

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Bachelor of Information Systems Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

| | | | | I | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
|---|--------|---|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------------|--------|---------|--------|-----|
| Courses Software engineering | | | CODE | | | Course Family | | | Cre | Credit Weight | | | SEM | ESTER | | Co Da | mpila te | ation | | | |
| Software | engine | ering | | 5720103046 | | | | | | | T=3 | P=0 | ECTS | 6=4.77 | | 3 | | Jul | y 17, | 2024 | ŀ |
| AUTHOR | IZATIO | N | | SP Develope | er | | | | | Cours | e Clu | ster C | oordin | ator | Stud | y Prog | ram Co | ordi | nator | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | l Kad | dek Dw | i Nuryaı | .na, S | 5.T., N | 1.Kon | n. |
| Learning model | | Project Based L | earnin | g | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | 1 | PLO study prog | gram t | hat is charge | d to tl | ne cours | se | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning | | PLO-24 | Maste | ering concepts | and sk | ills in cor | nputer | r progr | ammi | ng lang | uages | s; | | | | | | | | | |
| (PLO) | | PLO-24 Mastering concepts and skills in computer programming languages; PLO-29 Able to apply knowledge in the fields of computing, computer networks and programming in accordance with scientific disciplines; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Program Object | tives (| (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO - 1 | Able t | o utilize ICT in | engine | ering sof | ftware | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO - 2 | | to make strate are both individ | | | | | | | | | desigr | ı syster | ms, de | esign a | nd deve | elop | syste | ems ir | nto |
| | | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | P.0 | P | LO-24 | PLO-29 | | 0-29 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | PO-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | PO-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO Matrix at th | e end | of each learn | ing st | age (Su | ıb-PO |) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | P.0 | | | | | | 1 - 1 | | Week | | | 10 | 10 | | 45 | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 1 | 16 | |
| | | | | 0-1 | | _ | | - | | | | | | | | - | | ├ | _ | | |
| | | | PC | 0-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Co | | This Software E | aginoo | | ovidoo | ooftwor | 0.000 | incorin | | aconto | ooftu | oro p | roigot | | mont | Voriou | o coftu | voro | dovol | lonm | ont |
| Descript | | This Software E methodologies, s design (Display V | oftware | e requirements | analys | sis, syste | em pri | inciples | s and | modeli | ng wi | th DFI | D, crea | ating da | itabase | e syste | ms usir | ng Ef | RD, ii | nterfa | ice |
| Reference | ces | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Sommer Siahaan, Insap Sa Kendall, | /ille, I., Daniel ntoso, dan Ke | ., Software Engi Software Engi I., Analisa Kebu 2009, Interaksi Indall, 2003, Ar , S.Kom, 2004, | neering utuhan Manus nalisis d | y 8th Edit Dalam R sia dan K lan Pera | tion, A tekaya tompu ncang | ddison asa Pei iter, An jan Sis | i-Wes rangk idi Of tem J | iley, 200 at Luna fset, Yo lilid 1, P |)7. k, AN gyaka renha | DI, Yo rta. | gyakar | ta, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| | | Supporters: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supporting lecturer Ardhini Warih Utami, S. Bonda Sisephaputra, M Martini Dwi Endah Susa | | | | Kom. | Kom. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Week- | | abilities of learning stage PO) | | Evaluation | | | | | Offli | Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] | | | ne) | Learning materials [References] | | | Asse: Weig | | | | |
| (1) | | (2) | | (2) | | | | | offli | ne) | | | - | | | | | | (0) | | |
| (1) | | (2) | | (3) | | (4) | | | (5 | 7 | | | (6) | | | (7) | 1 | | | (8) | |

