



Courses		CODE		Cours	Course Family		Credit Weight				SEMES	STER	Cor	npilati e			
Comparative	Comparative Literature		7920102107			Study Program Elective		T=2	P=0	ECTS=3	3.18	4	ļ	-	16, 20		
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer		Cours	<del>s</del>		Cours	e Clus	ster C	oordinat	or :	Study	Progra	ım Co	ordina		
		Dr. Ririe Rengganis; M. Rokib, M.A.				Prof. Dr. Setya Yuwana, M.A.			Α.	Drs. Parmin, M.Hum.							
Learning model	Case Studies	dies															
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO study pro	ogram	that is char	ged t	o the	course											
	PLO-6		stering the bas earch methods							of Ind	onesia	n langua	ge and	literat	ure; as	well a	as
	PLO-14		e to document, criptions of sci														ompilir
	Program Obje	ctives	(PO)														
	PO - 1 Students are able to master the basic concepts of comparative literature and apply them to compare literary works from several countries, both in the same or different languages, compare translated literary works with original works, and compare literary works in one country with different languages and the influence between the works compared literature																
	PLO-PO Matrix																
										7							
			P.O		PLO	D-6	F	PLO-:	L4								
			PO-1														
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																
			P.O						Week								
			P.0	1	2	3 4	5	6	7	8 9		0 11	12	13	14	15	16
		F	PO-1	1	2	3 4	5	0	1	0 3	9 1	J 11	12	13	14	15	10
Short Course	The ability to master the basic concepts of comparative literature and apply them to compare literary works from several countries both in the same or different languages, compare translated literary works with original works, and compare literary works in one country with different languages and the influence between literary works which are compared through structured and independent activities to produce the articles presented								rks in								
	activities to prod	luce th	e articles pres	ented													
Description	activities to prod  Main :	luce th	e articles pres	ented													
Description	Main:  1. Ahmad. 2. Bassne 3. Damon 4. Endrasi 5. Guillen, 6. Hutomo 7. Sutarto	Abdul t, Susa o, Sapa wara, S Claud o, Surip	anticles present and 1994. Kesusan. 1994. Compardi Djoko. 2015. Suwardi. 2014. io. 1993. The Gran S. 1990. Sastra B. kk. 2001. Antol	astraa parati L5. Sa Meto Challe eram andir	an Ban ve Lite astra B odologi enge o bah M ngan d	erature. ( Bandinga i Sastra I of Compa atahari: S an Sejar	Oxford: n. Tan Bandin rative Sastra ah Sas	Blac gerar gan. Litera dalai stra. J	kwell. ig: Edi Jakarta iture . in Perb ember	a: Bukı Cambr andinç : UJ da	idge: F Jan . S an Ma:	urabaya: stera.			asan (	Obor II	ndones
Description	Main:  1. Ahmad. 2. Bassne 3. Damon 4. Endrasi 5. Guillen, 6. Hutomo 7. Sutarto	Abdul t, Susa o, Sapa wara, S Claud o, Surip	. 1994. Kesus: an. 1993. Com ardi Djoko. 201 Suwardi. 2014. io. 1993. The o pan S. 1990. M 2012. Sastra B	astraa parati L5. Sa Meto Challe eram andir	an Ban ve Lite astra B odologi enge o bah M ngan d	erature. ( Bandinga i Sastra I of Compa atahari: S an Sejar	Oxford: n. Tan Bandin rative Sastra ah Sas	Blac gerar gan. Litera dalai stra. J	kwell. ig: Edi Jakarta iture . in Perb ember	a: Bukı Cambr andinç : UJ da	idge: F Jan . S an Ma:	urabaya: stera.			asan (	Obor II	ndones
Description  References	Main:  1. Ahmad 2. Bassne 3. Damon 4. Endrast 5. Guillen, 6. Hutomo 7. Sutarto 8. Trismar	Abdul t, Susa o, Sapa wara, S Claud o, Surip Ayu. 2	. 1994. Kesus: an. 1993. Com ardi Djoko. 201 Suwardi. 2014. io. 1993. The o pan S. 1990. M 2012. Sastra B	astraa parati L5. Sa Meto Challe eram sandir ogi Es	an Ban ive Lite astra B odologi enge o bah M ngan d sai Sa	erature. ( Bandinga i Sastra I of Compa atahari: S an Sejar	Oxford: n. Tan Bandin rative Sastra ah Sas	Blac gerar gan. Litera dalai stra. J	kwell. ig: Edi Jakarta iture . in Perb ember	a: Bukı Cambr andinç : UJ da	idge: F Jan . S an Ma:	urabaya: stera.			rasan (	Obor II	ndones

