



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts, Indonesian Literature Undergraduate Study Program

Courses Writing Scientific Papers		CODE	CODE			Course Family			(	Credit Weight			SEMESTER		Compilation Date		
		792010209				Compulsory Study			-	T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18		=3.18	8		July 18, 2024		
AUTHORIZATION		SP Develo	SP Developer			Program Subjects Course			ırse (	Clust	er Co	ordina	tor	Study	Progr	am C	oordinato
		Dr. Dianita Rohaedi; D			Diding	Wahy	udin		f. Dr. lum.	Kisya	ani La	ksono,		D	rs. Parı	min, M	1.Hum.
Learning model	Project Based L	earning															
Program	PLO study pro	gram that is char	ned t	o the c	nurse												
Learning Outcomes	PLO-6	Mastering the bas	sic kno	wledge	to be			e field	of Inc	dones	sian la	nguage	and li	teratur	e; as w	ell as	research
(PLO)	Drogram Object		esiaiii	laliyuay	e anu	ileralui	-										
	PO - 1	Program Objectives (PO)  PO - 1  Students are able to write scientific papers by utilizing scientific writing concepts through class meeting activities, laboratory exercises, and simulations to improve their skills in writing scientific papers in the field of Indonesian language and literature.															
	PLO-PO Matrix																
		P.O		PLO-	6	1											
		PO-1															
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																
		P.O								We	ek						
			1	2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1															
Short Course Description	laboratory exerci	 on: Developing skil ses, and simulatior o be put into practic	ns to ir	mprove	skills i	n writin	ıg scie										
References	Main :																
	<ol> <li>Referensi:</li> <li>Akhadiah, Sabarti. 1989. Membina Keterampilan Menulis Paragraf. Jakarta: Universitas Terbuka.</li> <li>Ariffin, E, Zainal. 1993. Bahasa yang Lugas dalam Laporan Teknis. Jakarta: Akademika Presindo.</li> <li>Arifin, E. zainal. 2006. Dasar-dasar Penulisan Ilmiah. Jaakarta: PT Grasindo.</li> <li>Brotowidjojo, Mukayat D. 1985. Penulisan Karangan Ilmiah. Jakarta: Akademika Pressindo.</li> <li>Dwiloka, B dan R. Riana. 2005. Teknik Menulis Karya Ilmiah. Jakarta: Rhineka.</li> <li>Gie, The Lian. 2002. Terampil Mengarang. Yogyakarta: Andi</li> <li>Keraf, Gorys. 1980. Komposisi. Ende: Nusa Indah.</li> <li>Pusat Bahasa. tt. Pedoman Ejaan Yang Disempurnakan. Jakarta: Pusat Bahasa.</li> <li>Sudjito. 1989. Keterampilan Menulis Paragraf. Jakarta: Gramedia.</li> </ol>																
	Supporters:																
	1. Beberap	Beberapa contoh artikel jurnal															
	Dr. Dianita Indra	wati, S.S., M.Hum.															
Supporting lecturer																	

	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	[ References	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Introduction to the nature of scientific work	Identify the concept of scientific work	Criteria: 1.2: explanation of 2 characteristics is correct 2.1: explanation of 1 characteristic is correct 3.0: no correct explanation  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: concept of scientific work References: Arifin, E. Zainal. 2006. Basics of Scientific Writing. Jakarta: PT Grasindo.	5%
2	The Nature and Characteristics of Scientific Work	Mastering the concepts and characteristics of scientific work	Criteria: 1.5: 5 correct identification 2.4: 4 correct identification 3.3: 3 correct identification 4.2: 2 correct identification 5.1:1 correct identification 6.0: no correct identification Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: the essence of scientific work Reference: Brotowidjojo, Mukayat D. 1985. Writing Scientific Essays. Jakarta: Akademika Pressindo.	5%
3	1.Preparation for Writing Scientific Papers     2.Preparation Steps for Writing Scientific Papers	Master the steps for writing scientific papers	Criteria:  1.3: explanation of 3 characteristics is correct 2.2: explanation of 2 characteristics is correct 3.1: explanation of 1 characteristic is correct 4.0: no correct explanation  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL 2 X 50	PjBL	Material: scientific work steps Reader: Dwiloka, B and R. Riana. 2005. Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers. Jakarta: Rhineka.	10%
4	Disclosure of various languages in expressing scientific ideas	Mastering a variety of Indonesian in expressing scientific ideas	Criteria: 1.2: 2 differences are correct 2.1: true difference 3.0: No difference is correct  Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: various scientific ideas References: Arifin, E. Zainal. 2006. Basics of Scientific Writing. Jakarta: PT Grasindo.	5%
5	Development of ideas in cohesive-coherent paragraphs 1.1 Nature and types of paragraphs 1.2 Paragraph requirements Paragraph development techniques	Master the development of cohesive and coherent paragraphs	Criteria:  1.5: 5 correct identification 2.4: 4 correct identification 3.3: 3 correct identification 4.2: 2 correct identification 5.1:1 correct identification 6.0: no correct identification Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: development of cohesive and coherent paragraphs References: Akbayar, Sabarti. 1989. Developing Paragraph Writing Skills. Jakarta: Open University.	5%

