

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts, Indonesian Literature Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

			CEN		CT1			۸۶	140	INI/	~ F) I	A R I						
			SEM	IE;	511	EK	LE	AF	ΚIV	INC	٦F	'L/	AIN						
Courses		CODE		С	Course Family			Cı	Credit Weight			,	SEMES	STER	Compilat Date				
Literary Rese	earch		7920102087			C	ompu	Isory	Stud	dy	T=	2 F	P=0	ECTS=3	3.18	4	4	July	/ 16, 20
AUTHORIZA	TION		SP Develope	er			rograi	n ou			se C	luste	er Co	ordinato	or S	Study	Progra	am Co	ordina
			Dr. Tengsoe Rahmayati, M M.Si.					0,		Prof.	Dr. S	etya	Yuwa	ana, M.A	۸.	Dr	s. Parr	nin, M	.Hum.
Learning model	Project Based L	.ea	rning																
Program	PLO study pro	gra	am that is char	ged	to th	пе со	urse												
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-6	M	astering the basic	c kn	owled done	dge to	be cr	eativ	e in t	he fie	eld of re	Indo	nesia	n langua	age ar	nd liter	ature;	as wel	l as
(PLO)	PLO-14	Al	ble to document, escriptions of scie	stor	e, se	cure a	and re	cove	r data	a to e	nsure								compil
	Program Object	ctiv	res (PO)																
	PO - 1 Students are able to know concepts, terms and the application of (literary) research responsibly																		
	PLO-PO Matrix	(
			D.O.		-	100	1		DI 0	1.4	_								
			P.O PO-1		Р	LO-6			PLO	-14									
			PO-1																
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																		
			P.O									We	eek						
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
			PO-1																
Short Course Description	Course Descript proposals and re	ion sea	Able to master arching literary wo	vari orks	ous I throu	angu igh st	age a ructur	nd lit ed ar	erati nd ind	ure re deper	esear ndent	ch n activ	netho vities	ds and to produ	be ab	ole to iterary	apply reseai	them rch pro	for writ
References	Main :																		
	1. Endraswara, Suwardi. 2011. Metodologi Penelitian Sastra: Epistemologi, Model, Teori, dan Aplikasi. Yogyakarta: CAP 2. Faruk. 2012. Metode Penelitian Sastra: Sebuah Penjelajahan Awal. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar 3. Sudikan, Setya Yuwana. 2014. Metode Penelitian Sastra Lisan. Lamongan: Pustaka Ilalang Grup. 4. Endrawara, Suwardi. 2011. Metode Penelitian Sosiologi Sastra. Yogyakarta: CAPS 5. Pradopo, Rachmat Djoko. Beberapa Teori Sastra, Metode Kritik, dan Penerapannya. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar. 6. Endraswara, Suwardi. 2013. Metodologi Penelitian Antropologi Sastra. Yogyakarta: Ombak. 7. Littoseliti, Lia. 2010. Reserach Methods in Lingusitics. New York: Continuum.																		
	Supporters:																		
	1. Buku bu	ku	metode penelitiar	1															
Supporting lecturer	Prof. Dr. H. Haris Prof. Dr. H. Sety. Dr. Tengsoe Tjal Drs. Parmin, M.H Muhammad Erw.	a Y njor lum	uwana, M.A. no, M.Pd. n.																

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Ev	aluation	Lear Studer	Ip Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)]	110.g (70)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	· Discuss the meaning, characteristics and types of literary research · Brainstorm ideas about research topics	· Discuss the meaning, characteristics and types of language and literature research · Brainstorm ideas about research topics	Criteria: 1.Presence 2.Active discussion Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	· Group discussions in class · Brainstorming ideas and ideas classically 2 X 50		Material: characteristics and types of literary research Library: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2011. Literary Research Methodology: Epistemology, Models, Theory and Applications. Yogyakarta: CAP	5%
2	· Students are able to form groups and choose topics in the research methods book to discuss	Discuss group formation - Discuss the selection of topics in the book - Brainstorm ideas about research topics.	Criteria: 1.Attend and be active in online lectures 2.Collecting tasks (mind map) Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	· Group discussions · Classical brainstorming and ideas 2 X 50		Material: form groups and choose a topic in the research methods book to discuss. Reference: Faruk. 2012. Literary Research Methods: An Initial Exploration. Yogyakarta: Student Library	5%
3	Students understand "Research Questions in literature "Students understand the topic proposed	· Students discuss actively about the topics discussed. Students are able to determine the topic	Criteria: 1.Attend and be active in online lectures 2.Collecting tasks (mind map) Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50		Material: questions in literary research Reader: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2011. Literary Research Methodology: Epistemology, Models, Theory and Applications. Yogyakarta: CAP	5%
4	Students understand quantitative and qualitative research methods	1. Students are able to create mindmaps regarding quantitative and qualitative research methods 2. Students (2nd group) are able to write papers including developing topics regarding quantitative and qualitative research methods 3. Students (2nd group) are able to make presentations 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	presentation and discussion 2 X 50	presentation and discussion	Material: quantitative and qualitative research methods Reference: Faruk. 2012. Literary Research Methods: An Initial Exploration. Yogyakarta: Student Library	10%