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Ev	/aluation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	]	rroigin (70)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand the basic concepts of comparative literature. The difference between comparative literary studies and noncomparative literary studies	Explain the concept of comparative literature	Criteria: 1.4: correct explanation correct example 2.3: correct explanation, incorrect example 3.2: wrong explanation correct example 4.1:[wrong explanation wrong example Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: comparative literary concepts References: Damono, Sapardi Djoko. 2015. Comparative Literature. Tangerang: Editum.	10%
2	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help solve problems in comparative literary studies	Understand the basic theory of comparative literature. Utilize the internet to search for sources of comparative literature data	Criteria:  1.5: explanation of the 5 characteristics is correct  2.4: explanation of 4 characteristics is correct  3.3: explanation of 3 characteristics is correct  4.2: explanation of 2 characteristics is correct  5.1: explanation of 1 characteristic is correct  6.0: none of the explanations are correct  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: comparative literary studies References: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2014. Comparative Literature Methodology. Jakarta: Bukupop.	5%
3	Identify several comparative literary analyses	Explain the characteristics of comparative literary studies 2. Differentiate comparative literary studies from national literature 3. Identify comparative literary studies published in scientific journals	Criteria: 1.4: correct explanation correct example 2.3: correct explanation, incorrect example 3.2: wrong explanation correct example 4.1:[wrong explanation wrong example Form of Assessment Participatory Activities	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: comparative literary analysis Bibliography: Hutomo, Suripan S. 1990. Climbing the Sun: Literature in Comparison. Surabaya: Intermasa.	5%
4	Identify comparative literary studies and world literature	1. Explain the characteristics of comparative literary studies. 2. State the characteristics of world literary studies. 3. Comparing comparative literary studies with world literature	Criteria: 1.4: correct explanation correct example 2.3: correct explanation, incorrect example 3.2: wrong explanation correct example 4.1:[wrong explanation wrong example Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: comparative literature and world literature References: Guillen, Claudio. 1993. The Challenge of Comparative Literature. Cambridge: Harvard.	5%