6	Preparation of literature reviews in references	Mastering the preparation of literature reviews and citation techniques	Criteria: 1.3: explanation of 3 characteristics is correct 2.2: explanation of 2 characteristics is correct 3.1: explanation of 1 characteristic is correct 4.0: no correct explanation  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: Preparation of literature review Reference: Arifin, E. Zainal. 2006. Basics of Scientific Writing. Jakarta: PT Grasindo.	5%
7	Presentation of data in exposition	Mastering how to present data	Criteria:  1.5: 5 things mentioned are true 2.4: 4 things mentioned are true 3.3: The 3 things mentioned are true 4.2: The 2 things mentioned are correct 5.1:1 the thing mentioned is correct 6.0: nothing is true  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL 2 X 50	PjBL	Material: data presentation References: Dwiloka, B and R. Riana. 2005. Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers. Jakarta: Rhineka.	10%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS Form of Assessment : Test	UTS 2 X 50		Material: UTS Reader: Arifin, E. Zainal. 2006. Basics of Scientific Writing. Jakarta: PT Grasindo.	10%
9	Report on research results and stages of writing	Mastering research report writing and its stages	Criteria:  1.5: 5 things mentioned are true 2.4: 4 things mentioned are true 3.3: The 3 things mentioned are true 4.2: The 2 things mentioned are correct 5.1:1 the thing mentioned is correct 6.0: nothing is true  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL 2 X 50	PjBL	Material: writing a report on results. Reference: Brotowidjojo, Mukayat D. 1985. Writing Scientific Essays. Jakarta: Akademika Pressindo.	10%

10	Danar writing	1	Criteria:	PjBL	Dipl	Material:	5%
10	Paper writing	1.Mastering abstract formulation techniques 2.Mastering content development techniques	1.5: 5 correct identification 2.4: 4 correct identification 3.3: 3 correct identification 4.2: 2 correct identification 5.1:1 correct identification 6.0: no correct identification Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	2 X 50	РјВL	paper writing References: Dwiloka, B and R. Riana. 2005. Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers. Jakarta: Rhineka.	5%0
11	Report writing	1.Mastering activity report writing 2.Mastering trip report writing 3.Mastering research report writing	Criteria: 1.5: 5 correct identification 2.4: 4 correct identification 3.3: 3 correct identification 4.2: 2 correct identification 5.1:1 correct identification 6.0: no correct identification Form of Assessment	Observing, asking, collecting, processing and communicating information regarding writing a 2 X 50 report		Material: Writing scientific papers Bibliography: Brotowidjojo, Mukayat D. 1985. Writing Scientific Essays. Jakarta: Akademika Pressindo.	5%
			Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment				
12	Writing articles and papers	1.Mastering     the writing of     scientific     and popular     articles     2.Mastering     paper writing	Criteria:  1.5: 5 correct identification 2.4: 4 correct identification 3.3: 3 correct identification 4.2: 2 correct identification 5.1:1 correct identification	PjBL 2 X 50	PjBL	Material: article writing Bibliography: Brotowidjojo, Mukayat D. 1985. Writing Scientific Essays. Jakarta: Akademika Pressindo.	5%
			Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment				
13	Abstract Preparation	Mastering abstract writing in scientific work	Criteria: 1.5: 5 correct identification 2.4: 4 correct identification 3.3: 3 correct identification 4.2: 2 correct identification 5.1:1 correct identification	PjBL 2 X 50	PjBL	Material: abstract preparation Bibliography: Dwiloka, B and R. Riana. 2005. Techniques for Writing Scientific Papers. Jakarta: Rhineka.	5%
			: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment				

	T		T	1	1	1	
14	Thesis and stages of writing	Master the stages of thesis writing	Criteria:  1.4: 4 things mentioned are true  2.3: The 3 things mentioned are true  3.2: The 2 things mentioned are correct  4.1:1 the thing mentioned is correct  5.0: nothing is true	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: thesis and stages References: Brotowidjojo, Mukayat D. 1985. Writing Scientific Essays. Jakarta: Akademika Pressindo.	5%
			: Portfolio Assessment				
15	Editing scientific papers	Master scientific work editing techniques	Criteria: 1.5: 5 correct identification 2.4: 4 correct identification 3.3: 3 correct identification 4.2: 2 correct identification 5.1:1 correct identification 6.0: no correct identification Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL 2 X 50	PjBL	Material: editing Library: Language Center. tt. Improved Spelling Guidelines. Jakarta: Language Center.	5%
16	Mastering scientific writing	Writing scientific papers	Criteria:  1.4: explanation of 4 aspects is correct 2.3: explanation of 3 aspects is correct 3.2: explanation of 2 aspects is correct 4.1: explanation of 1 aspect is correct 5.0: no correct explanation  Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	UAS 2 X 50	UAS	Material: UAS Library: Language Center. tt. Improved Spelling Guidelines. Jakarta: Language Center.	5%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage						
1.	Participatory Activities	12.5%						
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	60%						
3.	Portfolio Assessment	17.5%						
4.	Test	10%						
		100%						

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
  Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.

- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.

  12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.