5	Students understand quantitative research methods in literature	1. Students are able to create a mind map regarding quantitative research methods 2. Students (3rd group) are able to write papers including developing topics regarding quantitative research methods 3. Students (9th group) are able to make presentations 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	presentation and discussion 2 X 50	presentation and discussion	Material: methods in literary research Reader: Faruk. 2012. Literary Research Methods: An Initial Exploration. Yogyakarta: Student Library	5%
6	·Students understand how to organize (arrange) and process data	Students are able to organize topics based on the sections discussed 1. Students are able to create a mindmap regarding how to organize and process data 2. Students (4th group) are able to write papers including compiling topics related to how to organize and process data 3. Students (4th group) 4) able to present 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-PjBL 2 X 50	PjBL	Material: how to organize (arrange) and process data Reader: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2011. Literary Research Methodology: Epistemology, Models, Theory and Applications. Yogyakarta: CAP	10%
7	· Students understand literary research methods (data and data tables)	1. Students are able to create a mindmap regarding the corpus method in linguistics 2. Students (5th group) are able to write papers including compiling topics related to the corpus method in linguistics 3. Students (5th group) are able to make presentations 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	PJBL 2 X 50	PjBL	Material: literary research methods (data and data tables) Library: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2011. Literary Research Methodology: Epistemology, Models, Theory and Applications. Yogyakarta: CAP	5%

8	Students understand the Discourse Analysis approach	1. Students are able to create a mind map regarding discourse analysis approaches 2. Students (9th group) are able to write papers including compiling compiling topics related to discourse analysis 3. Students (9th group) are able to make presentations 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Test	UTS 2 X 50	UTS	Material: UTS Library: Research methods books	10%
9	· Students understand ethnography in literary research	1. Students are able to create a mind map regarding linguistic ethnography 2. Students (7th group) are able to write papers including compiling topics related to linguistic ethnography 3. Students (7th group) are able to make presentations 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Presentation and discussion 2 X 50		Material: Ethnography in literary research Reader: Sudikan, Setya Yuwana. 2014. Oral Literature Research Methods. Lamongan: Weed Group Library.	10%
10	Students understand interviews and focused group (discussions).	1. Students are able to create a mind map regarding interviews and focused group (discussions) 2. Students (8th group) are able to write papers including compiling topics related to interviews and focused group (discussions) 3. Students (8th group) are able to present 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	presentation and discussion 2 X 50		Material: interviews and (discussion) focused group Reader: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2011. Literary Research Methodology: Epistemology, Models, Theory and Applications. Yogyakarta: CAP	5%
11	Students understand multimodal analysis	1. Students are able to create a mind map regarding multimodal analysis 2. Students (9th group) are able to write papers including developing topics regarding multimodal analysis 3. Students (9th group) are able to make presentations 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	presentation and discussion 2 X 50		Material: multimodal analysis Bibliography: Sudikan, Setya Yuwana. 2014. Oral Literature Research Methods. Lamongan: Weed Group Library.	5%

12	Students understand narrative analysis in literary research	1. Students are able to create a mind map regarding narrative analysis in linguistic research 2. Students (10th group) are able to write papers including preparing topics regarding narrative analysis in linguistics 3. Students (10th group) are able to make presentations 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation and discussion 2 X 50		Material: narrative analysis in linguistic research Reader: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2011. Literary Research Methodology: Epistemology, Models, Theory and Applications. Yogyakarta: CAP Material: narrative analysis in literary research Reader: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2011. Literary Research	5%
13	Students determine the research topic that will be written into an article	1. Students are able to create an article mindmap on the chosen topic 2. Students are able to present the chosen topic 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	presentation and discussion 4 x 50		Methodology: Epistemology, Models, Theory and Applications. Yogyakarta: CAP Material: research topic that will be written into an article Reader: Faruk. 2012. Literary Research Methods: An Initial Exploration. Yogyakarta: Student	5%
14	Students determine the research topic that will be written into an article	1. Students are able to create an article mindmap on the chosen topic 2. Students are able to present the chosen topic 4. Students are able to discuss	Criteria: 1.present in vinesa 2.active discussion Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	presentation and discussion 4 X 50		Library Material: research topic that will be written into an article Reader: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2011. Literary Research Methodology: Epistemology, Models, Theory and Applications. Yogyakarta: CAP	5%
15	regional literary research	UTS	Criteria: discussion Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	4 X 50 discussion	discussion	Material: research topic that will be written into an article Reader: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2013. Literary Anthropology Research Methodology. Yogyakarta: Waves.	5%

16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS Form of Assessment : Test	UAS 4 X 50	UAS	Material: UAS Reader: Sudaryanto. 2018. Research Methods.	5%	
			Test			Methods. Yogyakarta.		

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	30%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	45%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	10%
4.	Test	15%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each
 Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level
 of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.