5	Applying the basics of comparative literary studies. Making strategic decisions based on theory and data to determine steps in comparative literary studies.	Determine the characteristics of comparative literary studies between countries	Criteria: 1.4: 4	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50		Material: basics of comparative literary studies References: Damono, Sapardi Djoko. 2015. Comparative Literature. Tangerang: Editum.	5%
6	Applying the basics of comparative literary studies. Making strategic decisions based on theory and data to determine steps in comparative literary studies.	Analyze comparative literature articles from scientific journals	Criteria: 1.4: 4 correct identification 2.3: 3 correct identification 3.2: 2 correct identification 4.1:1 correct identification 5.0: no identification Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: basics of comparative literature Bibliography: Damono, Sapardi Djoko. 2015. Comparative Literature. Tangerang: Editum.	5%
7	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help understand the differences between comparative literature, national literature and general literature	Explain the characteristics of comparative literature, national literature, and general literature. Identify studies of comparative literature, national literature, and general literature	Criteria:  1.3: explanation of 3 characteristics is correct 2.2: explanation of 2 characteristics is correct 3.1: explanation of 1 characteristic is correct 4.0: no correct explanation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: comparative literature and general literature Reader: Endraswara, Suwardi. 2014. Comparative Literature Methodology. Jakarta: Bukupop.	10%
8	UTS	Explain the characteristics of comparative literature, national literature. Identify studies of comparative literature, national literature, and general literature, and general literature literature.	Criteria: 1.3: The 3 things mentioned are correct 2.2: The 2 things mentioned are correct 3.1:1 the thing mentioned is correct 4.0: nothing is true Form of Assessment: Test	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	UTS	Material: UTS Reader: Ahmad, Abdul. 1994. Comparative Literature. Malaysia: DBP.	10%
9	Mastering the concept of characteristics of comparative literature in the same language	Explaining areas of comparative literature studies. Identifying areas of comparative literature studies	Criteria: 1.3: The 3 things mentioned are correct 2.2: The 2 things mentioned are correct 3.1:1 the thing mentioned is correct 4.0: nothing is true  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions, and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions, and assignments	Material: characteristics of comparative literature References: Trisman, B. et al. 2001. Anthology of Comparative Literature Essays in Modern Indonesian Literature. Jakarta: Indonesian Obor Foundation.	5%

	T	E		I	Ι		
10	Translating literary works	Explaining the problems in translating literary works. Identifying translated literary works	Criteria:  1.5: 5 correct identification 2.4: 4 correct identification 3.3: 3 correct identification 4.2: 2 correct identification 5.1:1 correct identification 6.0: no correct identification Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 2 X 50	Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments	Material: translated literary works Reader: Guillen, Claudio. 1993. The Challenge of Comparative Literature. Cambridge: Harvard.	10%
11	1. Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help understand comparative literary studies across disciplines Able to be responsible for the results of analyzes made independently/in groups 2. Mastering the concepts of cross- disciplinary comparative literary studies	Explains comparative literary studies across disciplines	Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Performance assessment 2 X 50	Performance assessment	Material: cross- disciplinary comparative literature Reference: Ahmad, Abdul. 1994. Comparative Literature. Malaysia: DBP.	5%
12	· Understand cross- disciplinary comparative literary studies · Master the concepts of cross- disciplinary comparative literary studies ·	Explains comparative literary studies across disciplines	Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Performance assessment 2 X 50	Performance assessment	Material: cross- disciplinary comparative literature References: Trisman, B. et al. 2001. Anthology of Comparative Literature Essays in Modern Indonesian Literature. Jakarta: Indonesian Obor Foundation.	5%
13	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help understand comparative literature studies across disciplines Mastering the concepts of comparative literature studies across disciplines Able to be responsible for the results of analyzes made independently/in groups	Explains comparative literary studies across disciplines	Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Performance assessment 6 X 50	Performance assessment	Material: cross- disciplinary bibliography: Bassnet, Susan. 1993. Comparative Literature. Oxford: Blackwell.	5%

14	· Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help understand various models in comparative literary studies · Mastering the concept of comparative literary study techniques · Able to be responsible for presenting the results of comparative literary analysis independently/in groups	Explain the concept of comparative literary study presentations	Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Performance assignment (product) 2 X 50		Material: cross- disciplinary comparative literature References: Damono, Sapardi Djoko. 2015. Comparative Literature. Tangerang: Editum.	5%
15	· Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help understand various models in comparative literary studies · Mastering the concept of comparative literary study techniques · Able to be responsible for presenting the results of comparative literary analysis independently/in groups	Explain the concept of comparative literary study presentations	Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Performance assignment (product) 2 X 50	Performance (product) assignments	Material: cross- disciplinary bibliography: Guillen, Claudio. 1993. The Challenge of Comparative Literature. Cambridge: Harvard.	5%
16	Final exams		Form of Assessment: Test	2 X 50 Performance Test	UAS	Material: UAS Library: Books and comparative literary research	5%

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	52.5%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	32.5%
3.	Test	15%
		100%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
  are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
  knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 3. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.