

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts, Indonesian Literature Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

UNESA																				
SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																				
Courses			CODE			C	Course Fami				Credit Weight		SE	EMES1	ER	Co	mpilat te	tion		
Semiotics			7920102119	Study Progra					T=2 P=0		EC	ΓS=3.1	.8	4		Jul	y 16, 2	2024		
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator				St	Study Program Coordinator							
			Dr. Ririe Ren Rahmayati, N				, M.A	۸.	Pr	of. D	r. Set	ya Yu	wana	ı, M.A.		Drs	. Parm	in, M.	Hum.	
Learning model	Case Studies																			
Program Learning	PLO study pro	PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-6	Mastering the basic knowledge to be creative in the field of Indonesian language and literature; as well as research methods in Indonesian language and literature																		
	PLO-14		to document, piling descripti																	age
	Program Object	Program Objectives (PO)																		
	PO - 1	Students are able to master the essence of semiotics, genre and its application in the analysis of Indonesian literary works																		
	PLO-PO Matrix	(
			P.O	PLO-6 P				PLO	PLO-14											
			PO-1																	
	PO Matrix at th	ne en	d of each lea	ırnin	g sta	age (Sub-	PO)												
																				_
	P.O Week																			
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		Р	O-1																	
Short Course Description	Understanding th	ne ess	sence of semio	itics,	genr	e, and	d its a	pplic	ation	in th	e ana	lysis (of Ind	onesia	ın liter	ary wo	orks.			
References	Main :																			

- 1. Budiman, Kris. 2011. Semiotika Visual: Konsep, Isu, dan Problematika Ikonisitas . Yogyakarta: Jalasutra.
- B.S., Abdul Wachid. 2009. Analisis Struktural Semiotik: Puisi Surealistis Religius D. Zawawi Imron. Yogyakarta: Cinta Buku.
- 3. Eco, Umberto. 2009. Teori Semiotika: Signifikasi Komunikasi, Teori Kode, serta Teori Produksi-Tanda. Yogyakarta: Kreasi Wacana.
- ${\bf 4.} \quad {\sf Fashri, Fauzi. \ 2014. \ Pierre \ Bourdieu: \ Menyingkap \ Kuasa \ Simbol \ . \ Yogyakarta: \ Jalasutra.}$
- 5. Kurniawan. 2001. Semiologi Rolland Bathes . Magelang: IndonesiaTera.
- Martinet, Jeanne. 2010. Semiologi: Kajian Teori Tanda Saussurian antara Semiologi Komunikasi dan Semiologi Signifikasi. Yogyakarta: Jalasutra.
- 7. Noth, Winfried. 2006. Semiotika. Surabaya: Airlangga University Press.
- 8. Piliang, Yasraf Amir. 2003. Hipersemiotika: Tafsir Cultural Studies Atas Matinya Tanda . Yogyakarta: Jalasutra.
- 9. Rusmana, Dadan. 2014. Filsafat Semiotika: Paradigma, Teori, dan Metode Interpretasi Tanda dari Semiotika Struktural hingga Dekonstruksi Praktis . Bandung: Penerbit Pustaka Setia.
- 10. Sudjiman, Panuti dan Aart van Zoest (ed). 1995. Serba-serbi Semiotika. Jakarta: Gramedia.
- 11. Teeuw, Andreas. 1988. Sastra dan Ilmu Sastra: Pengantar Teori Sastra. Jakarta: Pustaka Jaya.
- 12. Van Zoest, Aart. 1990. Fiksi dan Nonfiksi dalam Kajian Semiotik . Jakarta: Intermasa.
- 13. Van Zoest, Aart. 1993. Semiotika tentang Tanda: Cara Kerjanya dan Apa yang Kita Lakukan Dengannya . Jakarta: Yayasan Sumber Agung.

