



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Undergraduate Study Program in Indonesian Language and Literature Education

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses	ourses CODE Course Family		Credit Weight S		SEMESTER	Compilation Date			
Literary Theo	ry	8820102165	102165 Compulsory Study Prog Subjects		gram T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18		1	April 11, 2023	
AUTHORIZATION SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator				Study Program Coordinator			
		Prof. Dr. Anas Ahmadi, M	.Pd.	Prof. Dr. S	uyatn	o, M.P	d.	Prof. Dr. Anas	s Ahmadi, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Learning model	Case Studies								

Program
Learning
Outcomes
(PLO)

PLO study program which is charged to the course PLO-2 Demonstrate the character of being tough, collaborative, adaptive, innovative, inclusive, lifelong learning and entrepreneurial spirit

PLO-4 Develop yourself continuously and collaborate PLO-7 Responsible for each work in the field of Indonesian language and literature education independently by internalizing religious values, norms and academic ethics with a spirit of struggle and entrepreneurship

PLO-11 Able to speak and write about Indonesian language and literature in everyday/general, academic and work contexts; and able to use one of several regional languages

Program Objectives (PO)

PO - 1 Students are able to understand various literary theory concepts

PO - 2 Students are able to apply literary theory concepts in the analysis of literary works

PLO-PO Matrix

P.O	PLO-2	PLO-4	PLO-7	PLO-11
PO-1				
PO-2	1			

PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)

P.O		Week														
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
PO-1																
PO-2																

Short Course Description

Able to prepare learning plans by utilizing the concept of literary theory through class meeting activities, analysis/study, discussion of literary works and simulations to produce simple articles/papers to be presented both in class discussions and exhibitions/department works

References

Main:

- Abrams, M.H. 1999 (seventh edition). A Glossary of Literary Terms. United States of America: Heinle&Heinle.
- Fokkema, DW. 1998. Teori Sastra Abad Kedua Puluh. Jakarta: Gramedia.
- Luxemburg, Jan Van, dkk. 1987. Tentang Sastra (diterjemahkan oleh Akhadiati Ikram). Jakarta: Intermasa.
- Luxemburg, Jan Van, dkk. 1992. Pengantar Ilmu Sastra (diterjemahkan oleh Dick Hartoko). Jakarta: Gramedia. Pradopo, Rachmat Djoko. 2005. Beberapa Teori Sastra, Metode Kritik, dan Penerapannya. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar
- Teeuw, A. 1988 (Cetakan ke-2). Sastra dan Ilmu Sastra . Jakarta: Pustaka Jaya dan Gimukti Pusaka.
- Wellek, Rene dan Austin Warren. 1995. (Cet. Ke-4). Teori Kesusastraan . Jakarta: Gramedia. Buku Kumpulan Puisi Buku Kumpulan Cerpen Darma, B. (2000). Teori Sastra. Jakarta: Pusat Bahasa.
- Ahmadi, A. (2023). Teori Sastra. Surabaya: Delima.

Supporters:

- https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/journals
- https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=sMyOM9gAAAAJ&hl=en
- 3. https://ebook.twointomedia.com/

Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. H. Haris Supratno Prof. Dr. Anas Ahmadi, S.Pd., M.Pd. Dr. Resdianto Permata Raharjo, M.Pd.

Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Final abilities of Evaluation each learning Learning materials Assessment Weight (%) stage [References] (Sub-PO) Criteria & Form Offline (offline) Indicator Online (online) (1) (3) (8) (2) (4) (5) (6) (7)

