

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Undergraduate Study Program in Indonesian Language and Literature Education

Document Code

CU	ue	

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Cours	202		CODE		Course Fa	mily	Credi	t Wei	aht	SEMESTER	Compilation	
Courses		CODE		Course Fai	iiiiy	y Credit Weight		SEWIESTER	Date			
Arabic			8820103018	20103018					ECTS=4.77	3	July 18, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develop	SP Developer		Cours	Course Cluster Coordinator		Study Program Coordinator			
										Prof. Dr. Anas Ahmadi, S.Pd., M.Pd.		
Learr mode		Case Studies										
Prog Lear		PLO study program that is charged to the course										
	omes	Program Objec	tives (PO)									
(FLO)	PLO-PO Matrix										
		P.O										
		PO Matrix at the	e end of each lea	rning stage	(Sub-PO)							
			P.0			V	Neek					
			1 2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15						15 16		
	t Course ription	grammar (4) Arab	nastery of (1) histor bic grammar; (5) ad d linguistic exercise s discussions.	aptation from A	Arabic to Indo	onesian; and	d (6) m	aster	of Arabic-M	alay through cl	ass meetings,	
Refe	rences	Main :										
Karangan tent 2. Lewis, M. B. 1 3. Purwanto, Agu 4. Pusat Pembin dan Kebudaya 5. Russel, Jones 6. Saadie, Ma 10 Kebudayaan, 7. Soedjito; Hana 8. Sodiq, Syamsu			n tentang Sastra Ind . B. 1953.A Handbo o, Agus. 2010.Pinta mbinaan dan Peng udayaan Republik In Jones. 2008.Loanwo Ma 19mur; Suryana aan, Bagian Proyee Hanafi, Imam; dan yamsul; Rokib, Moh	, Henri. 2014. Iskandar Zulkarnain, Dewa Mendu, Muhammad Bakir dan Kawan-kawan:Lima Belas ang Sastra Indonesia Lama. Jakarta: KPG. 953.A Handbook Malay Script.London: Macmillan. Is. 2010.Pintar Membaca Arab Gundul Dengan Metode Hikari. Bandung: Mizan aan dan Pengembangan Bahasa. 1997.Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia. Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan an Republik Indonesia. . 2008.Loanwords in Indonesia and Malay. Jakarta: Obor. Imur; Suryana, H.M. Idris; dan Sapardi, Eddy. 1998. Bahasa Bantu. Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan dan Bagian Proyek Penataran Guru SLTP Setara D-III. (fi, Imam; dan Arifin, Bustanul. 2002.Bahasa Bantu. Jakarta: Universitas Terbuka. JI; Rokib, Mohammad. 2017. Bahasa Arab untuk Pembelajar Bahasa Indonesia. Surabaya: Negasi Kritika. J.Kata Serapan dari Bahasa Arab. Jakarta: Arikha Media Cipta.								
		Supporters:										
Supp lectu	orting rer	Mohammad Roki	b, S.S., M.A.									
Week	Final abili learning s (Sub-PO)	ities of each stage		Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		ds, ents, ne]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)		
			Indicator	Criteria &		Offline(offline)	Or	nline	(online)]		
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)		(5)		(6)	(7)	(8)	

1	Explaining the objectives of the lecture Explaining the meaning of assignments and course rules Explaining the assessment process and assessment criteria	 Understand the purpose of lectures Understand the meaning of assignments and lecture rules Understand the assessment process and assessment criteria 	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Explanation, Questions and Answers, Discussion and Assignment 2 X 50		0%
2	Understanding the history, process and influence of Arabic into Indonesian Applying the basics of history, process and influence of Arabic into Indonesian	Explains the history, process and influence of Arabic into Indonesian	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Discussion 2 X 50		0%
3	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help master the Arabic alphabet. Applying the basics of reading and writing the Arabic alphabet	 Understand the variety of the Arabic alphabet Read the Arabic alphabet Write the Arabic alphabet both separately and continuously 	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Discussion and assignment 2 X 50		0%
4	Utilizing science and technology as a tool for typing Arabic. Mastering a variety of Latin keyboards with Arabic equivalents	Determines the difference between one letter and another on the keyboard	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Discussion and Performance Assessment 2 X 50		0%

5	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help understand morphology, types of words and particles in Arabic Mastering morphological changes, various classes of Arabic words and particles Able to identify morphological changes, various classes of words and particles in Arabic	Determine morphological changes, various word classes and Arabic particles	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Discussion and Performance Assessment 2 X 50		0%
6						0%
7						0%
8	Midterm exam	Midterm exam	Criteria: Midterm exam	Midterm Exam 2 X 50		0%
9	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help understand types of Arabic sentences. Understanding the differences between verbal and nominal sentences in Arabic	Explain the characteristics of verbal and nominal sentences in Arabic	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Discussion and assessment 2 X 50		0%
10	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help understand phonological adaptation and morphological shift from Arabic to IndonesianUnderstanding patterns of phonological adaptation and morphological shift from Arabic to Indonesian	Explaining loan words through phonological adaptation and morphological shift from Arabic to Indonesian	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Discussion and Product Assessment 2 X 50		0%

11	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help understand semantic shifts, syntactic interference and absorption elements belonging to Arabic into Indonesian. Being able to identify semantic shifts, syntactic interference and absorption elements belonging to Arabic into Indonesian	Explains semantic shifts, syntactic interference and absorption elements that originate from Arabic into Indonesian	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Discussion and performance assessment 2 X 50		0%
12	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to transliterate Latin Arabic Mastering Latin Arabic transliteration Able to be responsible for presentations/assignments independently/in a group	Skilled in transliterating Latin Arabic	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Performance assessment 2 X 50		0%
13	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to master Malay Arabic reading and writing Mastering Malay Arabic reading and writing Able to be responsible for presentations/assignments independently/in groups	Skilled in reading and writing Malay Arabic	Criteria: 1.4: correct description 2.3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 3.2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect where the explanation is incorrect 4.1: wrong description	Performance assessment 2 X 50		0%
14						0%
15						0%
16	Final exams	Final exams	Criteria: Final exams	Final Exam Semester 2 X 50		0%

 Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

 No
 Evaluation

 Percentage

 0%

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.