Supporters:

1. Buku dan penelitian semiotika

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Ririe Rengganis, S.S., M.Hum. Mohammad Rokib, S.S., M.A.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	aluation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	[References]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	understanding and concepts of semiotics	understanding and concepts of semiotics		discussion 2 X 50		Material: understanding semiotics References: Eco, Umberto. 2009. Semiotic Theory: Communication Signification, Code Theory, and Sign- Production Theory. Yogyakarta: Discourse Creations.	5%	
2	semiotics in art	art semiotics	Criteria: according to the criteria Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	discussion 2 X 50	discussion	Material: art semiotics Bibliography: Martinet, Jeanne. 2010. Semiology: Study of Saussurian Sign Theory between Communication Semiology and Signification Semiology. Yogyakarta: Jalasutra.	10%	
3	prose semiotics	prose semiotics	Criteria: according to the criteria Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	discussion 2 X 50	discussion	Material: prose semiotics References: Van Zoest, Aart. 1993. Semiotics of Signs: How They Work and What We Do With Them. Jakarta: Sumber Agung Foundation.	10%	

4	prose semiotics 2	prose semiotics 2	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussion 2 X 50	discussion	Material: prose semiotics References: Sudjiman, Panuti and Aart van Zoest (ed). 1995. Miscellaneous Semiotics. Jakarta: Gramedia.	10%
5	poetry semiotics	poetry semiotics	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussion and assignment 2 X 50	discussions and assignments	Material: poetry semiotics References: Fashri, Fauzi. 2014. Pierre Bourdieu: Uncovering the Power of Symbols. Yogyakarta: Jalasutra.	5%
6	poetry semiotics 2	poetry semiotics 2	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussion and assignment 2 X 50	discussions and assignments	Material: poetry semiotics References: Eco, Umberto. 2009. Semiotic Theory: Communication Signification, Code Theory, and Sign- Production Theory. Yogyakarta: Discourse Creations.	10%
7	poetry semiotics 3	poetry semiotics 3		discussion and assignment 2 X 50	discussions and assignments	Material: poetry semiotics 3 Bibliography: Rusmana, Dadan. 2014. Philosophy of Semiotics: Paradigms, Theories and Methods of Sign Interpretation from Structural Semiotics to Practical Deconstruction. Bandung: Pustaka Setia Publishers.	5%
8	UTS		Criteria: UTS Form of Assessment : Test	UTS 2 X 50	UTS	Material: UTS Reader: Noth, Winfried. 2006. Semiotics. Surabaya: Airlangga University Press.	10%
9	drama semiotics	drama semiotics	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussion and assignment 2 X 50	discussions and assignments	Material: drama semiotics References: Sudjiman, Panuti and Aart van Zoest (ed). 1995. Miscellaneous Semiotics. Jakarta: Gramedia.	5%

10	drama semiotics 2	drama semiotics 2	Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	discussion and assignment 2 X 50	discussions and assignments	Material: drama semiotics Reader: Kurniawan. 2001. Rolland Bathes' Semiology. Magelang: IndonesiaTera.	10%
11	drama semiotics 3	drama semiotics 3	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL	PjBL	Material: drama semiotics Bibliography: Piliang, Yasraf Amir. 2003. Hypersemiotics: Cultural Studies Interpretation of the Death of Signs. Yogyakarta: Jalasutra.	10%
12	semiotics and its applications	semiotics and its applications	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussions and assignments	discussions and assignments	Material: semiotics and its applications References: Martinet, Jeanne. 2010. Semiology: Study of Saussurian Sign Theory between Communication Semiology and Signification Semiology. Yogyakarta: Jalasutra.	5%
13	semiotics and its applications 2		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussions and assignments	discussions and assignments	Material: semiotics and its applications References: Noth, Winfried. 2006. Semiotics. Surabaya: Airlangga University Press.	5%
14		semiotics and its applications	Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	discussions and assignments	discussions and assignments	Material: semiotics and its application References: Noth, Winfried. 2006. Semiotics. Surabaya: Airlangga University Press.	5%
15	semiotics and everyday life	semiotics in everyday life	Form of Assessment : Test	discussions and assignments	discussions and assignments	Material: semiotics and everyday life References: Van Zoest, Aart. 1993. Semiotics of Signs: How They Work and What We Do With Them. Jakarta: Sumber Agung Foundation.	5%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS	UAS	UAS	Material: UAS Literature: Noth, Winfried. 2006. Semiotics. Surabaya: Airlangga University Press.	5%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	50%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	10%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	25%
4.	Test	15%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
 and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.