1	Mastering the essence of literary theory	Students are able to explain the nature of literary theory	Criteria: 1.Correct answers 9- 10, score A 2.Correct answers 7- 8, score B 3.Correct answer 5-6 score C 4.Correct answer 3-4 score D Forms of Assessment Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance, Tests	Lectures and discussions 2 x 50	Material: essence of literary theory Reader: Pradopo, Rachmat Djoko. 2005. Some Literary Theories, Critical Methods, and Their Applications. Yogyakarta: Student Library Material: the essence of literary theory Library: https://scholar.google.com/ Material: essence of literary theory References: Luxemburg, Jan Van, et al. 1992. Introduction to Literature (translated by Dick Hartoko). Jakarta: Gramedia. Material: the essence of literary theory Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/	3%
2	Mastering structural literary theory	Students are able to explain the nature of structural literary theory	Criteria: 1.Correct answers 9- 10, score A 2.Correct answers 7- 8, score B 3.Correct answer 5-6 score C 4.Correct answer 3-4 score D Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the RPS to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation 5. Reporting the results of the project presentation Reporting the results with presentations and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and teflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt. 2 X 50	Material: structural literary theory References: Luxemburg, Jan Van, et al. 1987. About Literature (translated by Akhadiati Ikram). Jakarta: Intermasa. Material: structural literary theory Reference: Abrams, MH 1999 (seventh edition). A Glossary of Literary Terms. United States of America: Heinle&Heinle. Material: structural literary theory References: Wellek, Rene and Austin Warren. 1995. (4th Cet.). Literary Theory. Jakarta: Gramedia. Poetry Collection Book Short Story Collection Book Material: structural literary theory Library: https://scholar.google.com/ Material: structural literary theory Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/	3%

3	Mastering literary theory in a philosophical context	Students are able to explain literary theory in a philosophical context	Criteria: 1. Correct answers 9- 10, score A 2. Correct answers 7- 8, score B 3. Correct answer 5-6 score C 4. Correct answer 3-4 score D Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points. 5. Reporting the results of the project presentation Reporting the results of the project presentation and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and collecting papers 7. Project of the project presentation and collecting papers of the project presentation and the results of the project on the project presentation and the paper and ppt. 2 X 50	Material: literary theory in philosophical context. Reference: Abrams, MH 1999 (seventh edition). A Glossary of Literary Terms. United States of America: Heinle&Heinle. Material: literary theory, philosophical context, library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/ Material: literary theory, philosophical context, bibliography: Wellek, Rene and Austin Warren. 1995. (4th Cet.). Literary Theory. Jakarta: Gramedia. Poetry Collection Book Short Story Collection Book Material: literary theory, philosophical context, bibliography: Luxemburg, Jan Van, et al. 1987. About Literature (translated by Akhadiati Ikram). Jakarta: Intermasa.	3%
4	Mastering literary theory in psychological context	Students are able to explain literature in psychological context	Criteria: 1.Correct answers 9- 10, score A 2.Correct answers 7- 8, score B 3.Correct answer 5-6 score C 4.Correct answer 3-4 score D Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation 5. Reporting the results of the project presentation Reporting the results with presentations and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt. 2 X 50	Material: literary theory, psychological context, bibliography: Fokkema, DW. 1998. Twentieth Century Literary Theory. Jakarta: Gramedia. Material: literary theory, psychological context, bibliography: Wellek, Rene and Austin Warren. 1995. (4th Cet.). Literary Theory. Jakarta: Gramedia. Poetry Collection Book Short Story Collection Book Short Story Collection Book. Material: literary theory, psychological context, library: https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/ Material: literary theory, psychological context, library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/	3%

5	Mastering literary theory in sociological context	Students are able to explain literature and sociology	Criteria: 1.Reference book 1-20 2.Zoom 3.PPT 4.Articles relevant to the sociology of literature Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Tests	Questions/questions 2 X 50	Material: literary theory, sociological context, bibliography: Wellek, Rene and Austin Warren. 1995. (4th Cet.). Literary Theory. Jakarta: Gramedia. Poetry Collection Book Short Story Internate, Material: literary theory sociological context Library: https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/ Material: literary theory sociological context Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/ Material: literary theory sociological context Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/ Material: literary theory sociological context References: Luxenburg, Jan Van, et al. 1987. About Literature (translated by Akhadiati Ikram). Jakarta: Intermasa.	3%
6	Mastering literary theory in relation to anthropology	Students are able to explain the relationship between literature and anthropology	Criteria: 1.Answer correctly 9- 10 score A 2.Answer correctly 7- 8 score B 3.Answer correctly 5- 6 score C 4.Answer correctly 3- 4 score D Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation S. Reporting the results of the project presentation Reporting the results with presentations and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt. 2 X 50	Material: literary theory in relation to anthropology Bibliography: Luxemburg, Jan Van, et al. 1992. Introduction to Literature (translated by Dick Hartoko). Jakarta: Gramedia. Material: literary theory in relation to anthropology Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/ Material: literary theory in relation to anthropology Library: https://scholar.google.com/ Material: literary theory in relation to anthropology Bibliography: Fokkema, DW. 1998. Twentieth Century Literary Theory. Jakarta: Gramedia. Material: literary theory in relation to anthropology Bibliography: Abrams, MH 1999 (seventh edition). A Glossary of Literary Terms. United States of America: Heinle&Heinle.	3%