| 1 | Understand engineering concepts in software. | Explain the meaning of engineering and engineering in software Explaining the benefits in Software Engineering (RPL) Explain the engineering objectives of software Provide examples of the relationship between RPL and other sciences | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | lecture, discussion, Q&A, Presentation 3 X 50 | lecture, discussion, Q&A, Presentation 3 X 50 | Material: engineering concepts in software Library : Insap Santoso, 2009, Human and Computer Interaction, Andi Offset, Yogyakarta. | 0% |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| 2 | Analyzing software development models | Describe the prototype development model and its stages. Describe the RAD development model and its stages Describe the spiral development model and its stages Describe the spiral development model and its stages Mention the advantages and benefits of each development model Identify the development model and model stages from the case study | Criteria: 1. Participation = 20% 2. Tasks = 30% 3. UTS = 20% 4. UAS = 30% 5. NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Lectures, discussions, presentations, questions and answers 3 X 50 | Lectures, discussions, presentations, questions and answers 3 X 50 | Material: Software development models References: Siahaan, Daniel., Requirements Analysis in Software Engineering, ANDI, Yogyakarta, 2012 | 0% |
| 3 | Understand the concept of project management and software project management | Explain the meaning of project management and software project management Defining software project management Defining software project management boundaries (MPPL) Explain the differences between software project development and other projects Defining the stages in MPPL Explain the need for good planning, monitoring and control in MPPL Name PL project stakeholders | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Discussion, Presentation 3 X 50 | Discussion, Presentation 3 X 50 | Material: project management concepts and software project management Reader: Pressman, RS, Software Engineering: A Practitioner 19s Approach, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill, 2008 | 0% |

| 4 | Understand software requirements and software requirements analysis techniques | Explain software requirements. Mention software requirements analysis techniques Explains needs analysis techniques using questionnaire surveys Explaining needs analysis techniques using interviews Explains needs analysis techniques using observation Explains requirements analysis techniques using document analysis | | Presentation, Discussion, Exercise 3 X 50 | Presentation, Discussion, Exercise 3 X 50 | Material: software requirements analysis techniques References: | 0% |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|----|
| 5 | Understand the concept of system modeling | Explain the meaning of system modeling. Explain the purpose of system modeling Explain the various types of system modeling Explain the various types of system modeling Explain the concept of use case system modeling Explains the concept of DFD system modeling | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Presentation, Discussion, Exercise 3 X 50 | Presentation, Discussion, Exercise 3 X 50 | Material: system modeling concepts References: Kendall, and Kendall, 2003, System Analysis and Design Volume 1, Prenhallindo, Jakarta | 0% |
| 6 | Understanding Database Concepts | Explain the basic concepts of databases and database systems. Explain the components of a database system. Explain the advantages and disadvantages of database systems. Explain the purpose of database design. Explain the concept of database design. Explain the concept of Entity Relational Diagram (ERD) | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Presentation, Discussion, Exercise 3 X 50 | Presentation, Discussion, Exercise 3 X 50 | Material: Database Concepts References: Marlinda, Linda, S.Kom, 2004, Database Systems, Andi Offset, Yogyakarta. | 0% |

| 7 | Understand the concept of software interface design | Explain the concept of interface design. Mention the principles of user interface. Explain design documentation. Explain the application program categories. Explains design using various approaches. Mention the interface components. Mention the sequence of dialogue design. Explains text- based design | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Presentation, Discussion, Exercise 3 X 50 | Presentation, Discussion, Exercise 3 X 50 | Material: software interface design concept Reference: Insap Santoso, 2009, Human and Computer Interaction, Andi Offset, Yogyakarta. | 0% |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|----|
| 8 | Students can analyze the RPL stages based on case studies of UTS questions | Students can define the concept of RPL Students can mention RPL development models Students can mention the concept of project management Students can analyze RPL needs based on UTS question cases Students can design a DFD system based on UTS question cases Students can design a DFD system based on UTS question cases Students can design a ERD system based on UTS question cases Students can design LKT systems based on UTS question cases | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Exercise 1 X 50 | Exercise 1 X 50 | Material: RPL stage analysis Reference: Siahaan, Daniel., Needs Analysis in Software Engineering, ANDI, Yogyakarta, 2012 | 0% |
| 9 | Skilled in software requirements (PL) specifications | Identifying PL functional needs. Identify non- functional OT needs. Identify user requirements. Identify system requirements. Identify interface requirements. Identifying documentation requirements | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Exercises, Discussions, Presentations 3 X 50 | Exercises, Discussions, Presentations 3 X 50 | Material: software requirements specifications References: <i>Sommerville, I.,</i> <i>Software Engineering</i> 8th Edition, Addison- Wesley, 2007. | 0% |

