

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Bachelor of Information Systems Study Program

Document Code

OITES	`																		
SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																			
Courses		CODE		Co	Course Family			Credit Weight			SEMI	ESTER	Compilation Date						
Information Technology Infrastructure Planning		5720103041						T=3	P=0	ECTS	=4.77		5	July 17, 2024					
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator									
									I Kadek Dwi Nuryana, S.T., M.Kom.										
Learning model		Project Based L	earnin	g							•								
Program		PLO study pro	gram t	hat is char	ged	to th	ne co	ourse											
Learning Outcome	g es	Program Object	ctives	(PO)															
(PLO)		PO - 1	Planni	ng infrastruc	ture														
		PLO-PO Matrix	(																
PO Matrix at the end		ne end	P.O PO-1 of each lea	rnir	ıg sta	age (	(Sub-	PO)											
			_																
			P.O							<del> </del>			Week						
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 16
			РО	-1															
Short Course Description  The Information Technology Infrastructure Planning course will discuss how to properly plan an IT infrastructure plan,						n,													
Reference	ces	Main :																	
		1. Indrajid, Richardus Eko. 2015. Perencanaan Strategis Arsitektur Teknologi Informasi. Jogyakarta : PREINEXUS																	
		Supporters:																	
Supporting lecturer I Kadek Dwi Nuryana, S Rahadian Bisma, S.Kor																			
Week- ead		ik DO)		Evaluation Indicator Criteria & Fori				m	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]  Offline ( Online ( online )			mat	rning erials [ rences ]	Assessment Weight (%)					
(1)		(2)		(2)			(4)			offline )			(0)				77)	(0)	
(1)		(2)		(3)			(4)				(5)				(6)			(7)	(8)

1	Introduction	Information		Approach:		0%
1	(Information (Information Technology Infrastructure planning concept)	Technology Infrastructure Planning Concept		Approach: Scientific Model: Cooperative Method: Discussion, Presentation 3 X 50		0%
2	Know the IT infrastructure planning framework	1.TOGAF 2.COBIT 5		Approach: Scientific Model: Cooperative Method: Discussion, Presentation 3 X 50		0%
3	Identify the business environment	1.Identify the vision 2.mission identification 3.product identification 4.service identification 5.identify market segmentation 6.identify customer profiles 7.identify competitor profiles 8.identify the internal environment 9.identification of the external environment 10.describe business strategy		Approach: Scientific Model: Cooperative Method: Discussion, Presentation 3 X 50		0%
4	Identify the business environment	1.Identify the vision 2.mission identification 3.product identification 4.service identification 5.identify market segmentation 6.identify customer profiles 7.identify competitor profiles 8.identify the internal environment 9.identification of the external environment 10.describe business strategy	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Approach: Scientific Model: Cooperative Method: Discussion, Presentation 3 X 50		10%

	O	4		I	22/
5	Conduct business environmental studies	1.identify technology trends 2.identify the principles of the role of technology 3.mapping the value chain 4.map company problems 5.examine business opportunities 6.adopt best practices 7.determine the value of benefits	3 X 50		0%
6	Carrying out IT design	1.carry out system capability mapping 2.examine technology trends 3.adopting technology standards 4.designing system anatomy 5.designing business architecture 6.designing application architecture 7.designing information architecture 8.designing technology architecture 9.designing organizational architecture 10.designing governance architecture	3 X 50		0%

	,				
7	Conduct information technology profile studies	1.reviewing the latest business system models 2.review the existing application system 3.review the existing database system 4.examine the topology you have 5.reviewing system development systems 6.reviewing partnerships with vendors 7.review the current organizational structure 8.examine governance models 9.reviewing IT performance 10.carry out a comprehensive audit	3 X 50		0%
8	UTS		3 X 50		0%
9	Examining the GAP between systems and technology	1.identify business system gaps 2.identify system components 3.identify gaps in application development, databases, networks, organizational structures, governance policies, 4.identify the level of gaps	3 X 50		0%
10			3 X 50		0%
11					0%
12					0%
13					0%
14					0%
15					0%
16	UAS		1x1		0%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

	Evaluation i crocintago necapi i reject Bacca Ecarining						
No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	10%					
		10%					

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
  and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based
  on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
  unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.