-	atudant '	C4d	Outranta.	Duning B		201
7	students master literature and feminism	Students master literature and feminism	Criteria: 1. Answer correctly 9- 10 score A 2. Answer correctly 7- 8 score B 3. Answer correctly 5- 6 score C 4. Answer correctly 3- 4 score D Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation 5. Reporting the results of the project presentation Reporting the results with presentation and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt. 2 X 50		3%
8	MIDTERM EXAM	MIDTERM EXAM	Criteria: MIDTERM EXAM Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	MID SEMESTER EXAMINATION 2 X 50	Material: uts Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/ Material: uts Library: https://scholar.google.com/	20%
9	Mastering literary theory in relation to religion	Able to explain literature in relation to religion	Criteria: 1.Answer correctly, 9-10 score A 2.Answer correctly, 7-8 score B 3.Answer correctly, 5-6 score C 4.Answer correctly, 3-4 score D Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation 5. Reporting the results of the project presentation Reporting the results with presentations and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt. 2 X 50	Material: literary theory in relation to religion/religion Reader: Fokkema, DW. 1998. Twentieth Century Literary Theory. Jakarta: Gramedia. Material: literary theory in relation to religion/religion Reader: Fokkema, DW. 1998. Twentieth Century Literary Theory. Jakarta: Gramedia. Material: literary theory in relation to religion Readers: Wellek, Rene and Austin Warren. 1995. (4th Cet.). Literary Theory. Jakarta: Gramedia. Poetry Collection Book Short Story Collection Book Material: literary theory in relation to religion Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/ Material: literary theory in relation to religion/religion Library: https://scholar.google.com/	3%

10	Mastering comparative literature	Students are able to explain the nature of comparative literature	Criteria: 1. Answer correctly, 9-10 score A 2. Answer correctly, 7-8 score B 3. Answer correctly, 5-6 score C 4. Answer correctly, 3-4 score D Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation for presentation and power points for presentation and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt. 2 x 50	Material: comparative literature Reference: Abrams, MH 1999 (seventh edition). A Glossary of Literary Terms. United States of America: Heinle&Heinle. Material: comparative literature Reader: Pradopo, Rachmat Djoko. 2005. Some Literary Theories, Critical Methods, and Their Applications. Yogyakarta: Student Library: Material: comparative literature Library: https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/ Material: comparative literature Library: https://scholar.google.com/ Material: comparative literature Library: https://scholar.google.com/	4%
11	Students master interdisciplinary literary studies	Students understand and master interdisciplinary literature	Criteria: 1. Correct answers 9- 10, score A 2. Correct answers 7- 8, score B 3. Correct answer 5-6 score C 4. Correct answer 3-4 score D Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation for presentation for presentation and collecting papers 6. Reporting the results of the project presentation and collecting papers and power points of the project presentation and collecting papers and power points of the project presentation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt. 2 × 50	Material: mastering interdisciplinary literary studies Reader: Pradopo, Rachmat Djoko. 2005. Several Literary Theories, Critical Methods, and Their Applications. Yogyakarta: Student Library Material: mastering interdisciplinary literary studies Library: https://scholar.google.com/ Material: mastering interdisciplinary literary studies Library: https://scholar.google.com/ Material: mastering interdisciplinary literary studies Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/ Material: mastering interdisciplinary literary studies References: Luxemburg, Jan Van, et al. 1992. Introduction to Literature (translated by Dick Hartoko). Jakarta: Gramedia. Material: mastering interdisciplinary literary studies Reference: Teeuw, A. 1988 (2nd printing). Literature and Literary Science. Jakarta: Pustaka Jaya and Gimukti Pusaka.	3%