| 10 | Skilled in system modeling with DFD (Data Flow Diagram) | Create a context level/level 0 DFD along with its data flow using power designer software. Create a level 1 DFD along with all processes and data flows using power designer software. Create a level 2 DFD from a process/more and its data flow using power designer software. Develop character behavior, including: honesty, thoroughness, and responsibility in designing systems | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Exercises, Discussions, Presentations 3 X 50 | Exercises, Discussions, Presentations 3 X 50 | Material: DFD (Data Flow Diagram) Library: Sommerville, I., Software Engineering 8th Edition, Addison- Wesley, 2007. | 0% |
|----|---|---|--|---|---|--|----|
| 11 | Skilled in Entity relational diagram (ERD) modeling | Create a Conceptual Data Model (CDM) using power designer. Create entities and fill in the attributes of each entity using power designer. Create relationships between tables/entities and determine cardinality between entities/tables Create a Physical Data Model (PDM) by generating it from CDM Develop character behavior, including: honesty, thoroughness, and responsibility C. | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2XP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Exercises, Discussions, Presentations 3 X 50 | Exercises, Discussions, Presentations 3 X 50 | Material: Entity relational diagram (ERD) Bibliography: Pressman, RS, Software Engineering: A Practitioner 19s Approach, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill, 2008 | 0% |
| 12 | Skilled in interface design | Create an interface design according to the number of processes in DFD modeling on the Display Worksheet (LKT). Creating semantic nets. Implementing interfaces in developer programs | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2XP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Discussion Practice, Presentation 3 X 50 | Discussion Practice, Presentation 3 X 50 | Material: interface design Reference: Insap Santoso, 2009, Human and Computer Interaction, Andi Offset, Yogyakarta. | 0% |

| 13 | Skilled in creating RPL applications/programs | Create a database with the SQL Server tool from the PDM power designer generated results. Relate interface design to SQL Server database. Create an RPL project program | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Exercise 9 X 50 | Exercise 9 X 50 | Material: creating RPL applications/programs Reader: Pressman, RS, Software Engineering: A Practitioner 19s Approach, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill, 2008 | 0% |
|----|---|---|--|--------------------|--------------------|--|----|
| 14 | Skilled in creating RPL program applications | | | Exercise 9 X 50 | Exercise 9 X 50 | Material: creating an RPL program application Reader: Pressman, RS, Software Engineering: A Practitioner 19s Approach, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill, 2008 | 0% |
| 15 | Skilled in creating RPL applications/programs | Create a database with the SQL Server tool from the PDM power designer generated results. Relating interface design in Visual Basic with SQL Server database. Create an RPL project program | Criteria: 1.Participation = 20% 2.Tasks = 30% 3.UTS = 20% 4.UAS = 30% 5.NA = ((2xP) (3xT)(2xUTS) (3xUAS))/10 | Exercise 9 X 50 | Exercise 9 X 50 | Material: creating RPL applications/programs Reader: Pressman, RS, Software Engineering: A Practitioner 19s Approach, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill, 2008 | 0% |
| 16 | UAS | | Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | UAS 1x1 | UAS 1x1 | Material: UAS Literature: | 0% |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning No Evaluation Percentage

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study 2.
- 3. material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test. 7
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative
- Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.