the maximum score obtained is 25.	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussion, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 2 X 50		0%
6	Mastering the characteristics of the concept of instructional development models developing in Indonesia.	Identifying and reconstructing innovative learning development models.	Criteria: If each question is answered correctly, the maximum score obtained is 50	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussion, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 2 X 50		0%
7	Mastering the characteristics of the concept of instructional development models developing in Indonesia.	Identifying and reconstructing innovative learning development models.	Criteria: If each question is answered correctly, the maximum score obtained is 50	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussion, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 2 X 50		0%

•	Midtorm Evom		a.ii.			201
8	Midterm Exam (UTS)		Criteria: 1.The assessments	2 X 50		0%
	(* -)		carried out on	2 X 30		
			aspects of			
			attitude,			
			knowledge and			
			skills are as			
			follows:			
			2.Participation during lectures			
			through			
			observation			
			(weight 2)			
			Assignments are			
			accessed through			
			presentations and			
			reports on the			
			results of group discussions, and			
			individual case			
			study reports.			
			Values are			
			averaged and			
			weighted (3)			
			4.Subsummative			
			tests are carried out to access all			
			indicators during			
			the half semester			
			through written			
			exams and case			
			analysis			
			assignments.			
			Scores are			
			averaged and weighted (2))			
			5.Summative tests			
			are carried out			
			simultaneously			
			according to the			
			schedule. The			
			exam is carried			
			out in writing and			
			given weightage			
			(3). 6.The final score			
			(NA) is			
			(participation			
			score x2)			
			(assignment			
			score x 3) (UTS			
			score x 2) UAS			
			score (3) divided			
			by 10			
9	Mastering the	Identify and	Criteria:	CTL		0%
	techniques for preparing and	develop a Japanese	1.Participation	2 X 50		U-70
	preparing and	Japanėse	during lectures			
	developing competency-based	language learning plan	and discussions			
	competency-based learning activity	.ca.riiig piair	is carried out			
	planning.		through			
			observation			
			(weight 2)			
			2.Sub-summative			
			test, written exam			
			and weighted (2) 3.Average			
			assessment of			
			student			
			performance,			
			weight (3)			
			4.UAS scores,			
			weighted (3)			

10	Mastering	Prepare	Criteria:	Contovitual		0%
10	techniques for compiling competency-based syllabi and Learning Implementation Plans (RPP).	syllabus and lesson plans that are relevant to the Japanese language learning curriculum	1.Participation during lectures and discussions is carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.Sub-summative test, written exam and weighted (2) 3.Average assessment of student performance, weight (3) 4.UAS scores, weighted (3)	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussion, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 2 X 50		U 70
11	Mastering techniques for compiling competency-based syllabi and Learning Implementation Plans (RPP).	Prepare syllabus and lesson plans that are relevant to the Japanese language learning curriculum	Criteria: 1.Participation during lectures and discussions is carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.Sub-summative test, written exam and weighted (2) 3.Average assessment of student performance, weight (3) 4.UAS scores, weighted (3)	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussion, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 2 X 50		0%
12	Mastering techniques for compiling competency-based syllabi and Learning Implementation Plans (RPP).	Prepare syllabus and lesson plans that are relevant to the Japanese language learning curriculum	Criteria: 1.Participation during lectures and discussions is carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.Sub-summative test, written exam and weighted (2) 3.Average assessment of student performance, weight (3) 4.UAS scores, weighted (3)	Contextual, Direct/Cooperative Learning, Questions and Answers, Discussion, Exercises, Presentation Assignments 2 X 50		0%
13	Mastering techniques for preparing enrichment and remedial programs.	Designing enrichment programs and remedial programs in Japanese language learning		2 X 50		0%
14	Master the practice of teaching Japanese	Teaching practice is based on the RPP that has been prepared		2 X 50		0%
15	Master the practice of teaching Japanese	Teaching practice is based on the RPP that has been prepared		2 X 50		0%

16	Final Semester	Criteria:			0%
10	Examination (UAS)	1.The assessments	2 X 50		U%0
	, ,	carried out on	2 1 00		
		aspects of			
		attitude,			
		knowledge and			
		skills are as			
		follows:			
		2.Participation			
		during lectures			
		through			
		observation			
		(weight 2)			
		3.Assignments are			
		accessed through			
		presentations and			
		reports on the			
		results of group			
		discussions, and			
		individual case			
		study reports.			
		Values are			
		averaged and weighted (3)			
		4.Subsummative			
		tests are carried			
		out to access all			
		indicators during			
		the half semester			
		through written			
		exams and case			
		analysis			
		assignments.			
		Scores are			
		averaged and			
		weighted (2))			
		5.Summative tests			
		are carried out			
		simultaneously			
		according to the schedule. The			
		exam is carried			
		out in writing and			
		given weightage			
		(3).			
		6.The final score			
		(NA) is			
		(participation			
		score x2)			
		(assignment			
		score x 3) (UTS			
		score x 2) UAS			
		score (3) divided			
		by 10			

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

Lva	idation i cit	cinage nece	Ψ.	Cusc	311
No	Evaluation	Percentage			
		006			

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.