12	Able to master and explain the results of reviews about literary theory (1)	Able to master and explain the results of reviews about literary theory (1)	Criteria: 1.Able to review correctly and all indicators are met, score 90-100 2.Able to review but not precise, score 70-80 3.Able to review, but not accurately, scores of 50-60 4.Unable to review correctly, score 30-40 5.No review, score 0 Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Tests	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation 5. Reporting the results of the project presentation Reporting the results with presentations and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt. 2 X 50	Material: mastering and explaining the results of a review of literary theory . Reference: Teeuw, A. 1988 (2nd printing). Literature and Literary Science. Jakarta: Pustaka Jaya and Gimukti Pusaka. Material: mastering and explaining the results of a review of literary theory Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/ Material: mastering and explaining the results of a review of literary theory Library: https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/ Material: mastering and explaining the results of a review of literary theory Library: https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/ Material: mastering and explaining the results of a review of literary theory . Reference: Abrams, MH 1999 (seventh edition). A Glossary of Literary Terms. United States of America: Heinle&Heinle. Material: mastering and explaining the results of a review of literary theory . Reference: Teeuw, A. 1988 (2nd printing). Literature and Literary Science. Jakarta: Pustaka Jaya and Gimukti Pusaka.	4%
13	Able to master and explain the results of reviews about literary theory (2))	Able to master and explain the results of reviews about literary theory (2)	Criteria: 1. No review, score 0 2. Unable to review correctly, score 30-40 3. Able to review, but not accurately, scores of 50-60 4. Able to review but not precise, score 70-80 5. Able to review correctly and all indicators are met, score 90-100 Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation 5. Reporting the results of the project presentation Reporting the results with presentations and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt. 2 X 50	Material: mastering and explaining the results of a review of literary theory . Reference: Abrams, MH 1999 (seventh edition). A Glossary of Literary Terms. United States of America: Heinle&Heinle. Material: mastering and explaining the results of a review of literary theory Library: https://scholar.google.com/ Material: mastering and explaining the results of a review of literary theory Library: https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/	5%

14	Students are able to present the results of the review in front of the class	Students are able to accurately explain the results of literary reviews in front of class/online	Criteria: 1.No review, score 0 2.Unable to review correctly, score 30-40 3.Able to review, but not accurately, scores of 50-60 4.Able to review but not precise, score 70-80 5.Able to review correctly and all indicators are met, score 90-100 Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	Project Based Learning 1. Determining the student project material by dividing the topics according to the RPS 2. Designing the steps for completing the project. Students create groups according to the number of students, divide the topics into one small group, the results are in the form of papers and power points. 3. Preparing a schedule Determining the time for presentation and collecting papers 4. Completing the project Making papers and power points for presentation for presentation to presentation Reporting the results of the project presentations and collecting papers 6. Project evaluation and reflection Commenting on the results of the presentation and the material in the paper and ppt.	Material: Review of Library articles: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/ Material: article review Library: https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/	5%
15	Mastering and presenting review articles about literature	Mastering and presenting review articles about literature	Criteria: 1.Able to review correctly and all indicators are met, score 90-100 2.Able to review but not precise, score 70-80 3.Able to review, but not accurately, scores of 50-60 4.Unable to review correctly, score 30-40 5.No review, score 0 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	2 X 50 Students are able to present in front of the class 2 X 50	Material: library review: https://sinta.kemdikbud.go.id/	4%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	UAS 2 X 50	Material: uas Library: https://ebook.twointomedia.com/	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	40.92%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	19.92%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	15%
4.	Practice / Performance	6.42%
5.	Test	16.75%
		99.01%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or
- learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is
- planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.

 Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or 5. performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.

- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
 Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning,

- Collaborative Learning, Cooperative Learning
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.